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IT will be seen at a glance that this volume is not a Commentary on particular psalms, but an Introduction to the Study of the Psalter generally. It is meant to be the kind of book which Tyndale the Martyr would have entitled, a Pathway into the Psalter. I have long thought that there was room for such a book. The objects it is intended to serve are not fulfilled by commentaries on the psalms in detail. For, in the first place, the Psalter being a whole literature in itself, the study of it, even for those practical purposes on account of which it is resorted to by all Christian readers, is mightily facilitated by some prior acquaintance with the writers whose pens were employed upon it, the circumstances which induced them to write, and the literary qualities of their style. Moreover, it is useful to know something of the history of the Psalter since it was first launched into the public view; especially, the use that has been made of it by the successive generations of God's people. Beyond any other book that can be named, it has moulded the sentiments of individuals, and influenced the life and movements of whole communities. Some acquaintance, therefore, with the place the Psalms have occupied in the Church during so many centuries will add exceedingly to the interest with which they are studied.

These considerations will explain the historical character of two of the books into which the following work is divided:—the First being mainly occupied with the History of the Growth
of the Psalter; the Third containing some Notices regarding the Use which has been made of the Psalms in the Church, and the Estimation in which they have been held.

The Second Book is of a different character, being intended to open up the views of divine truth and of the divine life which pervade the Psalms. Referring the reader to the introductory remarks at the beginning of the first chapter of that book, I may be permitted to observe that the subject with which it deals is one which has appeared to me peculiarly important. The fact that the Psalter, like the Lord's Prayer, is an authentic and divinely-approved utterance of the heart of God's people; and that, in a degree far exceeding even the Lord's Prayer, it is the utterance of their whole heart;—that one fact, I say (were there nothing else), would suffice to shew how important it must be to get a distinct and comprehensive view of the features of the piety here expressed. It is only of late that much has been done in working this vein. The first attempt to open it up, as far as I am aware, was the one made thirty-four years ago, by the late Dr Hengstenberg of Berlin;—a theologian to whose academical prelections and published works I would take this opportunity of expressing my deep obligations. In the Appendix to his Commentary, he introduced some chapters on the Theology of the Psalms, which, although short, and dealing only with two or three topics, are very valuable, and have moved succeeding writers to do something in the same direction. Of separate treatises on the subject, I know only two: the one being a little volume by Kurtz, "A Contribution to the Theology of the Psalms;"* the other a considerable volume, "The Theology of the Psalms," by Dr Koenig, Professor of Theology in the Roman Catholic

* Zur Theologie der Psalmen, Dorpat, 1865, pp. 173.
University of Freiburg.* But the former deals with hardly anything save the Messianic Psalms; and with them in a way that I cannot regard as satisfactory. The latter, although written by a Roman Catholic, follows close in the track of Dr Hengstenberg. Its author manifests sympathy with the divine word very pleasing to meet with in the Romish Communion; but he is fettered by the Tridentine Theology, and lacks the freshness of insight into Scripture which can alone vivify these investigations. These works, therefore, have not been of much service to me. Besides—and the remark is largely applicable also to the great commentaries to be presently mentioned—they are both purely academical treatises; whereas the present volume addresses itself to a wider circle. This has so materially affected, not only the style of treatment, but the choice of topics itself, that, in the doctrinal part of the work I have been obliged to depend mainly on my own investigations.

The works to which I have been principally indebted for assistance in the course of my studies in the Psalms, are the three great commentaries that have been published during the last quarter of a century by Professors Hengstenberg, Hupfeld, and Delitzsch. Of the value of these it would be difficult to speak too strongly. Dr Hengstenberg's Work,† notwithstanding its defects in point of literary taste and an occasional eccentricity of opinion, marks an epoch in the reverent and erudite study of the Psalms. The example set by the Berlin Professor has been ably followed by the other two critics. The former, Dr Hupfeld of Halle, whose massive commentary‡ is the ripe fruit of the labours of some thirty years, may be regarded as representing

* Die Theologie der Psalmen, von Dr J. Koenig. Freiburg im Breisgau. 1857, pp. 521.
† Commentar ueber die Psalmen, 4 vols. Berlin, 1842–1847.
the more rationalistic side; while Dr Delitzsch of Erlangen* (now of Leipzig), who, for acquaintance with Hebrew literature and deep spiritual insight into Scripture, is second to no living divine, represents the orthodox and believing side, of modern German theology. The present work had taken shape before the appearance of Mr Perowne's valuable Commentary: but it also has been found very serviceable. Perhaps Calvin's great work on the Psalms ought to have been mentioned first: for the Genevan reformer retains his place as the Prince of all the Commentators, and I have constantly consulted him.

It will be observed that the quotations from the Psalms are generally made in a new translation; or, to speak more correctly, in a Revision of the Authorised Version. The revision has been made with some care. Besides comparing the Septuagint, the Vulgate, and Luther's German; I have made much use of the valuable Latin Version by Jerome which is printed in the complete edition of his works, and of the English translation in the Geneva Bible, which is still worthy of consultation, having been by no means superseded by the Authorised Version. In addition to these ancient and standard versions, those of De Wette and Ewald, of Mr Jebb, Dr J. A. Alexander, and Mr Perowne have been constantly compared. The translation given by Hupfeld in his commentary has been found specially useful.

The volume now laid before the reader has been the pleasant companion of my leisure hours for a good many years. It is sent forth, at length, with the prayer that the God of all grace may be pleased to make use of it, to his own glory, in confirming the faith, advancing the knowledge, and helping the joy of all into whose hands it may come.


Stirling, December 1869.
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BOOK I.

THE HISTORY AND POETICAL STRUCTURE
OF THE PSALMS.

CHAPTER I.

INTRODUCTION.

THE Psalter is distinguished from the other books of Holy
Scripture by peculiar features that are broad and obvious.
It is not one continuous composition, but a collection of One
hundred and fifty poems of various length. It does not come
from one pen, but is the product of very many. No one
generation can claim the honour of having given it birth, for
the history of the poems of which it is made up runs parallel to
that of the whole Hebrew Scriptures, beginning with Moses
and ending with the contemporaries of Ezra and Malachi.
Moreover, it refuses to be assigned as the peculiar possession of
either the Old Testament or the New. In language, and date,
and literary character, it belongs to the older dispensation; but,
in a degree peculiar to itself, it has passed over into the posses-
sion of the Christian church. It has "become the sacred book
of the world, in a sense belonging to no other part of the
Biblical records." "Not only was it used more than any other
part of the Old Testament, by the writers of the New, but it
is, in a special sense, the peculiar inheritance of the Christian
church through all its different branches;" and, "if we descend
from churches to individuals, there is no one book which has
played so large a part in the history of so many human souls."*

Such being the singular nature and history of the Psalter, it becomes an interesting subject of inquiry, What are the features which distinguish, from the rest of the sacred writings, the compositions here collected? In other words, What is a Psalm?

The question is one that cannot be better answered, than by passing in review the several designations, or descriptive titles, employed in the superscriptions with which so many of the Psalms are furnished. Whatever opinion may be entertained regarding the origin and authority of the superscriptions, no one can doubt that the designations employed in them bring out, very distinctly, the nature and scope of the Psalms. Five of them especially claim notice here. 1. The Psalms are sometimes entitled PRAYERS. The term Tephillah or Tefilloth, which is thus rendered by our translators, occurs five times in the superscriptions.† It occurs also in the note appended to the Seventy-second Psalm: "The prayers of David the son of Jesse are ended." This note will claim careful consideration when we come to speak of the Psalter as a whole. Meanwhile I simply remark, that it relates not so much to the particular psalm to which it is annexed, as to the book or division of the Psalter which ends with that psalm—the second of the five books of which the whole is made up. It implies therefore that every Psalm of David may be correctly described as a Prayer of David. There are other designations in much more frequent use, but this of Tephillah or Prayer deserves to be mentioned first, because it not only seems to be older‡ than any other, but is certainly more comprehensive than any, and brings out the first characteristic of the Psalms which it is important to keep in view. A Psalm, whatever else it may be, is a Prayer; it is an utterance of the soul before God. It is not

* Stanley, Lect. on Jewish Church, ii. 146, 147.
† Psalms xvii. lxxvi. xc. cii. cxlii., also Hab. iii. 1, where the Hymn which fills the whole chapter is entitled, "A Prayer of Habakkuk the prophet."
‡ Delitzsch, Psalter, i. 127.
a soliloquy; much less is it the utterance of the soul's emotions for the gratification of a human auditory; it conducts us into the presence-chamber of the great King, and teaches us how to pour out our hearts before his throne. A psalm is the Church's response to those two primary articles of the faith, that God is, and that He is a rewarder of them that diligently seek Him. The Psalms accordingly are pervaded everywhere with the consciousness of God. The most of us are so much accustomed to the use of them, so much accustomed also to the use of other sacred lyrics written under their influence and imbued with their spirit, that we seldom give due heed to this quality; but it has never failed to strike with astonishment serious persons who have read much in the hymns and poems of pagan nations. In these the gods are no doubt celebrated, their names come up often enough, but there is no reality about the beings thus addressed; they are unsubstantial, airy nothings. It is the high prerogative of the Psalms, that they not only name the name of God, but bear us into his presence. They bring us face to face with our Maker and Judge, a personal God, who has an ear to hear us and a hand to help us, and of whom the weakest saint under heaven may say, "I am poor and needy, yet the Lord thinketh upon me."

2. The designation which occurs most frequently in the superscriptions is the one which almost all the modern versions render Psalm. It is of perpetual occurrence. It stands at the head of no fewer than fifty-seven of the Psalms; so that we cannot wonder that it has come to be the current designation of the whole book. Although adopted into all the modern European languages, it is properly a Greek word. When the Jews of Alexandria, in the third century before Christ, translated the Old Testament into the language which Alexander's conquests had made the common speech of the world, they chose this term Psalmod, which properly denotes a strain of music, to represent the Mizmor of the superscriptions; and their example has been generally followed by succeeding translators. Curiously enough, this Hebrew term Mizmor, although of such frequent use in the superscriptions, is never found anywhere
else,—a circumstance which has led some to conjecture that it was coined by David to describe his sacred poems. Its etymology is doubtful. Not to mention older Hebraists, Lowth thinks it properly denotes a poem cut up into short sentences and pruned from all superfluity of words, and with this agrees Hengstenberg's definition of it as a poem artfully elaborated. Delitzsch thinks it rather denotes the musical accompaniment; which is the opinion of Oehler* also, who observes that "among the religious songs it appears to denote only such as were musically prepared for the purpose of singing in public worship." Gesenius and Hupfeld have each set forth more than one interpretation in successive works. But amid this diversity, all the best authorities are agreed that the general idea which the term expresses, is that of a song which is, or may be, wedded to an appropriate strain of music.

This, then, is a second point to be noted in the Psalms. They are prayers, indeed, but they are something more. There are elements essential to them which are not found in ordinary prayers. A prayer is not a work of art. On the contrary, the more artless a prayer is, the more perfectly does it answer its end. Prayer is the simple, unadorned outpouring of the heart before God. The true idea of it is seen in the artless petition presented by a child at its father's knee. This is the conception of prayer taught by our Lord himself, "When ye pray, say, Our Father which is in heaven." The true idea of a psalm takes in more than this. Every psalm is a prayer, but every prayer is not a psalm. To the production of the Psalms there was required consummate art,—the art of the poet and the art of the musician. It is evident from the memorials of the primitive times preserved in Genesis, that Music and Poetry, although they rank amongst the noblest of the arts, were amongst the first to be cultivated; and God has been pleased to sanctify them by assigning to them a place and a function in the ordinances of his worship. It is his will that we should worship Him not only with the artless effusions of our hearts, but also with the musical

* Art. "Psalms" in Fairbairn's Imperial Bible Dict.
recitation of poems that have been composed with care and educated taste.

3. The word Song is another that occurs with great frequency. It stands for the Hebrew term Shir, which is found in no fewer than thirty of the superscriptions. The fifteen "songs of degrees" may be referred to as the most remarkable of the class. Unlike the designation just explained, it is often found in the body of the Psalms, as well as in their superscriptions. The general idea expressed by psalm and song is the same; they denote a poem of the lyrical order,—a poem framed to be sung rather than read. And here it may be remarked, that these two titles not only distinguish the Psalms from ordinary prayers, and from such prose compositions as we possess in the Bible histories and epistles, but also from such poetical compositions as are found in Job, in the Proverbs, and in the prophets. The poetry in these, with the exception of a few passages here and there, is of the didactic order, and was meant to be read, not sung. The Psalms, on the contrary, were all meant to be sung. It may be doubted whether sufficient heed has been given to the fact that the element of song enters so largely into Scriptural devotion. It is a fact worthy to be pondered. No one can have failed to observe that the expressing of any sort of sentiment, with the assistance of poetry and music, reacts mightily upon the sentiment. The singing of a good song—a song of which the words and the music give felicitous expression to feelings with which the mind happens to be charged—who does not know how powerfully it moves the heart! It adds fresh delight to every sort of gladsome emotion, and assuages the tumult of sorrow. Well, the book of Psalms bears witness that it is the will of God that we should call in this office of minstrelsy to the assistance of our religious emotions. If a great prophet was fain to call for a minstrel to play before him when he desired that the hand of the Lord might come upon him,* much more may we expect powerful assistance in the cultivation of a right state of mind and feel-

* 2 Kings iii. 15.
ing towards God from the singing of the Psalms to appropriate melodies.

Respecting the precise sense of the word *Shir* or *Song* in the superscriptions, there is some difference of opinion. According to Hengstenberg, it denotes a joyous lyric, and is therefore less comprehensive than the modern *song*. To this Dr Oehler objects, and points to the circumstance that the title in question is found in the superscription of the Eighty-eighth Psalm, which is emphatically a cry out of the depths. He supposes the *Shir* to have differed from the other psalms only with respect to the musical execution. The *Shir* may have been delivered in the way that we should still describe as singing, whereas the other psalms may have been merely cantillated, that is to say, recited in a musical tone of voice. The two explanations are not altogether incompatible. The more elaborate style of music, although it might, on a rare occasion, be employed to deepen the effect of an elegiac poem, would more commonly be employed in connection with songs of thanksgiving and praise. With two exceptions, the psalms which bear the title in question are characteristically joyous. It is repeatedly used* in addition to the more general title of *Mizmor* or *psalm*. Thus the Sixty-fifth and the Ninety-second Psalms are both entitled "a Psalm or Song," and they are of a peculiarly bright and sunny complexion. The circumstance that so many of the psalms are, in this emphatic sense, songs, may suggest some profitable reflections. God has given his people a licence and invitation to be glad,—to rejoice with joy unspeakable and full of glory. Mr Fearing in the Pilgrim's Progress is a good man, but he is not the true type of the Christian. The Lord has delivered songs to his children that their joy may be full, and that they may be emboldened to give tuneful expression to it before his throne, like the angels in heaven.

4. The Hundred and forty-fifth Psalm is, in the superscription, entitled *David's Tehillah*, that is to say, "David's Psalm of Praise." It is rather remarkable that this title, *Praise*, or

Psalm of Praise (it is one word in the Hebrew), should be found only in a single superscription, for the word is of perpetual occurrence in the Psalter, and is more or less applicable to every one of the Psalms. A vein of praise runs through all. It was, no doubt, a sense of this which led the Jews to fix on this title, rather than any of those before mentioned, as the fittest to describe the whole book. What the Greek translators, and the modern versions after them, call The Psalter or Book of Psalms, is denominated in the Hebrew Bible Sepher Tehillim—the Book of Praises. It is a beautiful title, and gives prominence to an aspect of the Psalms as important as any other. They are not only Prayers and Songs, but Hymns also; that is to say, they are songs which have for their chief scope the glory and praise of God. Like the golden censers in which the sons of Aaron burnt fragrant incense in the Holy Place, they are the vessels in which our thanksgivings are to be offered before the throne of God. There is a passage in one of Augustine’s popular discourses on the Psalms (it occurs in the introduction to the one he preached, A.D. 411, on Psalm lxxii.) which strikingly brings out the combined force of the three titles just mentioned: “Psalms are the praises of God accompanied with song: psalms are songs containing the praise of God. If there be praise, but not of God, it is not a psalm. If there be praise, and praise of God, if it is not sung, it is not a psalm. To make a psalm there go these three—praise, God’s praise, and song.” Let it be remembered, then, that the Psalter is the Book of Praises. There are several psalms which, like the five at the close of the book, begin and end with Hallelujah; and that inspiring word, Praise ye the Lord, is a kind of key-note to the whole book. The Psalms are praises. We do not sing them aright unless we come before the Lord with grateful adoration, as men who feel themselves impelled to bless his holy name.

Twice in the Pauline epistles Christ’s people are enjoined to speak to themselves “in psalms and hymns and spiritual songs,” singing and making melody in their hearts to the Lord (Eph. v. 19; Col. iii. 16). The words, we may be sure, are not set down by the apostle at random; but there is some difference of
opinion regarding the precise reference of each. It is to be noted that in the Septuagint the first and third of the terms used by the apostle are constantly employed in translating the titles *psalm* and *song* in the superscriptions: the term *hymn* is found also in the superscriptions, being used by the Seventy where the term *Neginoth* stands in our version.* In all likelihood, therefore, it is these titles in the superscriptions that the apostle has in his eye; and, in that case, his meaning is that we are to comfort our hearts with all the various sacred songs which the Holy Spirit provided of old for the solace of the Church.

5. There is yet another word, of frequent occurrence in the superscriptions, which claims a moment's notice. I refer to the term *Maschil*, which is prefixed to thirteen psalms. Our translators have not ventured to do more, in the text, than simply print the word in English characters; in the margin however they render it, as the Geneva version had done before them, "to give instruction." It would be going too far to affirm that this interpretation is subject to no doubt. Some good Hebraists take exception to it; so that, perhaps, our venerable translators did well to leave it untranslated. Still, the interpretation they have set down in the margin, as it is the most ancient, so it is sustained by the great preponderance of authority.† It agrees remarkably with the contents of the Thirty-second Psalm, which affords the earliest instance of its use; for that psalm is pre-eminently didactic. Its scope is to instruct the convicted soul how to obtain peace with God and be compassed about with songs of deliverance. The title, although prefixed only to a few, is less or more applicable to all the Psalms. It holds forth as one of the purposes they were designed to serve, the edification of souls in the truth and ways

---

* See, for example, Psalms iv. liv. lxi. in the LXX.
† The LXX. and Vulgate are ambiguous, but Jerome in his version from the Hebrew renders it *erudition*; and in this he is followed by the modern translators generally. Of recent critics, Gesenius and Hengstenberg render it a didactic song, Hupfeld a doctrine or instruction, and Delitzsch a pious meditation. Ewald and Mr Perowne take the meaning to be, a skilfully composed song, ein feines Lied, and refer to Ps. xlvi. 7, where the same term is rendered "with understanding."
of the Lord. It is true, as we may afterwards have occasion to shew, that there is very little revelation, strictly so called, in this part of the divine word—little disclosure of new truth to the Church. The Psalter is rather the response of the Church to God's revelations elsewhere made, than itself the vehicle of new revelations. But it is a very instructive response. Many, many a time has it happened that the psalms learned by a child at his mother's knee have deposited in his heart the seeds of divine knowledge, and kept them alive till they have sprung up, long after, in a harvest of salvation. The psalms, then, besides being songs and hymns, are designed "to give instruction."

It may not be unnecessary to add, that in thus commenting upon the designations of the Psalms that are found in the prefixed titles, I by no means wish to convey the idea that they are all equally descriptive of every psalm. The way they are severally employed in the superscriptions very obviously implies the contrary. Still it seemed expedient to gather them together, inasmuch as they indicate the elements that principally enter into these Bible songs. Besides, although particular elements may predominate,—one in one psalm, another in another,—there is not a psalm but contains something of each. There is not a psalm of instruction, but contains something of prayer and praise, and the psalms of praise are psalms of instruction also.

One other remark under this head. The psalm differs from some other kinds of sacred song in these two points: That it is, in every instance, the fruit of supernatural inspiration, and is, in every instance, designed for permanent use in the public worship of God. The former point requires no demonstration in this place. The manner in which our blessed Lord and the apostles cite and comment upon this portion of the Hebrew Scriptures, clearly implies its supernatural inspiration and divine authority. The other point is also capable of abundant verification. We find in the Bible many other sacred songs; for instance, the Song at the Red Sea, the Song of Moses, the Songs of Deborah and Hannah, the Song of Habakkuk, the
Songs of Zacharias, and Mary, and Simeon. But it is obvious that these were, for the most part, meant to be sung only on the occasions which gave them birth,—at least there is no evidence that they were made a part of the stated services of the public worship. It was otherwise with the Psalms. No fewer than fifty-five of them are formally inscribed To the Chief Musician, that is, to the leader of the Service of Song in the House of the Lord. They were, therefore, from the first, in constant use in the sanctuary. It is universally admitted that the Psalter was the one hymn-book of the Jewish Church under the Second Temple. It is true, some learned men, like Hupfeld, while concurring in this admission, contend that many of the psalms must have been written originally without any view to the public use to which they were afterwards put. They ground this opinion on the circumstance, that some of them,—the fifty-first for example,—are too personal to permit the thought that the writers ever could have designed them for other than private use. But this consideration, interesting and suggestive as it is, will not sustain the inference grounded upon it. The case of Cowper and his hymns is exactly in point. Not even the Fifty-first Psalm is more intensely personal than one or two of the hymns we owe to the bard of Olney; yet we know that the employment of the Olney Hymns in public worship took place within the poet's lifetime, and with his consent.

Passing from these prefatory explanations regarding the characteristic qualities of the Psalms, we proceed to trace the History of Sacred Psalmody in the Hebrew Church. In doing so, we shall take note of the holy men of God who, during many generations, were raised up in Israel, endowed by the Holy Spirit with appropriate gifts, and moved by his supernatural energy to give voice to the feelings of the church in divine songs. All the psalmists are not known to us, even by name, but enough is known to invest this part of the subject with undying interest. We shall take note of those movements of God's providence towards the Chosen People, which gave
occasion to very many of the psalms; and we shall endeavour to estimate, with such an approach to accuracy as may now be possible, the amount of the contributions which the successive periods of the history brought into the great treasure of holy song.

The first poem preserved in Scripture is Lamech’s Song, in the fourth of Genesis, addressed to his two wives, Adah and Zillah. It is very much the oldest lyric in existence in the world at this day. Lamech was of the seed of Cain; and his song, however interesting as a relic of antediluvian art, has no further relation to our present subject, for it was not sung in reverent worship of the living God. We do not possess a vestige of sacred song that is more ancient than the time of Moses. The poetry of the patriarchal blessings was didactic, not lyrical. Probably it would be unwarrantable to conclude from these facts, that the antediluvian and patriarchal church was never cheered with the melody of hymns. Whether it be true or not, that poetry and song were first cultivated by the race of Cain, it is not likely that God suffered those arts to be appropriated exclusively to the solace of the world and the inflaming of earthly passions, during the long centuries of the primeval dispensations. It may be confidently assumed, that the first hymn we meet with in the Bible,—the triumphal ode over Pharaoh and his host, which was sung by the tribes of Israel in responsive bands at the Red Sea,—could not have been sung by a people unaccustomed to sacred music. How many communities are there, even now, sufficiently trained in music to attempt the responsive chanting of so elaborate a song?

The Song at the Red Sea sufficiently proves that Moses, besides his other manifold endowments, was a Poet of the highest order. This faculty was not suffered to slumber. His dying legacy to the tribes he had conducted out of Egypt was a song. It is of great length, occupying nearly all the Thirty-second chapter of Deuteronomy. The splendour of its imagery is only surpassed by that rare combination of tenderness and strength in virtue of which it still, after so many ages, stirs the
blood of every reader. The man of God was enabled to foresee the temptations which were to befall the tribes in Canaan; and he knew that as they could not in those days possess copies of the law in their several dwellings, the hearing of it at the annual festivals would be but an ineffectual barrier against forgetfulness of the testimonies of the Lord. To fortify and perpetuate the influence of the law, he was moved by the Spirit to compose a song which the people might carry in their memories, and teach to their children and children's children—a song which, being sung in the towns and villages and tents of Israel, from Lebanon to the wilderness, and from Bashan to the sea, might be an ever-present memorial of the Lord, of his terrible majesty, his unslumbering righteousness, his mighty acts in the redemption of his people.

During the period of the Judges, we meet with two sacred odes of great power—the Song of Deborah and the Song of Hannah (Judges v.; 1 Sam. ii.). The latter, which has been aptly styled the Magnificat of the Old Testament church, possesses a special interest for us at present, as having been composed by the mother of the prophet whom God commissioned to call forth and consecrate "the son of Jesse, the anointed of the God of Jacob, and the sweet psalmist of Israel" (2 Sam. xxiii. 1). Respecting the Song of Deborah it may be mentioned, that it is on all hands accepted as a genuine monument of the period of the Judges, being recognised as such even by those ruthless rationalists who refuse to acknowledge the authenticity of the books of Moses; and that critics of every name are agreed in esteeming it one of the most perfect examples of lyrical poetry extant in any language.

Besides the religious interest that must always attach itself to the sacred lyrics which have come down from the times of Moses and the Judges, they possess great literary and historical interest in connection with the subject of Psalmody. They demonstrate that the Israelitish people in those primitive times, however rude their manner of life may have been, were no barbarians, as some have foolishly imagined. A poetical literature which included such songs as Deborah's and Hannah's—
songs which, considered simply as works of art, have never been surpassed in their kind, could neither have been produced nor appreciated in a barbarous community. Reasoning back, as we are entitled to do, from the literary style and the tone of sentiment exhibited in the songs, to the attainments in culture and piety of the people to whom they were addressed, and who eagerly caught them from the lips of the authors, we can have no hesitation in affirming that the Israelite of those remote times was one whose religious beliefs, and whose modes of feeling, and whose social habitudes, were such as to place him far in advance of any among his contemporaries, or even of the men of much later times.*

It is more important, for our present purpose, to remark, that in this early period of the Jewish history, the first stone of the fair edifice of the Psalter was laid. One of the Psalms has come down to us from the age of Moses, and from the pen of the great Lawgiver himself. The Ninetieth is entitled, *A Prayer of Moses the man of God,* and its contents are in remarkable harmony with this account of its authorship. Some modern critics, to be sure, discredit the testimony of the superscription. Ewald, for example, would assign the psalm to the period of the later kings. But this is simply to save his theory regarding the book of Deuteronomy, which he supposes to have been written at that late period. He perceives that Deuteronomy and the psalm are near of kin; and he admits that the latter is "so full of vigorous originality that it might well have been attributed to Moses, if we only knew better the historical grounds which led the editor of the Psalter to prefix his name to it."† The truth is, that "there is hardly a monument of antiquity which so brilliantly justifies the traditional account of its origin; both in its contents and in its linguistic peculiarities it altogether agrees with Moses."‡

It would not be correct to say that there is anything personal to Moses in the psalm, or anything pertaining exclusively to the

† *Die Psalmen,* p. 127.
‡ Delitzsch, *Der Psalter,* ii. 3.
generation by whom it was first sung. It contains nothing local or temporary. It is the first instalment of the inspired hymnology of the Catholic Church, and will never become obsolete till the vicissitudes of time come to an end, and the songs of this lower sanctuary are swallowed up in the songs of the heavenly temple. Nevertheless, it reflects a profitable light upon it to recollect the circumstances of its birth. It is the cry that arose from the congregation in the wilderness when they beheld their ranks melting away, in fulfilment of the oath of God that they should not enter into his rest. It can hardly be necessary to repeat the familiar story, how, after God had brought his people out of Egypt, and given them the law at Sinai, and conducted them through the howling deserts of Paran to the border of Canaan, and shewn them the pleasant southern hills of that land of ancient promise, their hearts fainted within them, they disbelieved his word, and refused to enter in; and how, for their unbelief, they were commanded to face the desert once more, not now to travel through it, but to spend in it their lives and leave their bones in its thirsty solitudes. Among the tribes, there were many besides Caleb and Joshua who were "Israelites indeed." Aaron, for instance, was a saint of God, although he was involved in the general penalty. There would be many, therefore, even of the generation that had come out of Egypt by Moses, and many more belonging to the generation which grew up in the wilderness, whose hearts were contrite under God's mighty hand. To them the psalm was delivered, that in its plaintive measures they might utter their penitent grief in the ear of God. And it was carefully framed to be the expression of something better than a barren and hopeless sorrow. It opens grandly with the profession of a strong faith in the Eternal, as the dwelling-place of his people in all generations; and it closes with an importunate and hopeful prayer for the generation that was to come after and possess the promised inheritance.

1. Lord, a dwelling-place hast Thou been unto us,  
   In all generations.

2. Before the mountains were born,  
   Or ever thou broughtest forth the earth and the world,
Even from everlasting to everlasting thou art God.

3. Thou turnest frail man to dust;
   And sayest, Return, ye children of men.*

4. For a thousand years in thy sight
   Are but as yesterday, when it is past,
   And as a watch in the night.

5. Thou carryest them away as with a flood; they are (as) a sleep
   In the morning they are like grass which groweth up.

6. In the morning it flourisheth and groweth up;
   In the evening it is cut down and withereth.

7. For we are consumed by thine anger,
   And by thy hot displeasure are we troubled.

8. Thou hast set our iniquities before thee,
   Our secret sins in the light of thy countenance.

9. For all our days are passed away in thy wrath;
   We have spent our years like a thought.†

10. The days of our years are threescore years and ten,
   Or if, by reason of strength, (they be) fourscore years;
   Yet is their pride labour and vanity,
   For it is soon cut off and we fly away.

11. Who knoweth the power of thine anger,
   And thy wrath according to the fear that is due unto thee?

12. So teach us to number our days,
   That we may attain a wise heart.

13. Return, O Jehovah,—how long?
   And let it repent thee concerning thy servants.

14. O satisfy us early with thy mercy;
   That we may rejoice and be glad all our days.

15. Make us glad according to the days wherein thou hast afflicted us,
   The years wherein we have seen evil.

16. Let thy work appear unto thy servants,
   And thy majesty unto their children.

17. And let the beauty of Jehovah our God be upon us:
   And the work of our hands do thou establish upon us;
   Yea, the work of our hands establish thou it.

Three thousand years and more have passed away since the congregation of Israel made the solitudes of the wilderness vocal with the plaintive music of this Ninetieth psalm. There is probably not another song now sung in any nation under

* Gen. iii. 19.
† So the Geneva Version; also Gesenius, Hupfeld, Perowne.
heaven that possesses such a hoary antiquity.* And yet there is about it the freshness of a perpetual youth. In what nation have God's people ceased to employ it? It forms part of the English Order for the Burial of the Dead, and in all Christian nations is in one form or another devoted to a similar use. Moreover, as each New Year comes round, bringing its train of saddening memories and summoning us to count our days, who does not turn to the Prayer of Moses for the most adequate expression of the thoughts and feelings awakened by the season? In the protestant churches of Hungary it is sung every New Year's Day, and the same custom is widely prevalent in other countries. It is a solemnising and stimulating thought, that when we lift up our voices to the Eternal in this psalm, we put ourselves into communion with the church of all generations and of every nation, we yield our hearts to the guidance of a song given three-and-thirty centuries ago by the inspiration of the Holy Spirit, and which has been a fountain of pensive comfort to God's saints in all the hundred generations that have lived and died since its notes first awoke the echoes of the desert.

Dr Hengstenberg of Berlin, a divine who has done as much as any other man of this age for the elucidation of the Psalms, remarks in his Commentary,† that the concurrence of three conditions was requisite in order to an efflorescence of divine psalmody. There was required, in the first place, a wide-spread Revival of Religion in the church. A psalm is not the voice of a solitary individual; it is the voice of the church, and new songs can only proceed from the bosom of a quickened church. It was necessary, in the second place, that there should be found in the church persons, more or fewer, gifted with the Poetical

* Professor Max Müller assigns the same date, the sixteenth century B.C. to the oldest hymns of the Veda: but I suppose it is many centuries since the Hindoo race sung these in their vernacular. They are therefore, at the best, a kind of fossils,—well preserved fossils of a civilisation contemporary with Moses.
Faculty,—men of genius and cultivated taste, who might express the thoughts and feelings of the quickened church in poetry and song. Lastly, there was required the Supernatural Inspiration of the Holy Spirit, elevating and controlling the exercise of the poet's genius, so that he might speak as one who was moved by the Holy Ghost. It is evident that these three conditions found place at the time of the sojourn of the tribes in the wilderness. How genuine and deep was the revival of religion in that age, appears from the terms of regretful affection with which it was commemorated long after, “I remember thee, the kindness of thy youth, the love of thine espousals; when thou wentest after me in the wilderness, in a land that was not sown. Israel was holiness to the Lord, and the first-fruits of his increase” (Jer. ii. 2, 3). The poetical faculty and the supernatural inspiration were both found in Moses the man of God. And so the first of the Psalms was given to the church in the wilderness.
CHAPTER II.

DAVID THE PSALMIST OF ISRAEL.

Moses, the earliest writer of Holy Scripture, was also the first of the psalmists. But it was long ere another stone was built on the foundation he laid. For nearly four hundred years, his prayer stood alone in its kind. During the times of Joshua and the Judges, the harp of Prophecy was not altogether mute; but those stormy centuries have bequeathed to us no psalm. Moses remained the only psalmist till David.

But if the efflorescence of sacred song was long delayed, it came, at length, with a sudden and magnificent outburst; insomuch that ere David was gathered to his fathers, the Church was in possession of nearly two-thirds of the lyrical treasures laid up in the Psalter. The half of the psalms, or thereby, are believed to have been written by David himself; and there were other pens besides his employed in the same work during the later years of his life. This is offered for the present only as an approximate estimate. There is still a good deal of obscurity, and consequent difference of opinion, on several points necessary to be determined before the precise number of the psalms contributed by David can be ascertained; and the subject is so full of interest, that we must revert to it hereafter. Meanwhile the approximate estimate is sufficient to show that the reign of David was, beyond all controversy, the Augustan age of sacred psalmody. It is plain, therefore, that however deep the interest attaching to the history of the one psalm of Moses, and deep and various as is the interest attaching to the history of the fifty or sixty that were written between the time of Solomon and the cessation of
prophecy, the age which we are principally concerned to study, with a view to the history of the psalms, is the age of King David.

The Spirit and Providence of God had been making preparation for the great outburst of holy song, long before David was born at Bethlehem. The Lord never works by unpremeditated and extemporised strokes of power; least of all, in the production of those fruits of his wisdom and love which are the enduring possession of his Church. Long before April clothes the trees in their gay and hopeful livery of blossoms, there are hidden motions under the bark, and the tender buds are being silently prepared to unfold when their full time is come. So was it with the psalms of David. Their history, if it is to be worthy of the name, must not commence with the day when the first of them flowed from David's pen, but must take note of the steps of God's providence and grace in raising up so great a psalmist in Israel.

Foremost among the influences which prepared the way for the golden age of psalmody, we must make mention of the religious Revival with which the Lord blessed Israel towards the close of the period of the Judges. It is unnecessary to repeat here the story of Samuel, whom God honoured to be the principal instrument in that revival: how he was raised up in a godly house belonging to the tribe of Levi; how his mother, in particular, was eminent, not only for piety, but for spiritual gifts, being, like Deborah, a prophetess, and the writer of one of the few sacred lyrics which broke the silence of the long period between Moses and David; how, after years of childless wedded life, she asked a son from the Lord, and received Samuel as God's answer to her prayer; how from his mother's womb the Lord separated the child to be his servant; how, as he grew up, "the Lord was with him, and did let none of his words fall to the ground; and all Israel from Dan even to Beersheba knew that Samuel was established to be a prophet of the Lord" (1 Sam. iii. 19, 20); how, with a disinterested zeal, which no man could impeach, he from the first devoted his life to the nation and church of Israel, judging all the tribes, and labouring, as none of the Judges who preceded him had done, to instruct the people in
the law of Moses, and so to imbue them with the knowledge and fear of the living God. It is more necessary, in relation to the present subject, to observe that Samuel, no doubt by divine direction, took steps to multiply and prolong the benefits of his personal service by means of a remarkable Institution, the first mention of which in the sacred history occurs in connection with his name. I refer to the famous Schools of the Prophets. It is possible some institution of the kind may have existed from the age of Moses; more probably Samuel was the founder. It is certain, at least, that it was in Samuel's hands that the prophetical schools became institutions of far-reaching influence, and rose to the honourable place within the Hebrew commonwealth which they seem henceforth to have occupied till the captivity. It would be a mistake to suppose that the design of them was to furnish the Church with a succession of prophets; for it was essential to the prophetical office that each individual invested with it should have received his call and commission from God's own hand. No rule was laid down for the calling of prophets, simply because God reserved this to himself as his exclusive prerogative. What, then, were the prophetical schools? They were a kind of College, or Theological Hall (if so modern a phrase may be allowed), into which Samuel invited such young men as seemed to be qualified by their gifts and piety to act as prophets in Israel, if the Lord should ever be pleased to call them by his Spirit into that office. The principal study, we may be sure, would be the Law of Moses, which was now, after the lapse of four hundred years, a somewhat ancient document; and we know for certain that, in addition to that supremely important study, the arts of Music and Poetry were cultivated. It may well be believed that, from his childhood, Hannah's son would be no stranger to arts in which she was herself so eminent.

The earliest notice of the company of the Prophets, is that which relates how Saul met them coming down from the hill of God, on the day that Samuel anointed him king; and it describes them as coming down from the High Place with a psaltery, and a tabret, and a pipe, and a harp, before them, and prophesying as they went (1 Sam. x. 5); all which sufficiently attests the
assiduity with which the company had been trained in sacred music and song. It is worthy of remark, that the prophesying of these "sons of the prophets" is so described, as to prove that the singing or chanting which greeted the newly-anointed king on his homeward journey was, on this occasion, prompted and sustained by a supernatural motion of the Holy Spirit. They spoke and sung as men who were swayed by a divine and irresistible energy; for the same motion of the Spirit came on Saul, and he prophesied also. It was a supernatural or miraculous motion of the Spirit, quite similar to that which came down on the disciples to signalize the Mission of the Comforter on the day of Pentecost. It differed from that great New Testament miracle only in this respect, that the sons of the prophets were moved to utter their hearts in song, whereas the disciples at Pentecost uttered theirs in foreign tongues. This miraculous quality of the prophesying of Samuel's disciples is important to be noted; for it was a kind of seal affixed by God himself on the newly-founded Institution—a sign from heaven by which the Lord gave testimony to the school, and declared that his blessing rested upon it. We might say of Samuel, what the Epistle to the Hebrews says of the apostles, that God bare witness to him "with gifts of the Holy Ghost" (Heb. ii. 4).

It has not yet been ascertained what hill of southern Palestine was the Ramah of Samuel's residence and of the Prophetical School. Dean Stanley* enumerates as many as eight localities, on behalf of which claims have been urged. Of these, four have respectively received the suffrages of such high authorities as Dr Robinson, Van de Velde, Gesenius, and Mr Finn, the English consul at Jerusalem; and it is remarkable that the four eminences fixed upon by these careful scholars and investigators are all situated within a few miles of Bethlehem—some of them in its immediate neighbourhood. We may be very sure that such an institution as Samuel's College would have a powerful attraction for the godly among its Bethlehemite neighbours; and that such a youth as David, the son of such a man as Jesse,

* Sinai and Palestine, pp. 222, 233.
would be no stranger among the sons of the prophets. This supposition is confirmed by the fact, that David had attained so great skill in sacred minstrelsy ere his boyhood was well past, that his fame had reached the court of Saul, and he was spoken of to the king as the fittest person to play before him when the evil spirit from God darkened his mind. A further confirmation is found in the circumstance, that some years later, when he fled from Saul's envious javelin, he betook himself to the Naioth, or Cottages, at Ramah, where the sons of the prophets dwelt in company with the venerable man of God whom Providence had appointed over them as their father (1 Sam. xix. 18). It is unlikely that David, on the occasion of that first danger, would have thought of Ramah, if he had been a stranger either to the place or its inmates.

Whatever may be thought of the conjecture that David resorted in his youth to the school of the prophets, it is certain that he was anything but a novice in the peculiar exercises of the place when persecution constrained him to seek safety within its walls. He was descended from a family of great distinction in Israel. He was of the tribe of Judah, on which God had, from the first, set a note of pre-eminence above the rest; and Nahshon, who was Prince of the tribe in the wilderness, was his ancestor. After examining the several notices of the family that occur in the Scriptures from first to last, one is struck with the fact that its distinction in the nation was anything but factitious—anything but the sort of distinction that mere Heraldry can bestow. It rested on a basis of truth. God raised the family to its predicted and predestinated distinction, by bestowing upon it a rich dower of diversified intellectual ability. The catalogue of eminent men whom it furnished to church and commonwealth would be a long and brilliant one; and many facts concur to show that the general mental power which characterized the family for centuries, and was transmitted like an heirloom from father to son, never shone out with more lustre than in Jesse and his immediate descendants. Thus it is remarkable that David's most distinguished generals, Joab, Abishai, Amasa, were
found in the circle of his near kinsmen, as were also several counsellors who attained distinction during his reign.*

In David himself the hereditary ability was associated with the poetical faculty in its highest form. No competent critic has ever affected to deny that the son of Jesse was a poet born, and a poet of the first rank. And the family into which he was born—was it not an admirable nursery for the man who was to be, not the song-writer of his country merely, but the Psalmist of God's Israel? I have just referred to the force of mind which perpetually showed itself in the line of our Lord's ancestry: let the reader turn to the Genealogy in the first chapter of Matthew, or to the other version of it in the third of Luke, and he will find that, all along the line from Adam and Abraham to Mary and Joseph, true godliness also can be traced, with only an occasional intermission. If I do not greatly err, a perception of the mercy which God had thus shewn to the house of her fathers, contributed to swell the flood of tender thankfulness which filled the heart of the Blessed among women; for in her song, among the other instances of the Lord's kindness which called for notice in connection with the circumstances of the Incarnation, she tells how "his mercy is on them that fear him, from generation to generation" (Luke i. 50). It is certain that, during the stormy times of the Judges, the family at Bethlehem was eminent among those which remained faithful to the Lord. The delightful picture of domestic piety that imparts such a charm to the book of Ruth, is a memorial of the manners prevalent among David's immediate ancestors, and of the benign and heavenly influences that blessed his infancy and boyhood. I do not suppose that the Twenty-third Psalm was written in the Psalmist's childhood; but it is at least a reminiscence of it, and brings vividly before us the scenes and the feelings which his memory recalled when it reverted to the golden morning of his life. We have good reason to believe that the regenerating hallowing grace of God's free Spirit accompanied—if indeed it did not

* Jonathan, described in 1 Chron. xxvii. 32, as "a counsellor, a wise man, and a scribe," was his uncle; and Jonadab, the "very subtile" adviser of unhappy Amnon, was the son of Shimeah, and therefore the king's nephew.
anticipate—the teaching and godly nurture he received from his parents. There is not the faintest trace of his having passed through such a crisis as we see in the lives of Moses and Paul. I am much inclined to think that his was a case of infant regeneration—certainly it was a case of early piety. Touching proof of this is found in the Seventy-First Psalm. The psalm, I am aware, is anonymous, and is, therefore, by many recent critics referred to some later writer; but I am satisfied that Venema and Hengstenberg have adduced sufficient reasons for retaining the opinion of Calvin and the older expositors, that it is from David's pen, and is the plaintive song of his old age. It shows us the soul of the aged saint darkened by the remembrance of his great transgression, and by the swarm of sorrows with which that sin filled all his later years. But he finds comfort in reverting to the happy days of his childhood, and especially to the irrevocable trust which he was then enabled to repose in God. The thoughts and feelings expressed remind one of those which invest with such a solemn, tender interest the Second Epistle to Timothy,—which embalms the dying thoughts of the great apostle. Like Paul, David takes a retrospect of the Lord's dealings with him from the beginning; and, in effect, declares, with the dying apostle; "I am not ashamed; for I know whom I have believed, and am persuaded that He is able to keep that which I have committed to him against that day" (2 Tim. i. 12). Only, there is this notable difference between the two, that while Paul gathered confirmation of his faith from the experience of a thirty years' walk with his Lord, David's experience stretched over a tract of more than twice so many years; for it began with his childhood. Let us hear the confession of his faith:

1. In thee, O Jehovah, have I put my trust:
   Let me not be ashamed for ever.
2. In thy righteousness deliver me, and cause me to escape:
   Incline to me thine ear, and save me.
3. Be unto me for a rock of habitation, to resort unto continually:
   Thou hast given commandment to save me;
   For my rock and my fortress art thou.
4. O my God cause me to escape out of the hand of the wicked:
   Out of the hand of the unrighteous and cruel man.
5. For thou art my hope, O Lord Jehovah;
   My trust even from my youth.
9. Cast me not off in the time of old age:
   When my strength faileth forsake me not.
17. O God, thou hast taught me from my youth;
    And hitherto have I declared thy wondrous works.
18. Yea also to old age and hoary hairs, O God, forsake me not;
    Until I have declared thine arm to (the next) generation,
    To all that shall come thy might.
19. And thy righteousness, O God, is very high, who hast done
    great things:
    O God, who is like unto thee?
20. Thou who hast shewed me troubles many and sore,
    Shalt quicken me again,
    And from the depths of the earth shalt bring me up again.
23. My lips shall rejoice, when I sing praise unto thee;
    And my soul which thou hast redeemed.

It is evident, then, that in David there was a remarkable con-
currence of circumstances favourable to the production of sacred
psalmody. He was raised up at a time when the Lord had
visited his people and vouchsafed a copious effusion of the Holy
Spirit; so that there were in Israel a numerous people, God's
"hidden ones," in whose name a psalmist might sing. He
knew the Lord from his childhood. The poetical faculty with
which his nature was so richly endowed he had been in a
position to cultivate, having had access to instruction in the
law of the Lord and in the arts of music and poetry. For, as
Augustine, who was himself an adept in music, remarks in his
City of God, "David was a man erudite in song, a man who
loved musical harmony, not for the sensible delight merely, but
of set purpose and from a principle of faith." It remains to
be added that David afterwards enjoyed the supernatural
motions of the Holy Spirit. He was a PROPHET. He is

expressly so designated in Peter's Pentecostal sermon (Acts ii. 30). From the day that Samuel sent for him to the field and anointed him in his father's house at Bethlehem, the Spirit of the Lord came upon him; and this not only to endow him with counsel and might with a view to the kingdom, but also, and in the first instance, to enable him to set forth the praises of God in song. The courtier who first mentioned his name to Saul did not speak of him as a mere harper or minstrel, but as a man of valour and prudence, of whom it could be said, "the Lord is with him." When it is remembered that Saul's distemper was not a mere case of natural melancholy, but was the effect of "an evil spirit from God upon him," it will readily be believed that the relief ministered to him by David was something more than the soothing effect of sweet music, that it was the effect rather of David's wise and kindly use of a spiritual gift, a gift of sacred song with which he was endowed by the good Spirit of God, who had departed from Saul and rested on him. It was the motion of this Holy Spirit, acting upon, and by means of, rare natural endowments and cultivated skill, which thenceforward impelled and enabled David to indite psalms. Hence the combination of titles by which he describes his high place and function, in his "last words" (2 Sam. xxiii. 1): "The man who was raised up on high, the anointed of the God of Jacob, and the sweet psalmist of Israel." The combination implies, and was doubtless intended to suggest, that David was raised up on high and anointed by the God of Jacob, in order that he might be the sweet psalmist of the Church. He was a prophet, not a whit behind the very chiefest of the prophets; and it is the constant testimony of the Apostles, and of the Lord Jesus himself, that it was "in the Spirit," that is, as one who was moved by the Holy Ghost, that he indited his hymns and songs.

The most of the qualifications hitherto enumerated, and especially the one last named, were essential to the very office of a psalmist, and were found in others besides David. But the son of Jesse possessed some besides that were peculiar to himself. It has been remarked, and I think with truth, that
he is the only psalmist whose personal history comes up very prominently in the psalter. References to the more remarkable passages in David's life occur in places without number. There are psalms not a few which it is impossible for any one to read without being reminded that they are his. This is to be accounted for by adverting to David's singular position in Israel. He was not only the King, but the man after God's own heart; he was an Israelite indeed, the genuine representative of the Hebrew nation and church; insomuch that when he expressed his personal experience and diversified feelings in song, he produced psalms that were felt by the whole people to be exactly suited to express their feelings also before God. His psalms were from the first "the Psalms of Israel."* This, we may remark in passing, is one of the points in respect to which David was a type of our Blessed Lord. For we know that Christ, although he was in the form of God, condescended to be made like unto the brethren whom he came to redeem; submitted himself to a condition in which he knew that he should, though without sin, be in all points tempted even as we are; condescended to be so perfectly made like unto his brethren, that in addressing the Father he could employ, and did employ, the very words of David and of the Church in the Book of Psalms. It is impossible to do full justice to David and his psalms without bearing in mind his singular position as the man who was thus raised up on high. He was not a private individual. He was "the Anointed One of the God of Jacob," the head or chief of the people of the Lord; and so was both entitled to speak in their name and moved by the Holy Spirit to do so.

To qualify David to be "the Psalmist of Israel" in this high and honourable sense, to qualify him to write hymns in which there should be a living, warm, true expression of the very thoughts and inmost feelings of God's Israel, his experience required to be, beyond example, intense and diversified. A poet cannot give vivid expression to feelings to which he is himself an entire stranger. Among uninspired hymns those

* 2 Sam. xxiii. 1. (Heb.)
only succeed in rooting themselves in the minds and hearts of God's people, which (like Luther's famous Paraphrase of the Forty-sixth Psalm, and the best of Cowper's hymns) embalm the actual feelings of a believing soul in some season of high emotion. The Pilgrim's Progress (which is a poem too) owes as much of its fascination to the wonderfully varied experience of its author as to his matchless genius; for the characters and scenes in the allegory are the reflection or idealised reproduction of characters Bunyan had known and scenes he had passed through in his time. With respect to this qualification, the fact that they enjoyed the inspiration of the Spirit did not alter the case of the sacred writers, at least of the psalmists. It belongs to the very idea of a psalm that it be the expression of the genuine feelings of the writer. God may, in a few exceptional instances, have employed the tongue of an ungodly man in the utterance of a prophecy or the revelation of a doctrine; but it may be affirmed with all confidence that he never, in a single instance, employed in the composition of a psalm any man whose heart was dead to the sentiments expressed. There was a Baalam among the prophets, a Judas among the apostles; but there was no Baalam or Judas among the psalmists. There was required, therefore, in the man who was to be, by way of eminence, "the Psalmist of Israel," a saint of manifold experience.

And such a man was David. Into his single life were crowded the vicissitudes of many. His boyhood acquainted him with the deep-flowing, tranquil joys of a godly and well-ordered home (a better home than his manhood or old age ever knew); it acquainted him also with the hardships and the pleasures of country life among the pastoral expanses of southern Palestine. After he was anointed by Samuel, Providence called him to ply the minstrel's art before Saul, and initiated him into the life of a court. Having returned home, he received a second and more brilliant introduction to the court in consequence of the victory over Goliath. Thereafter, for a succession of years, his life was spent amidst continual perils and trials. Persecuted by Saul, he had bitter experience of the worst vices of the un-
godly in Israel; he was thrown for a time into the company of outlaws, and was obliged, more than once, to reside for a season among the idolatrous heathen, being driven forth, as he complained, from the heritage of the Lord. Nor did his trials cease when Saul's death on the field of Gilboa opened his way to the throne. Israel in his reign was a figure of the church militant; he ruled, but it was in the midst of his enemies. First he had the Philistines to make head against and drive back to their maritime plain. Then he had to confront a succession of formidable coalitions among the principal nations to the east and north—the Ammonites, the Moabites, the Syrians—so that years were spent in wars which taxed his utmost energies and the resources of the kingdom. At length victory crowned his arms on every side, so that his sway extended from the Euphrates to the river of Egypt. This might have brought him peace, but he forfeited it by presumptuous sin. The evening of his life, which had held out the promise of a serene, unclouded sky, was vexed with storms more terrible than all that went before. The transgression of a thoughtless, unguarded day, planted in his house a root of bitterness which troubled all his years. Incest and murder shewed their frightful visage in the palace. One son after another rose in rebellions against him, which were only quenched in their blood. His heart—a heart that beat with an intensity of natural affection never surpassed—was broken with anguish, and his gray hairs were brought down with sorrow to the grave.

I do not think it needful to spend many words in vindicating David's character from the reproaches with which some have been pleased to load it. The fact is significant (I believe it to be a fact), that the quarters whence these reproaches come are not those in which the highest ideal of moral excellence prevails. It is not men of high-souled rectitude, of tender conscience, of holy life, who find it most difficult to understand how David should have been an eminent saint for all his sins, and who can see nothing but whining hypocrisy in his confessions. The psalms which he wrote on occasion of his great fall have gone home to the hearts of the best and holiest men that ever walked the
DAVID THE PSALMIST OF ISRAEL.

earth. No sermon of Augustine's betrays more tender emotion, more deep and thrilling sympathy with his subject, than the one he preached to the people of Carthage on the Fifty-first Psalm. Nothing can well be plainer, than that psalms which for ages have thus found their way to men's hearts, must have come from the heart.

One who would appreciate the character of the Psalmist, must remember that he was a man of prodigious energy. What he did, he did with his might. It is to be remembered, moreover, that he was a king, an Oriental king, to whom law and universal custom permitted polygamy, and who was thus put in the way of being tempted by the foul sin which was the death of his domestic peace. Nor ought it to be forgotten that the sacred history has narrated David's fall with a judicial severity full of the terror of the Lord. The chapter which records his offence sets down every hateful feature in it with an unextenuating, inexorable circumstantiality, unparalleled in all biography, and, to a thoughtful reader, suggestive of the indictment that might be preferred against a criminal at the bar of the Most High. These considerations are not adduced to cloak David's transgression. Its enormity is undeniablc, and is denied by none. He sank to a depth of guilt into which few of God's children have ever been suffered to fall. It is to be remarked, however, that this very fact contributed to fit him to be the Psalmist of God's Israel. It was not in spite of his fall, but because of it, that God made choice of him to be the spokesman of the Church in penitential song. The Church is not a company of angels, but of ransomed men; of men who were sinners, who are often sinning still. David well knew that the record of his fall and his forgiveness would furnish to sin-stricken souls in after-times a strength of encouragement which nothing else could yield. In crying for mercy, this was the plea he urged, "Restore unto me the joy of thy salvation;—then will I teach transgressors thy ways; and sinners shall be converted unto thee" (Ps. li. 12, 13). Being forgiven, he felt, like the converted persecutor of the Church, that his God had furnished in him "a pattern to them which should hereafter believe in him
to life everlasting." How wonderfully has this anticipation been realised! It is a merciful provision that, however profound may be the depths into which a man may be cast by his sins, he finds that the Psalmist has been there before him, and has furnished him with words in which "out of the depths" he may cry to the Lord. There is not a poor publican in all God's temple who, as he smites on his breast and cries, "God be merciful to me a sinner," does not find, on turning to the Book of Psalms, that the mercy of God has there provided for him songs that express every feeling of his convicted soul—songs, too, originally written by as great a sinner as himself, in the agony of his repentance. Till the judgment day it will never be known how many souls, who would otherwise have cast themselves down in despair, have been encouraged by David's example and assisted by his psalms, to embrace the promise and to hope in the mercy of God.*

* "The force of David's character was vast, and the scope of his life was immense. His harp was full-stringed, and every angel, of joy and of sorrow, swept over the chords as he passed; but the melody always breathed of heaven. And such oceans of affection lay within his breast, as could not always slumber in their calmness. For the hearts of a hundred men strove and struggled together within the narrow continent of his single heart; and will the scornful men have no sympathy for one so conditioned, but scorn him, because he ruled not with constant quietness the unruly host of diverse natures which dwelt within his single soul?

"Such oceans of feeling did God infuse into his soul, and such utterance of poetry he placed between his lips, and such skilful music he seated in his right hand, in order that he might conceive forms of feeling for all saints, and create an everlasting psalmody, and hand down an organ for expressing the melody of the renewed soul. The Lord did not intend that his church should be without a rule for uttering its gladness and its glory, its lamentation and its grief; and to bring such a rule and institute into being, he raised up his servant David, as formerly he raised up his servant Moses, to give to the church an institute of Law. And to that end he led him the round of all human conditions, that he might catch the spirit proper to every one, and utter it according to truth. He allowed him not to curtail his being, by treading the round of one function, but by every variety of functions he cultivated his whole being, and filled his soul with wisdom and feeling. He found him objects for every affection, that the affection might not slumber and die. His trials were but the tuning of the instrument, with which the Spirit might express the various melodies which he designed to utter by him for the
We have not exhausted the catalogue of David’s qualifications to be the Psalmist of Israel. We have said nothing of his remarkable love for the tabernacle and the solemn worship there offered. Yet this was one of the strongly marked features of his character. It impressed all who knew him, and, when he was gathered to his fathers, the generation that came after continued to speak with affection of “David and all his afflictions,” all his anxious labours for the House of God—how he lamented for the ark all the years it lay neglected at Kirjath-jearim—how he coveted its presence in his own city, as the fairest jewel in his diadem—how he pitched for it a Tabernacle, and desired to build for it a Temple. If David ever had a ruling passion, it was his zeal, his consuming zeal, for the House of God. He could say with rare truth, “the zeal of thine house hath eaten me up.” How strongly is this expressed in the Twenty-seventh Psalm:

One thing have I desired of Jehovah,  
That will I seek after;  
That I may dwell in the house of Jehovah,  
All the days of my life;  
To behold the beauty of Jehovah,  
And to inquire in his temple.  
For he shall conceal me in his tabernacle  
In the day of evil:  
He shall hide me in the hiding-place of his tent;  
He shall set me upon a rock.

In David’s position, and with his love for the tabernacle service, an uninspired poet would, to a certainty, have so framed his hymns that, however suitable to the typical dispensation, consolation and edification of spiritual men. And though we neither excuse his acts of wickedness, nor impute them to the temptation of God, who cannot be tempted of evil, neither tempteth any man, we will also add that by his loss the church hath gained; and that, out of the evil of his ways, much good hath been made to arise; and that, if he had not passed through every valley of humiliation, and stumbled upon the dark mountains, we should not have had a language for the souls of the penitent, or an expression for the dark troubles which compass the soul that feareth to be deserted by its God.”—Edward Irving: Introduction to Horne on the Psalms (Collected Writings, Vol. i. pp. 418-420).
they would have become obsolete when the temple was given to the flames, and the cumbrous ritual, in which the fathers of the Old Testament worshipped God, was finally supplanted by a system of simple and spiritual ordinances. But David "spake as he was moved by the Holy Ghost;" and, accordingly, in his character of Psalmist, we may say of him, with Augustine, that "although he lived under the Old Testament, he was not a man of the Old Testament."* He seized on the spiritual elements and aspects of the tabernacle service, and wove these alone into the fabric of his songs; so that when "the things that might be shaken" were removed, the Psalms were found to belong to "the things which could not be shaken," and remained fixed in the worship of the catholic church.

The most important, in some respects, of all David's qualifications, remains yet to be noticed. "He was a prophet, and knew that God had sworn with an oath to him, that of the fruit of his loins, according to the flesh, he would raise up Christ to sit on his throne" (Acts ii. 30). Through Nathan he learned that the Promised Seed, the Hope of Israel, was to be born of his family, and to be the heir of his throne. He was thus taught to regard himself as a man who had been raised up to foreshadow his Lord, and his kingdom as one that was not only to foreshadow, but to be merged into the kingdom of that divine Son. Thus he was put in a position to write, not only psalms that were strictly prophetical of Christ, but a multitude of others which, although in some sense applicable to himself and his people, looked beyond him and them to the person and kingdom of the Son.

* Expositio Epistole ad Galatas, sect. 43.
CHAPTER III.

DAVID'S PSALMS.

One who is in search of the facts and testimonies which must be taken into account in forming an estimate of the number of David's psalms, naturally turns, in the first place, to the superscriptions. Of these no fewer than seventy-three bear the name of the royal psalmist. Thus the number of the psalms that are expressly ascribed to him wants only two of amounting to half the entire collection. Proceeding on this datum alone, we should be entitled to infer that his single contribution is, as nearly as may be, equal to those of all the other psalmists put together—so well is he entitled to be denominated, by way of eminence, The sweet Psalmist of Israel.

I am not forgetting or overlooking the fact that the trustworthiness of this source of information has been challenged. It has been maintained by many critics, especially of late years, that the superscriptions are of no authority; that they form no part of the sacred canon, having been inserted neither by the psalmists themselves, nor by the person—Ezra, or whoever he was—who finally edited the Old Testament Scriptures; that they merely represent an ancient tradition of the Hebrew schools, resembling in this respect the little notes appended to the Pauline Epistles, which, as everybody knows, form no part of the New Testament canon, and are full of errors. This question of the superscriptions involves several points of not a little interest and importance, and I shall revert to it in a note. One consideration may be mentioned at present, as furnishing a strong presumption in their favour. The parallel case of the
notes affixed to the Pauline epistles, goes to show that in ordinary hands, and indeed in any hands but those of persons who happen to be uncommonly expert in the modern art of criticism, the attempt to assign author and date to fugitive compositions, after the lapse of many years, is precarious in the extreme; or, rather, is sure to result in conjectures which can easily be convicted of error. Do we find then, that, like the notes referred to, the superscriptions in the Psalter are always worthless and often demonstrably in error? On the contrary, in many instances (the Ninetieth Psalm for example) they afford most valuable indications regarding the authorship and date; and the keenest impugner of their authority must admit that, although in some instances their testimony has been challenged, they have not, in any one case, been finally and conclusively convicted of error. Facts like these, if duly weighed, would, I believe, satisfy most minds that the scepticism with which the superscriptions are regarded in many quarters is quite unjustifiable, and that there is no good reason to question either their authority or the trustworthiness of their testimony. This inference derives not a little support from the circumstance that attempts made, soon after the completion of the Old Testament Canon, to deal with the Psalms as the early critics dealt with the apostolical Epistles in the appended notes, were quite as unsuccessful. The superscriptions as they stand in the Septuagint, contain statements without warrant from the Hebrew, regarding the authorship of certain psalms, and which no doubt embody the honest conjectures of the Alexandrian Jews. Thus the Twenty-seventh is entitled, "A Psalm of David before he was anointed"; the Ninety-seventh, "A Psalm of David when his country was restored"; the Hundred and thirty-seventh ("By the rivers of Babylon") is likewise ascribed to David. The version which contains these conjectures was executed little more than a century after Malachi's time; yet the conjectures are not only quite unreliable, but, in a large proportion of instances (as in the three just cited), demonstrably, and by universal admission, erroneous. If the Hebrew superscriptions had rested on no
better basis, they must have, in like manner, bristled with palpable errors; which certainly they do not. We hold ourselves entitled, then, on the ground of the superscriptions, to set down seventy-three of the Psalms as king David's.

Do these constitute David's entire contribution? or are we to set down to his account some of the remaining seventy-seven also? The whole of these he certainly did not write. That other pens besides his were employed on the Psalms, is not only universally acknowledged by modern critics, but has been known all along. It is hardly fair in some recent writers* to cite the opinion of Augustine and Chrysostom, who imagined that all the Psalms were David's, as if that had been the general opinion of the primitive times. "We know," wrote Jerome, "that they are in error who suppose that all the Psalms were written by David, and not by those whose names are inscribed upon them."† Besides, we have already had occasion to observe, that the title prefixed to the book in our Bibles, which seems to ascribe the whole to one psalmist, is not the most ancient. The title in the Hebrew Bible is not "the Psalms of David," but Sepher Tehillim, "the Book of Praises"; and the title found in the most ancient manuscripts of the Septuagint is The Psalter, or The Psalms. It would have been strange if the primitive students of the Scriptures had failed to discover the truth in this matter; for the superscriptions are quite as express in ascribing some psalms to Asaph and the sons of Korah, as they are in ascribing so many to David. Moreover, of those which are not furnished with superscriptions—"the orphan psalms" as the Jewish writers style them—not a few can be demonstrated to be of later date than David's reign. There are several which bear on the face of them indubitable evidence of having been first sung either by the exiles who hanged their harps on the willows by the rivers of Babylon, and wept as they remembered Zion; or by the remnant who re-

† Ad Cyprianum Explan. Ps. xc.; Opera III. 32.; comp. Tom. II. 41, III. 25, where he refers to "the Songs of David, and Jeduthun, and Asaph, and the sons of Korah."
turned with songs to the hills of Judah, and drank again of the soft-flowing waters of Siloa.

All this, however, still leaves undecided the authorship of a considerable number of psalms, especially towards the latter part of the collection—psalms which, although not bearing David's name in their titles, exhibit nothing, either in their titles or contents, that is plainly inconsistent with his having been the writer. What shall we say in regard to these? Shall we set them all down to David's account? or shall we refuse to attribute to him any save the seventy-three that bear his name? It must be admitted that any answer that can be given as yet to these questions can only claim to be regarded as a more or less probable conjecture. Critics of great note have taken up a position on either extreme. Dr Lightfoot,* for example, the famous Cambridge Hebraist, maintained that we are to presume that any given psalm is David's unless the contrary can be proved; that all are his whose titles or contents do not indubitably point to some other pen. So far as my observation goes, this is the view that pervades the writings of our older British divines, and indeed of most of the recent divines too, who have not made the subject a special study. The grand objection to it is the very obvious one, that it seems to leave nothing for the Davidic superscriptions to do. If the Ninety-ninth psalm, for example, although anonymous, is to be ascribed to David, as a matter of course, simply because there is nothing in it but might well enough have been written by him, it is difficult to see what use there was in prefixing his name to the Hundred-and-first. There is such obvious force in this objection, that one cannot be surprised to find that the tendency of late years has been to run to the other extreme, and refuse to recognise David's pen except in the psalms that bear his name. For my part, I do not doubt that the truth lies between the two extremes. The second hypothesis is the more feasible of the two, and may be regarded as lying nearer the truth. I am satisfied, nevertheless, that it cannot be accepted without qualification. There are some facts which refuse to be

* "Comment. on Acts," at c. iv. 25 (Works, i. 761).
reconciled to it. For example, it would compel us to attribute the Seventy-first Psalm, and even the Second, to some unknown pen, whereas in both cases internal probability, and in the second New Testament authority also, point to David as the writer. A third hypothesis is, that the anonymous psalms are to be ascribed to the writer last named in the superscriptions; but this, although favoured by the authority of Jerome, must likewise be rejected. If I might venture to lay down a rule, it would be to this effect: First, that all those psalms are David's which bear his name in the title; secondly, that the absence of his name may be taken as affording a presumption that he was not the writer;—but, thirdly, that this presumption, (for it is nothing more) may be regarded as counterbalanced and set aside in any given case, if it can be shewn that there is something, either in the psalm itself, in the place assigned it in the Psalter, or in the citation of it in the later Scriptures, which distinctly points to David. We have already ascertained that, by the first part of this rule, seventy-three psalms fall to be assigned to David. In addition to these, Dr Hengstenberg, for a variety of reasons, attributes to him other seven; and probably his estimate is not far from the truth. Thus we arrive at the result that rather more than half the Psalter proceeded from the pen of Jesse's son.

More interesting than the computation of the number of David's psalms, is the attempt to allocate them to their respective periods in his chequered life. It must be admitted that this cannot be done with anything like completeness or perfect accuracy. The Psalms have not been arranged in chronological order; and although the titles, viewed in connection with the contents, sometimes indicate the date, they quite as often leave us in the dark.

This impossibility of fixing the chronology of so many of the sacred lyrics, let us remark in passing, is by no means to be deplored. It is fitted rather to awaken a sentiment of gratitude, recalling as it does one of the most precious characteristics of some that are very memorable. Take the First psalm, or the Nineteenth, the Twenty-third, the Thirty-seventh, the Hundred-and-third, the Hundred and thirty-ninth, the Hundred and forty-fifth,
—these are all from David's pen, but who will venture to affix a date to one of them? They present an entire absence of such allusions to David's personal history, or the events of his time, as would have fixed them down to some particular period in his life. If the superscriptions had not informed us that they came from David's pen, the Psalms themselves would have afforded no hint of their origin;—except, indeed, that their inimitable power, freshness, thoughtfulness, and beauty, might have warranted the conjecture that they could have proceeded from no other harp than David's. They are the most catholic songs that were ever-sung since the making of the world, the most entirely free from those local and temporary elements which might have bound them to the age and country of their birth. One consequence is, that while the lyrics of Gentile antiquity have, in every instance, failed to strike root in any nation of modern Europe, these Psalms of David are domesticated everywhere—"familiar in the ear as household words." The metrical version of the Twenty-third Psalm in use in Scotland is a translation and nothing more; it is really David's psalm in English verse; yet it has taken as kindly to the soil as any of the native songs, and is lodged in the memory of every child. I mention this singular quality of David's finest lyrics at the present stage of our inquiry, that the reader may not think it an oversight if he should find that some of these have had no place assigned them in our chronological arrangement.

These explanations being premised, it will serve a useful purpose to point out the historical position of the most noteworthy of those psalms of David whose date can be determined with tolerable certainty.

It may be affirmed without hesitation that the Psalter contains no psalm written by David before he was anointed at Bethlehem; indeed, it is very doubtful whether there is any psalm prior in date to the victory over Goliath. The sorrows of David's life began with the envy and jealousy consequent on the defeat of the Philistine champion; and his sanctified genius did not give forth its perfect fragrance till it was bruised in God's chastening hand. It was the storm of affliction that awoke the full harmonies
of David's harp. We know for certain that a very considerable number of the psalms were written in the course of the ten years, or thereby, that Saul's persecution lasted—not fewer than ten, perhaps as many as sixteen. The character of these is remarkable. They often take the form of complaint. "How long wilt thou forget me? Shall it be for ever? Why standest thou afar off, O Lord? Why hidest thou thyself in times of trouble?" This is not surprising. It may well be believed that David found it difficult to hold fast his faith in God, when he saw himself a fugitive and an outlaw on account of the jealousies awakened by honours which he had never grasped at, which the providence of God had thrust on him unsought. It is to be remembered, moreover, that David was conscious not only of sincerity towards God, but of the most perfect rectitude, both of intention and of conduct towards Saul and the royal house. Accordingly we find that the psalms belonging to this period are not of the penitential order. On the contrary, they abound in protestations of rectitude, and appeals to God to bear witness of that rectitude. Indications, indeed, are not wanting, even here, that the psalmist was sensible of his unworthiness before God, that he knew very well that he was not clean in God's sight. The leaven of the Pharisees is nowhere found in the Psalter. But in the passages now under consideration, the thing principally insisted upon is the fact, that in relation to the men who sought his life the psalmist was blameless, and could therefore, without misgiving, appeal from their unrighteous judgment to the judgment of the Most High, and could even venture humbly to remonstrate with Him for so unaccountably exposing his servant to the fury of their malice. This is well exemplified in the Seventh psalm:—

1. Jehovah, my God, in thee have I put my trust:  
   Save me from all my persecutors, and deliver me.  
2. Lest he tear my soul, like a lion;  
   Rending it in pieces, while there is none to deliver.  
3. Jehovah, my God, if I have done this;  
   If there be iniquity in my hands;  
4. If I have rewarded evil unto him that was at peace with me;  
   —Yea, I have delivered him that without cause is mine enemy;—  
5. Let the enemy persecute my soul, and take it;
And mine honour let him lay in the dust. (Selah).

6. Arise, O Jehovah, in thine anger;
Lift up thyself because of the proud rage of mine adversaries;
And awake for me [to the] judgment thou hast commanded.

7. And let the assembly of the peoples compass thee about,
And over it do thou return on high.

8. Jehovah shall judge the peoples; judge me, O Jehovah,
According to my righteousness, and according to mine integrity
that is in me.

The Fifty-seventh Psalm may be referred to as exemplifying
a somewhat different aspect of the Psalmist's exercise of soul
during these years of peril and unrest. We still hear in it a
cry for mercy and an appeal to the just judgment of God, but
the thing that principally strikes a thoughtful reader is the
unwavering confidence expressed in the Divine faithfulness.
David's faith, soaring above the clouds and tempest, bathes
itself in the light of God's countenance:—

1. Be merciful unto me, O God, be merciful unto me:
   For in thee hath my soul taken refuge;
   And in the shadow of thy wings will I make my refuge,
   Until (these) calamities be over past.

2. I will call unto God Most High;
   Unto God that performeth (all things) for me.

3. He shall send from Heaven and save me;
   —Although he that would swallow me up hath reproached,*—
   (Selah)
   God shall send forth his loving-kindness and his truth.

7. My heart is fixed, O God, my heart is fixed:
   I will sing and give praise.

8. Awake up, my glory; awake, psaltery and harp;
   I will wake the morning dawn.*

9. I will praise thee among the peoples, O Lord;
   I will sing psalms unto thee among the nations.

10. For great unto the heavens is thy loving-kindness,
    And unto the clouds thy truth.

11. Be thou exalted above the heavens, O God,
    And above all the earth thy glory.

"I will praise thee among the peoples; I will sing psalms

* The rendering preferred by all the recent critics.
unto thee among the nations.” These are remarkable words. They shew that David, from his early days, was filled with the presentiment that he was inditing songs in which not Israel only, but the Gentiles, far and near, would one day praise the God of Abraham. How remarkably has the anticipation been fulfilled! David now “sings to God among the nations,” in this very psalm which so many nations have already learnt to use.

It is not likely that David’s muse went to sleep when the death of Saul at Gilboa opened his way to the throne, or that it produced nothing but such comparatively secular songs as the Lament for Saul and Jonathan.* It is rather remarkable, however, that there is not a single psalm of which one can affirm with confidence that it was written during the seven years and a half that David reigned at Hebron over the tribe of Judah. If Hebron was the birthplace of psalms, they must have belonged to the class formerly described as containing no trace of the circumstances of their origin: a class that, including such psalms as the Hundred and third and Twenty-third, are in some respects the most honourable and precious of all. It is a pleasing thought that some of these golden songs may have been first heard in the ancient frontier city, where the ashes of the patriarchs await in hope the resurrection of the just. An exception to this general remark about the Hebron psalms may perhaps be found in the Hundred and Thirty-first; the brief song which, teaching us to “become as little children,” and breathing the very spirit of little children, has always been such a favourite in the nursery. If it was not written during this period of the royal prophet’s life, it certainly expresses the feelings which were then predominant in his heart:—

1. Jehovah, my heart is not haughty,  
   Nor mine eyes lofty:  
   Neither have I walked in matters too great,  
   Or in things too wonderful for me.
2. Surely I have stilled and quieted my soul,

* 2 Sam. i. 17.
Like a child that is weaned of his mother:
Like a weaned child is my soul within me.

3. Let Israel hope in Jehovah,
From henceforth and for ever.

This very pleasant ode, I may remark, is in the title ascribed to David, and furnishes one of the instances in which the testimony of the titles is summarily rejected by many recent critics. Having, first of all, made up their minds that there are no psalms of David so far on in the Psalter, they either, like Dr Hupfeld, set aside the testimony of the title, as "unworthy of refutation," or at best they explain it away as meaning no more than that this is a psalm written "after David's manner." This latter explanation is adopted by Dr Delitzsch, who, however, admits that the sentiments of the psalm agree perfectly with all we know of David. The truth is, that the grounds on which the testimony of the inscription has, in this instance, been set aside, are fitted to confirm the impression that the scepticism with which these have come to be regarded cannot be justified. Dr Delitzsch is undoubtedly in the right, when he says that "David was a pattern of the sentiment expressed in this psalm," and that "resignation to God's guidance, submission to his dispensations, contentment with whatsoever he was pleased to mete out, were among the essential features of his noble character." By some of his many critics, the royal prophet has been accused of ambition, and it is by no means unlikely that his youth shewed some blossomings of that proud flower—the "last infirmity of noble minds." The sharpness with which his brothers accused him of pride, when they saw his valour roused by the disdainful challenge of the Philistine, would seem to indicate that the family at Bethlehem had observed in him aspirations and powers which looked beyond the tending of Jesse's flocks. But if ambitious thoughts found entrance into his mind, they were not cherished, or permitted to betray him into the measures characteristic of ambitious men. In all the brilliant company of gifted men who have risen from a low rank to sit amongst the mighty—the Princes, Statesmen, Warriors of the world—it would be hard to point out a single individual
who could have sung the Hundred and thirty-first Psalm with such perfect truth and fitness as the son of Jesse. His exaltation was of God's doing rather than his own. Samuel's call found him among the sheep; it was the king's commandment that introduced him to the court; it was what men call a mere chance that brought him to the battle-field where Goliath fell by his sling; and if, after that victory, he obtained the hand of Michal, and so reached the steps of the throne, it was the king who pressed on him the alliance. When Saul was in his power, he refused to deal the blow that would have ended his wanderings and put the crown on his brow. Even after Saul and Jonathan were taken out of the way by the sword of the Philistines, he allowed Ishboseth to set up his throne at Mahanaim, and was content to wait long years, till, without word or deed from him, the Lord moved all the tribes to offer him their allegiance.

When the whole house of Israel chose David for their king, and the throne was established at Jerusalem, the new capital, he lost no time in bringing up the Ark from Kirjath-jearim, and restoring the Tabernacle Service with more than its ancient splendour. And these great events were accompanied with a gush of sacred melody. They constituted the most memorable epoch in the history of the Hebrew Church, between the Exodus from Egypt and the Incarnation of Christ. Accordingly the songs belonging to this period are of a peculiarly lofty and joyful character. How does the Psalmist exult in the re-union of the whole House of Israel, in the Hundred and Thirty-third Psalm; a song which has, times without number, enabled God's people to give tuneful utterance to the grateful feelings of their hearts when "the Lord has built up Jerusalem, and gathered together the dispersed of Israel."

1. Behold how good and how pleasant it is,
   For brethren to dwell together in unity!
2. It is like the precious oil upon the head,
   That floweth down upon the beard,
   The beard of Aaron:
   That floweth down on the edge of his garments.
3. It is like the dew of Hermon,
   That floweth down on the mountains of Zion:
   For there hath Jehovah commanded the blessing,
   Even life for evermore.

The Prophet-King, when he found himself established in his palace at Jerusalem, crowned with the uncontested sovereignty over all Israel, did not forget that the increase of power and honour was attended with an increase also of responsibility and of danger. Accordingly, in at least one psalm, the Hundred and First, we find him offering up to God vows appropriate to his new circumstances, and prayers for that continual presence of the Lord which would enable him to perform the duties of his new station.

2. I will behave myself wisely in a perfect way;
   —O when wilt thou come unto me?—
   I will walk in the uprightness of my heart, within my house.

5. Whoso privily slandereth his neighbour,
   Him will I destroy;
   Whoso hath an high look and a swelling heart,
   Him will I not suffer.

6. Mine eyes shall be upon the faithful of the land,
   That they may dwell with me:
   Whoso walketh in a perfect way,
   He shall minister unto me.

7. He shall not dwell within my house who worketh deceit;
   He that speaketh lies shall not be established in my sight.

8. Every morning will I destroy all the wicked of the land;
   That I may cut off, from the City of Jehovah, all workers of iniquity.

This is the psalm which the old expositors used to designate "The Mirror for Magistrates"; and an excellent mirror it is. It would mightily accelerate the coming of the time when every nation shall be Christ's possession, and every capital a "City of the Lord," if all magistrates could be persuaded to dress themselves by it every time they go forth to perform the functions of their godlike office. When Sir George Villiers became the favourite and prime minister of King James, Lord Bacon, in a beautiful Letter of Advice, counselled him to take
this psalm for his rule in the promotion of courtiers. "In these
the choice had need be of honest and faithful servants, as well
as of comely outsiders who can bow the knee and kiss the hand.
King David (Psalm ci. 6, 7) propounded a rule to himself for
the choice of his courtiers. He was a wise and a good king:
and a wise and a good king shall do well to follow such a good
example; and if he find any to be faulty, which perhaps cannot
suddenly be discovered, let him take on him this resolution as
King David did, There shall no deceitful person dwell in my
house." It would have been well, both for the Philosopher and
the Favourite, if they had been careful to walk by this rule.

The Twentieth and Twenty-first psalms belong to the same
class as the one just mentioned, and may be very probably
referred to the same time: the Thirtieth also, which, as we
learn from the title, expresses the exercises of David's heart
when he took up his residence in the House he had built for
himself in Jerusalem, To these I am inclined to add the
Hundred and forty-fourth, which concludes with such a pleasant
picture of national felicity—the felicity of the people whose
God is the Lord.

It was observed before, that David's ruling passion was zeal
for the house and worship of God. He could take no pleasure
in his palace so long as the ark lay neglected at Kirjath-jearim.
I believe, therefore, that if he had been asked what were the
brightest days in his life, he would have named among the first
the day that saw the representatives of the twelve tribes bear-
ing the Ark of God in solemn procession from Obed-edom's
house, and depositing it in the New Tabernacle erected in
Jerusalem, the day when the Lord of hosts with the ark of
his strength came within the gates of Sion, and Sion became
the City of the Great King. No one can read the Twenty-
fourth psalm without perceiving that it must have been
composed expressly for the purpose of being sung at this great
solemnity. The Fifteenth psalm also appears to have been
coined in the same mint.

No sooner was the Ark established in the city, than David
resolved to rear on the rocky summit of Moriah a temple whose
magnificence might worthily express his reverent love of the Lord, his zeal for the Lord's worship and glory. "It came to pass, when the king sat in his house, and the Lord had given him rest round about from all his enemies; that the king said unto Nathan the prophet, See now, I dwell in an house of cedar, but the Ark of God dwelleth within curtains" (2 Sam. vii. 1, 2). We may well imagine that the king was disappointed when he learned from Nathan the next day, that the approbation which the prophet had expressed was recalled, and that the project on which his heart was set must be abandoned. He had shed much blood, and must therefore relinquish the hope of building the Sanctuary, in which the typical glory of the Old Testament Church was to be manifested in its utmost splendour. The honour he so much coveted was to be reserved to another generation. But if this was a disappointment, it was more than counterbalanced by the oracle which followed. Nathan was commissioned to let the king know that it was well that his heart had been so occupied with projects for the honour of God's name. The Lord whom he had thought to honour had prepared honour for him, and for his house after him. When his days should be fulfilled, and he should sleep with his fathers, his throne was not to perish as Saul's had done. He was to be the founder of a stable dynasty; a dynasty that should continue as long as the sun. "Thine house and thy kingdom shall be established for ever before thee; thy throne shall be established for ever." These were astonishing disclosures, and David did not fail to perceive and appreciate their drift. He connected them with former promises made to the fathers. He saw that the promise of redemption by the Seed of the woman which first rekindled hope in Adam's heart, the promise whose accomplishment Abraham was afterwards taught to expect in connection with his seed, and which was at a later time linked to the tribe of Judah, was now linked to his own house and lineage. He perceived that his Lord, the Star of Jacob, the Anointed One, the Christ of God, was to be his son, the heir of his throne, and that he would extend its dominion over all the nations, and establish it in perpetuity.
The king was deeply moved. The prayer in which he poured out his heart before God on the occasion, expresses just those feelings which were to be looked for in such a man on hearing disclosures so far-reaching and so glorious. He is not jubilant as when he welcomed the ark into Sion. It is not exactly gladness that possesses his mind. Rather it is awe, adoring reverence, an overwhelming and almost oppressive sense of his unworthiness, his nothingness, in the presence of God. "Who am I, O Lord God? And now, O Lord God, the word that thou hast spoken concerning thy servant, and concerning his house, establish it for ever, and do as thou hast said. For thou, O Lord of hosts, hast revealed to thy servant, saying, I will build thee an house: therefore hath thy servant found in his heart to pray this prayer unto thee." It would seem that David's feelings were too much oppressed to find vent in song. No psalm can be traced to the day of this oracle, although it was the Psalmist's brightest day. It marks, nevertheless, an epoch in the history of the psalms. From this time forward there are new strings audible in David's harp. Henceforth there is continual articulate mention of Christ, the divine king and hope of Israel. The reader will recall the Twenty-second Psalm, where the prophet celebrates Messiah's Cross and Crown, "the sufferings of Christ and the glory that should follow." He will recall also the Hundred and tenth, the psalm which furnished our Lord with the dilemma that silenced the Pharisees, and which holds forth David's son as David's lord, a priest on his throne like Melchizedek. Even had these psalms not borne David's name, we might safely have attributed them to his pen. And internal evidence, as well as the place it occupies in the Psalter, warrants us to add to them the Second also, which tells how Christ establishes his throne in the midst of his enemies. These are the most prominent examples of a class—the Messianic Psalms of David—to which it may be impossible to affix exact dates, but in which we undoubtedly hear the echo of Nathan's oracle.

The delivery of this great predictive oracle marks the highest noon of David's felicity. Thenceforward its sun declined. It was not long afterwards that the king fell into the sin which
darkened all his sky. After what was said before, regarding that great transgression and the manner in which it was overruled by God for the enrichment of the treasury of penitential song, nothing needs be added except that it is to this period that we owe the Thirty-second and the Hundred and forty-third as well as the Fifty-first psalms—three which (along with the Hundred and thirtieth, from a much later pen) Luther loved to describe as the Pauline Psalms.

Another fruitful occasion of psalms in the same middle period of David's reign, was found in those great foreign wars with the nations to the east and north, in the course of which the fate of the throne, and even of the nation, seemed more than once to tremble in the balance. The superscription of the Sixtieth psalm connects it with one of these wars; and there is one of the most beautiful of the Songs of Degrees,—the Hundred and twenty-fourth psalm, which seems to have been composed at the restoration of peace. This happy event called for a solemn national Thanksgiving, and there is ground for the conjecture that it was on the occasion of some such solemnity that the king delivered into the hands of the Levites and Congregation the Sixty-eighth psalm. This is the earliest in date of the great historical odes, and is in every respect remarkable even among David's writings. It is a magnificent triumphal anthem, sparkling with gems from the earlier scriptures, and is by many critics esteemed the loftiest effusion of David's lyrical muse.

The rebellion of Absalom was in David's pilgrimage a valley of the shadow of death. But if the sorrows it brought him were dark and chilling, God gave him songs in the night, in so much that the Psalter owes to this period some of its most precious treasures. To it we owe, among others, the Third and the Fourth psalms, the Morning and Evening Hymns of the church. From the midst of it proceeded also those expressions of unquenchable thirst for God, which have made the Sixty-third psalm so dear to the hearts of God's people that its echo rings through all Christian literature and devotion.
DAVID'S PSALMS.

1. O God, thou art my God, early will I seek thee;
   My soul thirsteth for thee, my flesh pineth for thee,
   In a dry and weary land, where no water is.

2. So in the sanctuary have I looked upon thee,
   To see thy power and thy glory.

3. For thy loving-kindness is better than life,
   (Therefore) my lips shall praise thee.

The able and unscrupulous men who participated in Absalom's revolt, were moved to do so, in part, by hostility to the cause of religion, of which David was the main representative and bulwark. Accordingly it is in the psalms belonging to this period—the Fifty-fifth for example, and the Sixty-ninth—that we meet with those denunciations of God's judgments on the enemies of the king, of which a handle has so often been made to depreciate the morality of the Old Testament Scriptures. Without anticipating what is to be afterwards urged in vindicating them from the imputation of vengefulness and cruelty, I shall only say that sober and devout readers will think twice before they brand, as full of hatred and cursing, Bible songs which were written by a man whose unrevenging, placable spirit, was as remarkable as his genius, and which the Lord Jesus sanctified by making them his own.

The Eighteenth psalm was written by David in celebration of the Lord's goodness in delivering him from all his enemies; and the Seventy-first, from which citations have been already given, was the plaintive song he uttered on his harp in his old age, when his sun was setting amidst clouds. It was the dying song of the swan of Israel. The immediate occasion of the Sixteenth and Seventeeth psalms is unknown. They are both from David's pen, and may be named here, in the last place, on account of the expression they give to the faith with which the royal saint contemplated the approach of the king of terrors.

Therefore hath my heart rejoiced and my glory exulted;
   Yea, my flesh shall dwell confidently.
For thou wilt not abandon my soul to the unseen world:
   Neither wilt thou suffer thy favoured one to see the pit.
Thou wilt make me to know the path of life,
THE SUPERSCRIPTIONS.

Fulness of joy in thy presence,  
Pleasures at thy right hand for evermore.*

As for me, in righteousness I shall behold thy face;  
I shall be satisfied, when I awake, with thy likeness.†

NOTE TO CHAPTER III.

THE SUPERSCRIPTIONS OF THE PSALMS.

A full discussion of this subject would lead into details appropriate only in a Critical Commentary. But it may be possible to present in a short note the points of principal importance.

The superscriptions are designed to serve a variety of purposes. 1. They sometimes indicate the writers of the respective psalms. The psalmists thus named in them are Moses, David and Asaph, Solomon, the sons of Korah, and the Ezrahites. It is remarkable that, while in the case of other psalmists, the custom is to set down the name without any farther intimation of the occasion on which the psalm was written, the mention of David’s name is in many instances accompanied with a historical notice which enables us to assign the psalm to the very point in his life to which it relates. Thus Psalms vii. lix. lvi. xxxiv. lli. lvii. cxli. lii. are assigned to the period of persecution under Saul’s reign; Psalms iii. and lxiii, to the time of Absalom’s rebellion; Psalm xxx. to the solemnity of the dedication of David’s house; Psalm lii, to the time of his great transgression; Psalm lx. to the year of the Syrian war.

2. Sometimes they indicate the character of the Psalms. One psalm is entitled, “A (psalm of) Praise;” others “A Song” or “A Psalm and Song;” very many “A Psalm;” a considerable number “A Maschil,” or Instruction. Michtam and Shiggaion are understood to be words of the same order; but their precise meaning has not been quite ascertained.

3. Sometimes they convey directions regarding the music. Thus “On Nehiloth” (Ps. v.) denotes the accompaniment of “flutes;” “on Neginoth” (Psalms iv. vi. liv. lv. lxvii. lxxvi.; also Ps. lxi.), the accompaniment of “stringed instruments.” Other terms indicate the tone: thus “on Alamoth” (Ps. xlvi.) “in the manner of the Virgins,” denotes the treble; “on Sheminith” (Ps. vi. and xii.), which is rendered literally in the Geneva version “on the eight (tune),” denotes the bass. The exceedingly obscure sentences which occur in a considerable number of superscriptions, and are left untranslated in our version, such as Aje leth-shahar, literally, “the hind

* Ps. xvi. 9-11.  † Ps. xvii. 15.
THE SUPERSCRIPTIONS.

of the dawn" (Ps. xxii.), are most probably the opening words of certain Hebrew songs, the melodies of which were to be used in singing the Psalms to which they are prefixed.

Although it is a little out of place, I may here notice the word Selah, inasmuch as it also would seem to be of musical import. It is found only in the Psalter and the Prayer of Habakkuk: in the former it occurs seventy-one, in the latter three times; usually in Psalms inscribed "to the Chief Musician." The meaning is quite uncertain; the most likely conjecture being that it is an instruction with regard to the instrumental accompaniment.

4. Several superscriptions relate to the sort of use for which the Psalms were designed. Thus fifty-five are inscribed "to the Chief Musician," and in three of these the name of Jeduthun is added, who was one of the three leaders of the song in David's time. Fifteen are entitled "Songs of Degrees" or "of goings up," being appropriated to the use of the people in their annual "goings up" to Jerusalem to the feasts. The Ninety-second Psalm is entitled "A Psalm or Song for the Sabbath-day," and is thus marked as the one which was constantly sung in the temple on that day.

So much for the Contents of the superscriptions: their Origin and Authority must next be noticed. The older interpreters received them without hesitation as proceeding either from the Psalmists themselves, or from those who finally arranged the canon of the Old Testament; and as therefore thoroughly to be depended upon. Since the middle of last century their authority has been much questioned, many of the more rationalistic critics rejecting them with contempt. After weighing as carefully as I could the evidence adduced on either side, I am convinced that those who, like Hengstenberg, Delitzsch, and Oehler, accept them as authentic, are altogether in the right. One strong presumption in their favour has been already touched upon in the preceding chapter. Other arguments are these:—

In the first place, they are undoubtedly very ancient, being probably much older than Ezra. Not only did the Alexandrian translators (about 300 B.C.) find them in their copies of the Psalter, but in their time the true sense of many of the terms occurring in them was no longer known. Their versions of them, often erroneous and often meaningless, is such as to shew that a good deal of it was mere guess work. Delitzsch mentions that the most ancient traditions of the synagogue betray the same perplexity. All this points to great antiquity. Another circumstance pointing in the same direction is, that the superscriptions are almost without exception confined to the Psalms written by David, his contemporaries, and immediate successors. Not one of the later psalmists is so much as named in them.

It is alleged, indeed, that in many cases the contents of the Psalms contradict the account of the authorship and date given in the superscriptions. Without entering into details (which belong to the Commentator), I can
only say that I have not found this indubitably made out in a single case; whereas there is occasionally such harmony between the superscriptions and the contents as cannot well be accounted for, except on the supposition that the former are authentic. I do not refer to such instances as the Fifty-first Psalm, where the contents distinctly suggest David and his great offence, for in these it might be argued that the superscriptions are the fruit of easy conjecture. I refer to instances of a harmony so recondite as to have only very recently attracted notice. The fact brought out for the first time by Delitzsch, that the "Asaph Psalms" constitute a group, the members of which are distinguished by certain well-defined features common to them all, is an instance in point: and there are others.

It is just possible that the superscriptions may have been affixed by those (Hezekiah's scribes, or whoever they were) who first began to make collections of the Psalms. More probably they come from the pen of the psalmists themselves. The circumstance that the hymn of Habakkuk is furnished with a title exactly corresponding to those of the Psalms, shews that it was the custom of the Hebrew writers thus to superscribe their poems. (Hab. iii. 1, comp. the latter part of ver. 19. Also 2 Sam. xxiii. 1).
CHAPTER IV.

DAVID'S ORDINANCES FOR THE SERVICE OF SONG.

ALTHOUGH the psalms we owe to David are more in number than those of all the other psalmists taken together, it would be doing injustice to his memory if we did not look beyond them, in estimating the whole amount of the contribution he was honoured to make to the hymnology of the Church. His services were not of one kind only, but manifold; insomuch that it would not be going too far were we to affirm that, if the son of Jesse had not written a single psalm, he would still have deserved to be held in everlasting remembrance as one of the principal instruments by whom God taught his Church to hymn his praise. It has been already remarked that he was a Prophet, not a whit behind the very chiefest of the prophets. In this character he was commissioned by the Lord to introduce into Israel, ordinances or institutions which exercised an immense influence on psalmody in many ways, especially in forming the minds of the succession of psalmists who took part in the composition of new songs, both in his own time and in the generations that followed, down to the cessation of prophecy and the close of the canon.

David's ordinances were twofold. In the first place, being called by the providence of God and moved by his Spirit to re-arrange the whole Levitical ministrations, he introduced into the house of the Lord a Service of Song, and set apart a numerous company, selected from the three principal families of the sacred tribe, to minister continually in this new office. In the second place, he formed in Jerusalem a School of Psalmody in
connection with the sanctuary. The elucidation of these very interesting Ordinances of David is the more necessary, inasmuch as the facts relating to them have received comparatively little attention, and will be new to many readers.

It is remarkable that the Law of Moses made no provision for a stated Service of Song in the tabernacle. It is not to be imagined, indeed, that till David's reign the Church was utterly unfurnished with such a service; that the saints who lived under Moses and the Judges had no divine songs to cheer their pilgrimage. On the contrary, as it has been already remarked, the Song of Moses lived in the memories of the people, and was sung in their dwellings from Bashan to the Sea. They possessed, moreover, the Song of the Red Sea, the Ninetieth Psalm, and, latterly, the songs of Deborah and Hannah. And who can doubt that there were other sacred lyrics? It is very evident that there was no lack of song in the School of the Prophets at Ramah. Respecting the uses to which the divine songs were put by the body of the people, it is impossible to speak particularly. The information we possess is scanty. However, it does not seem likely that their use was confined to the family circle and the School of Ramah. I am much inclined to think that when the New Moons and the Sabbaths came round, they would be sung likewise in more public religious assemblies, like those we are familiar with in the synagogues of a later age. But, however this may be, it is certain that there was no psalmody in the original Tabernacle Service. With the single exception of the Aaronic benediction, prescribed in the sixth of Numbers, the ordinances which the Law of Moses appointed for the Tabernacle were purely ceremonial, the shadow of good things to come. It was not the least of the honours put on the man after God's own heart, that he was commissioned to enrich the Levitical ministrations with such a spiritual heavenly ordinance as the Service of Song. This, taken in connection with the erection of the temple

* Compare Delitzsch, I. 563.
(which may be said also to have been David's work, since Solomon found the plan and the materials lying ready to his hand), constituted the only considerable alteration in the service of the Sanctuary during the continuance of the Old Testament dispensation. "God by David perfected the Jewish worship, and added to it several new institutions. The law was given by Moses, but yet all the institutions of the Jewish worship were not given by Moses; some were added by divine direction. So this greatest of all personal types of Christ did not only perfect Joshua's work, in giving Israel the possession of the promised land, but he also finished Moses' work in perfecting the instituted worship of Israel. . . . Thus David as well as Moses was made like to Christ the Son of David, in this respect, that by him God gave a new ecclesiastical establishment and new ordinances of worship."

This great Reformation in the worship of the Hebrew Church was not accomplished all at once, like the introduction of the Mosaic ordinances. It was brought in by a succession of measures, distributed over many years. Detailed information respecting these can be gathered from a series of contemporary documents which have been carefully engrossed in the first book of the Chronicles. As they possess much interest, and shed not a little light on the subject at present in hand, a brief notice of them here will not be out of place.

There is reason to believe that the prophet Samuel, among his other measures for the reformation of religion in Israel, had it in his heart to introduce some new arrangement of the Levitical ministrations, in room of that which Moses had set up, and which altered circumstances had now rendered obsolete. And it would seem that, before his death, he communicated his thoughts on the subject to David—the man who, as God's anointed king and prophet, would one day be able to carry them out. Hence the remarkable collocation of the names of "David and Samuel the seer" in 1 Chron. ix. 22. They were the joint authors of the new distribution of service amongst the families

* Edwards's History of Redemption, Period II. Part 5.
of the sacred tribe. However, nothing was done in the matter till David's throne was established in Jerusalem, and he was able to give effect to his long-cherished desire to bring forth the ark of the Lord from the obscurity in which it lay at Kirjath-jeearim, and establish it in his own city. To this epoch we can trace the first of his ordinances for the Service of Song. We have already seen that David's harp awoke to ecstasy at this time, and that among other psalms which date from it, there is one that was evidently composed for the express purpose of being sung at the solemn removal of the ark. I do not know that there is sufficient ground for affirming, with many critics, that the psalm in question—the Twenty-fourth—was sung in responsive choirs by the procession. But whatever opinion may be formed on that point, there can be no doubt that the psalm is one which demanded no little musical skill on the part of those who sung it, as they marched on that High Day, bearing the ark of the Lord of hosts within the ancient gates of the city of Melchizedek.

1. The earth is Jehovah's, and the fulness thereof; The world, and they that dwell therein.
2. For he hath founded it upon seas, And established it upon streams.
3. Who shall ascend into the hill of Jehovah? And who shall stand in his holy place?
4. He that is clean of hands and pure of heart; Who hath not lifted up his soul unto vanity, Nor sworn deceitfully.
5. He shall receive a blessing from Jehovah, And righteousness from the God of his salvation.
6. This is the generation of them that search for him, That seek thy face, O [God of] Jacob. (Selah.)
7. Lift up your heads, O ye gates; And be ye lift up, ye everlasting doors; And the King of Glory shall come in.
8. "Who is this King of Glory?" Jehovah strong and mighty, Jehovah mighty in battle.
9. Lift up your heads, O ye gates;
   And lift them up, ye everlasting doors;
   And the King of Glory shall come in.

10. “Who is He, this King of Glory?”
   Jehovah of hosts,
   He is the King of Glory. (Selah.)

No one who studies this psalm with attention will doubt that David, when he composed it to be sung in a solemn national assembly, knew he could reckon on the services of a numerous body of thoroughly educated musicians. Where were these found? The answer to that question is furnished by the chapter in the Chronicles, which narrates at great length the arrangements made for the solemnity. Among other things, we are told that “David spake to the chief of the Levites to appoint their brethren to be the singers, with instruments of music, psalteries and harps and cymbals, sounding, by lifting up the voice with joy;” and that, being thus admonished, “the Levites appointed Heman, Asaph, and Ethan,” with fourteen others “of the second degree.” And it is added that “Chenaniah, the chief of the Levites for song, instructed about the song, because he was skilful.”* From all this it is evident that, although under the law of Moses there was nothing about the ministrations of the Levites that obliged them to pay special attention to music and song, some leading men in the tribe had been led to do so, and had gained great proficiency. It is instructive, in this connection, to remark that the prophet Samuel was himself a Levite, that he was the son of a prophetess skilled in minstrelsy, and that Heman, one of the three Levitical masters of song, was his grandson. This reminds us of the fact we took notice of before, that in Samuel’s school at Ramah sacred music and song were among the most prominent studies in which the prophets were exercised. We may safely conjecture that Heman would not be the only member of the sacred tribe who profited by the studies of his grandfather’s school, and that it was by these studies that the Levites were prepared for the honourable office

* 1 Chron. xv. 16-22; Heb.
which God had in store for them in his house. It is worthy of notice that, all along, there was great intimacy between David and certain families of the Levites. Among those who joined him at Ziklag were a band of the sons of Korah*; and we may well believe that the psalms which, like so many constellations, beautified and cheered the long night of his early sufferings, would often be sung by his men. When the ark was to be brought up, it was with the Levites that David put himself into communication. He let them know that it was his heart's desire that there should be a solemn procession, and that the ark should be welcomed with a psalm into the place he had prepared for it. He put into their hands the Twenty-fourth psalm, and enjoined them to make arrangements among themselves for having it chanted to an appropriate tune, not without a grand instrumental accompaniment. “So the singers, Heman, Asaph, and Ethan, were appointed to sound with cymbals of brass,” that with these clear-toned instruments they might effectually sustain and guide the voices of the multitude of singers. Eight other Levites were appointed to accompany the song with psalteries, and six with harps. In all these arrangements the king took the deepest personal interest. He was himself an enthusiastic and accomplished musician. Long after, when the prophet Amos launched his invective against the dilettanti of degenerate Israel—men who spent their days on music for the mere carnal delight—he described them as “inventing to themselves instruments of music like David.” Among the instruments used at the bringing home of the ark, there would doubtless be, therefore, some of the king's own invention. Nothing which musical science could supply was wanting on the joyous occasion. The sacred historian relates that, when the High Day arrived, the king threw off the conventionalities of royal state, arrayed himself in a linen robe and ephod, and danced and played before the Lord in the solemn procession.

The next step David took was to arrange for the continuance of this Levitical Service of Song, as a perpetual ordinance before

* 1 Chron. xii. 6.
the Lord. The narrative of this comes immediately after that of the bringing up of the ark into the City of David. Among other things, we are informed that the king "appointed certain of the Levites to minister before the ark of the Lord, and to record, and to thank and praise the Lord God of Israel;" and it is added, "So he left there before the ark of the covenant of the Lord, Asaph and his brethren, to minister before the ark continually, as every day's work required."* It is to be remembered that when the ark was deposited in the new tent prepared for it in David's city, no attempt was made to remove the Tabernacle of the wanderings, or the great Altar of burnt sacrifice, from the station they had long occupied on the high place at Gibeon. It was there, and not at Jerusalem, that the sons of Aaron offered the burnt-offerings for all Israel till the temple of Solomon was finished. David, therefore, was careful to provide for a service of song at both sanctuaries. Accordingly, while Asaph and his brethren were appointed to minister before the ark in Jerusalem, Heman and Jeduthun, with their brethren, were appointed to minister under Zadok the priest, at Gibeon, singing and playing on musical instruments when the morning and evening oblations were offered, "giving thanks to the Lord, because his mercy endureth for ever."†

What were the particular psalms appointed to be sung, day by day, by these Levitical choirs, we are not informed. It is known with tolerable certainty that proper psalms were appointed respectively for every day of the week in the Second Temple; and a cycle of psalms, beginning with the Hundred and thirteenth—the "Great Hallel," as it is called—was regularly sung at the passover and the other solemn feasts. It may be presumed that some arrangement of the kind would be made by David from the first. But on this the sacred history is silent. There is a passage, indeed, in the chapter which relates David's appointment of the continual service of praise before the Lord, which might seem to intimate that the Hymn which

* 1 Chron. xvi. 4, 37.
† 1 Chron. xvi. 39-41; compare chap. vi. 31, 32.
fills the greater part of the chapter was, on that occasion, delivered by the royal psalmist into the hand of the Levites. This is evidently the light in which our translators regard the passage; for they render it thus, "Then on that day David delivered first this psalm to thank the Lord, into the hand of Asaph and his brethren: Give thanks unto the Lord, call upon his name, make known his deeds among the people,"* &c. But, as thus rendered, the statement is erroneous. The hymn which the chronicler has set down, and which it is impossible to read without perceiving its singular appropriateness for the temple service, is a kind of lyrical mosaic. It is a composition made up of portions of Psalms cv., xcvi., and cvi. Now it is certain that these were not, and could not be, delivered by David into the hand of Asaph. One of them bears evident marks of having been written during the Babylonish captivity; and it is next to certain that none of them is of an earlier date than the reign of Jehoshaphat or Hezekiah. It will be observed that the words this psalm are printed in italics, to intimate that they are wanting in the Hebrew, and were supplied by the translators to complete what they took to be the sense of the historian. The verse would have been better rendered thus, "Then on that day David first caused to thank the Lord by the hands of Asaph and his brethren;"—and indeed this is the sense assigned by almost all the translators, ancient and modern, from the Seventy to Luther and De Wette.† Bishop Patrick's note brings out the meaning quite correctly: "This David appointed or ordained in the first place at that time, namely, that God should be praised by Asaph and his colleagues in the manner following. Which solemn service began on the day when he brought up the ark, and ever after was continued." What the historian meant to state was simply, that this was the first occasion on which David gave charge to Asaph to minister before the Lord in the Service of Praise. Having made this statement, he inserts in his

* 1 Chron. xvi. 7, 8.
† Thus the Geneva Bible:—"Then at that time David did appoint at the beginning to give thanks to the Lord by the hand of Asaph and his brethren."
narrative, at this point, those portions of the Psalter which in his time had come into daily use in the temple service. There is, of course, a prolepsis in the insertion of the magnificient composition in connection with David's ordinances; but it is just such a prolepsis as is of frequent occurrence in the Chronicles, and cannot justly be censured as involving either error or oversight.*

David having thus established the continual service of song in the hands of Asaph, Heman, and Jeduthun, with their brethren, nothing more was done in the matter till near the close of his life. Meanwhile the Lord had, by Nathan, signified his approval of the king's project of erecting a temple in the room of the ambulatory tabernacle. It was plain that the old distribution of duty among the members of the sacred tribe, according to which so many Levitical families were set apart to the business of carrying the sacred vessels and the several parts of the tabernacle, when the sanctuary removed from place to place, was no longer appropriate, and might with advantage be set aside. The royal prophet, accordingly, a short time before his death, made a new distribution of service: "For David said, The Lord God of Israel hath given rest unto his people, that they may dwell in Jerusalem for ever: and also unto the Levites; they shall no more carry the tabernacle, nor any vessels of it for the service thereof." The particulars of the redistribution are given in the twenty-third and twenty-fifth chapters of the First book of Chronicles. The only points that we are concerned to take notice of at present being those relating to psalmody, it is sufficient to observe, that of the Levites, no fewer than Four Thousand were appointed for song, "to stand every morning to thank and praise the Lord, and likewise at even." These singers were divided into four and twenty courses, of which fourteen were presided over by the fourteen sons of Heman the Korahite, four by the four sons of Asaph, and six by the six sons of Ethan.

Before passing from the consideration of these ordinances of

* Hengstenberg, IV. i. 168.
David for the Levitical service of song, I am anxious to put in a caveat against a misapprehension of their design, into which the unwary student of the Bible is apt to fall. It would be a grave error to imagine that the singing of the psalms by the Levitical choirs, with the accompaniment of instrumental music, was either the principal or the most honourable use for which the sacred lyrics were designed by the Holy Spirit. No doubt the service was a very magnificent and imposing one: and we have already pointed out that there was in it a larger infusion of the spiritual element than was found in the original Levitical ordinances. Yet, after all, the psalmody of the temple was a part of the ceremonial worship of the old covenant; inferior, therefore, in real honour, because inferior in spirituality and truth, to the service of praise that ascended to God day by day from the dwellings of the godly in the land. An attentive consideration of the Psalms of David leaves on one’s mind the impression that, although perhaps none of them was absolutely unfit for use in the Levitical service, the greater number were much better adapted for the simpler worship offered in families, and in such religious assemblies as gathered round the prophets on New Moons and Sabbaths, to hear the Word of God. It was to this kind of worship, rather than to the magnificent ceremonial of the temple, that they most naturally lent themselves; and for it they were principally designed from the first. The Psalter has sometimes been styled the Hymnal of the Temple, and I will not impugn the accuracy of the title; but if it was meant for the Temple, it was more obviously and emphatically meant, as it was more perfectly fitted, for the Family, the Synagogue, and the Catholic Church.

David, we have said, besides introducing a Levitical service — of song, founded at Jerusalem a School of Psalmody. This institution derives extraordinary importance from the fact that, with one or two doubtful exceptions, all the psalms which we owe to other pens than those of Moses and David, were written by men who were educated in, or owed their impulse to, this school at Jerusalem. A statement of the facts that have been
ascertained in relation to the institution, will throw light, therefore, on all the subsequent history of Bible psalmody.

That David founded in Jerusalem a school of sacred music, needs no proof. It was implied in the dedication of four thousand Levites, with their children after them, to the service of song. That something more than music, however, was to be taught in the school might have been surmised from the circumstance, that the presidents of the families of singers were something more than musicians. Let the reader turn to the twenty-fifth chapter of First Chronicles, and mark the terms there applied to Asaph, Jeduthun, and Heman. First, we are told that the function of all three was to "prophesy with harps, with psalteries, and with cymbals;" then Asaph is described as one who "prophesied according to the order of the king;" Jeduthun as one "who prophesied with a harp, to give thanks, and to praise the Lord;" and Heman is styled "the king's seer in the words of God." These terms are highly significant, and ought not to be passed lightly over or explained away. They shew that the presidents of the Levitical families were not mere artistes, mere musical performers. They were men to whom God was wont to vouchsafe those supernatural motions of the Holy Spirit, which were witnessed in the Seventy Elders whom Moses ordained in the wilderness, and which attested the gracious presence of God in Samuel's school at Ramah. In regard to some of them, we have warrant to go further. It may perhaps be doubted whether the Heman and Ethan-Jeduthun, whose names occur in the superscriptions of the Eighty-eighth and Eighty-ninth Psalms, are to be identified with the famous singers who bore those names in David's reign; but it is certain that the Asaph of David's reign was a writer of psalms. It is certain also that, whether Heman was a psalmist or not, his brethren, the sons of Korah, over whom he presided, enjoyed that high honour. These Levites, therefore, were "holy men of God, who spake as they were moved by the Holy Ghost." Having, like David himself, been trained in poetry and song, they, like him, enjoyed the supernatural inspiration of the Spirit, that they might be qualified to
bring gifts into the treasury of divine praise. It may safely be assumed that the school which had such men for presidents was something more than a musical academy.

I am much inclined to think, that what David did in this matter was, in effect, the transplantation to Jerusalem, or the reproduction there, of the school of the prophets, which Samuel so long taught at Ramah, and to which David had been so much indebted in his youth. Let such facts as the following be carefully weighed, and I believe they will be found to sustain this conjecture. First of all, let it be remembered that Samuel's school at Ramah had proved itself to be an institution of incomparable value in promoting every part of the comprehensive scheme of reformation, which it was the aim of Samuel to accomplish. The more the Israelitish history is investigated, the more clearly does it appear that, in regard to the diffusion of the knowledge of the divine law and of the history of the chosen people, in regard to the revival of living religion, and in regard to the cultivation of spiritual gifts, Samuel's school exerted a greater influence than any other institution in the country. This was so well known, that when Elijah and Elisha, some generations afterwards, were moved to attempt, in the kingdom of the Ten Tribes, a reformation similar to that which Samuel had accomplished in the undivided nation, they took a lesson from his example, and set up Prophetical Schools. This they did at Gilgal, at Jericho, at Bethel. These homes of "the sons of the prophets," it will be observed, all lay within the Ten Tribes. After Samuel's death, we do not meet with a single notice of such an institution in the kingdom of Judah. How is this to be explained? Is it to be supposed that Judah was less favoured in this particular than her sister Samaria? that while the kingdom which had broken away from the throne of David and the House of the Lord, had colleges in which her young men were taught in the Law, and trained in the exercises of piety under holy prophets, the more faithful kingdom was restricted to the carnal ordinances of the Levitical system? Above all, can it be believed that a prince like David, who knew so well the value of Samuel's institution, would
permit it to go down without setting up some similar school to continue its work? These are questions which admit of only one reply. We cannot doubt that, when David chose Jerusalem for the capital of the kingdom, and learned that the Lord had chosen it for His dwelling-place, the seat of the ark and the solemn worship, and when he gathered to it the heads of the sacred tribe, he not only founded a school of sacred music, but made it, in effect, a prophetical school also,—an institution in which the sons of Levi might be trained in the knowledge of the Law, and in which, especially, the families of Heman, Asaph, and Jeduthun might receive such instruction in music and song as would fit them for giving voice to the feelings of the church in new songs, if God by his Spirit should ever call them to that honourable duty. The Korahites were Samuel's kinsmen,—for he was a Levite of the family of Korah,—and Heman was the old prophet's descendant. It would have been strange indeed if David had allowed the grace, the spiritual gifts, the cultivated taste of these seers, to be expended only in personal services, which would, for the most part, die with themselves. Our conjecture therefore is, that David's School of Sacred Song was, in effect, the reproduction at Jerusalem of Samuel's Prophetical School, in closer connection than ever with the Levitical tribe. It agrees with this that Heman, the grandson of Samuel, is always presented as the chief of David's Levitical seers, having Asaph on his right hand, and Ethan-Jeduthun on his left.* It is no small confirmation of our whole theory that when Jehoshaphat, in the beginning of his reign, commiserating the ignorance and spiritual destitution of the people, sent chosen men in circuit through the whole kingdom, "to teach in the cities of Judah" the law of the Lord, it was in the tribe of Levi that the necessary learning was found,† from which it may be reasonably inferred that there existed in Jerusalem some such Levitical school as we have supposed David to have founded.

The importance of the service which David thus rendered to

---

* 1 Chron. vi. 39, 44.  
† 2 Chron. xvii. 7-9.
the cause of Bible psalmody is best illustrated by referring to the extent and value of the contributions made to the Psalter by the Levites who presided over it, or were trained within its walls. Interesting facts bearing on this will come before us when we reach the times of the later kings, the captivity, and the return. For the present, it will suffice to call attention to the psalmists who were David's contemporaries. Without a single exception, they were Levites, and belonged to the families who were dedicated to the service of song.

A word or two must be said at this point on a question relating to the Superscriptions. Every reader knows, that while certain of these, as they stand in our English Bibles, declare who were the writers of the respective psalms, running thus,—"a psalm of David," a psalm of Asaph," "a prayer of Moses the man of God;" others declare rather the persons for whose benefit they were designed, or the singers who were entrusted with the musical delivery of them in the sanctuary. Thus, one psalm is entitled "a psalm for Solomon;" and several are stated to be "for the sons of Korah." It is right to mention that in the Hebrew it is the same preposition that is used in all these cases; and a glance at the margin will show that our translators were by no means confident that they had done well to vary the rendering in English. In every instance in which they use for in the text, they have set down to in the margin. I think it would not be difficult to assign the reason which deterred them from adopting a uniform rendering. They were haunted with the feeling that David was the real author of the psalms which bear the names of Solomon and the sons of Korah in their titles; that the Forty-second and Forty-third, for example, were written by him when he fled beyond the Jordan before the face of Absalom. A touch of the same feeling shows itself in some of our best modern critics. Dr Hengstenberg is so strongly moved by it, that he has betaken himself to the somewhat unnatural hypothesis, that although the sons of Korah were the writers of the two psalms referred to, they wrote in the king's name, and it was the feelings and exercises of his heart, rather than their own, that they uttered in song. Dr Hupfeld again, thinks these
psalms are without doubt from David's pen, and summarily rejects the titles for naming the sons of Korah as the writers. I mention these opinions simply as an act of justice to our venerable translators; for they at least go to show that the rendering of the superscriptions was not varied through caprice or carelessness. However, there can be no doubt that the rendering given in the margin is the better of the two. The design of the preposition is to indicate the authorship.*

One other preliminary remark. Among the psalms ascribed in the titles to Asaph and the sons of Korah, are some which cannot have been written before the reign of Jehoshaphat. In the case of the Korahite psalms this need occasion no difficulty, for the sons of Korah continued to officiate as singers in the temple down to the fall of the monarchy. And the case of the Asaph-psalms may well be explained on the same principle. The posterity of the great Asaph, the contemporary and prophet-psalmist of King David, were singers till long after the captivity; and it is a reasonable conjecture that the psalmists raised up from among them may have borne their great ancestor's name.

These observations premised, let us take note of the psalmists who were David's contemporaries, and the contributions they were honoured to make to the Psalter. The circumstance that, without exception, they were Levitical singers, and that they did not begin to write till the ark was established on Zion, is exceedingly significant, as an indication of the predominant influence exercised by David in the domain of psalmody. They were "the king's seers in the words of God" (1 Chron. xxv. 5).

Twelve psalms are, in the titles, ascribed to the sons of Korah.† The persons so designated were a Levitical family of the line of Kohath, and derived their name from their ancestor Korah—the same whose name is commemorated with infamy in the history of the wanderings. Both by the original Mosaic

* Comp. Isaiah xxxviii. 9, and Habakkuk iii. 1; where the same preposition is employed in superscriptions, to declare the authorship of the "writing" or "prayer" that follows.
† Some features characteristic of these psalms in common are pointed out by Delitzsch; on Ps. xlii. (Commentar i. 327).
ordinance, and by the ordinance of "David and Samuel the seer," "the oversight of the gates of the house of the Lord" was committed to them (1 Chron. ix. 23)—a circumstance that sheds new interest on the sentiment expressed by them in the Eighty-fourth psalm; "I had rather be a door-keeper in the house of my God, than to dwell in the tents of wickedness.” When it became known that the Lord had rejected Saul, and anointed David to the kingdom by the hand of their kinsman Samuel, certain Korahites were among the first to cast in their lot with the youthful hope of Israel (1 Chron. xii. 6). In the person of Heman, the grandson of Samuel, the family furnished David with one of his three prophet-psalmists; and of the twenty-four courses of singers, fourteen were presided over by Heman’s sons. “All these were under the hands of their father for song in the house of the Lord, with cymbals, psalteries, and harps, for the service of the house of God” (1 Chron. xxv. 6). As Singers, the Korahites are mentioned as late as the reign of Jehoshaphat (2 Chron. xx. 19); as Porters, they are mentioned as serving in the second temple (Neh. xi. 19). None of the psalms bearing their name bears any mark of having been written after the captivity: a circumstance worth noting, as a corroboration of the accuracy of the superscriptions. It may be safely assumed that at least four of the twelve Korahite psalms were written by David’s contemporaries. Of these the Forty-fourth appears to have been written in the crisis of David’s Syrian and Edomite wars, when destruction seemed impending over the kingdom. The Forty-second and Forty-Third (which go together) must have been written by some of the Korahites who accompanied David in his flight beyond the Jordan during Absalom’s rebellion.

As the hart which panteth after the water-brooks,  
So panteth my soul after thee, O God.  

O my God, my soul is bowed down within me:  
Therefore will I remember thee from the land of Jordan, and of the Hermons,  
From the hill Mizar.
Why art thou bowed down, O my soul? and why art thou disquieted within me?

Hope thou in God: for I shall yet praise him,
The health of my countenance, and my God.

To the same occasion we may most probably refer also the Eighty-fourth psalm. It everywhere breathes the same fervent thirst for that communion with the living God which is enjoyed by the faithful when they resort to the sanctuary.

How amiable are thy tabernacles, O Jehovah of hosts:
My soul longeth, yea, even fainteth, for the courts of Jehovah:
My heart and my flesh cry out for the living God.
Blessed are they that dwell in thy house:
They will be still praising thee.

If David is, without controversy, the prince of the psalmists, Asaph stands next to him in honour. The psalms in which the Levites sang praise to the Lord in the days of Hezekiah are called "the words of David and of Asaph the seer" (2 Chron. xxix. 31). The emphatic manner in which the prophetic title is here annexed to Asaph's name, suggests that he was favoured with a larger measure of the prophetical spirit than any of the Levitical prophets who were his contemporaries. The facts known respecting him are briefly told. He was a Levite, of the family of Gershon. He was one of the three presidents of the Levitical singers, standing at Heman's right hand, as Ethan-Jeduthun did at his left. His four sons presided, under him, over four companies. Their descendants continued to minister in the service of song as long as the first temple stood, and are mentioned in this connection in the histories of Jehoshaphat and Hezekiah.* They mustered, to the number of one hundred and twenty-eight, among the exiles who returned to Jerusalem with Zerubbabel, and are found ministering in the second temple before the cessation of prophecy. When Zerubbabel and Jeshua laid the foundation of the house, amidst the tears and shoutings of the remnant who had returned, it was the sons of Asaph who "praised the Lord with cymbals, after the ordinance of

* 2 Chron. xx. 14; xxix. 13.
David king of Israel. And they sang together by course in praising and giving thanks unto the Lord; because he is good, for his mercy endureth for ever toward Israel."*

There must have been several members of this family who inherited at once their father’s name and his gift of minstrelsy; for of the twelve Asaph-psalms, several are of a date long subsequent to David’s reign. It deserves to be noticed, however, as confirmatory of the testimony of the superscriptions in prefixing the name to all the twelve, that they constitute a class by themselves. They are the following: Psalm 1, and Psalms lxxiii. to lxxxiii. inclusive. Dr Delitzsch of Erlangen, who was the first to call attention to the peculiarities which characterise these Asaph-psalms, remarks, among other things, that “they are distinguished from the Korahite psalms by their prophetical and judicial character. Like the prophetical books, they frequently introduce God as the speaker. After the manner of the prophets, they contain lengthened representations of God as the Judge of all, as well as somewhat lengthened discourses spoken by Him in that character (Ps. 1. lxxv. lxxxii.). Besides their predictive aspect, the Asaph psalms present a historical aspect also, frequently commemorating facts pertaining to the ancient times; and one of them, the Seventy-eighth, is altogether devoted to holding forth the ancient history of the nation as a mirror for the present generation to look into. The consecutive perusal of the twelve Asaph-psalms, brings to light this other curious peculiarity, that Joseph, and the tribes descended from him, are mentioned more frequently in them than in any other.” The reader may easily verify this last remark by turning to Psalms lxxvii. 15; lxxviii. 9, 67; lxxx. 1, 2; lxxxi. 5.

Of the Asaph-psalms which we have reason to suppose were written by David’s illustrious contemporary himself, three may be named as worthy of special notice. The Seventy-eighth claims notice as one of the earliest of the great historical odes. It recapitulates the history of the chosen people from the Exodus till the reign of David; and it comes behind no psalm of its class

* Ezra iii. 10; Neh. xi. 22.
for depth of insight into the treasures of instruction, which the
Spirit of God has stored up in the Sacred History for the edifica-
tion of all generations.* The Seventy-third psalm is another of
Asaph's; and it is one for which God's people will never cease
to cherish his memory. It is a kind of lyrical epitome of the
book of Job. It delineates the trial and triumph of grace in a
believer, whose faith, after staggering at the sight of prosperous
wickedness, recovers on observing the sudden destruction of the
ungodly, and especially on recollecting (what he feels he ought
never to have forgotten) that the chief end and felicity of man
is, after all, to be found in God;—not in worldly prosperity, but
in the participation of God's favour.

The Fiftieth psalm is from the same pen. It is remarkable
for this, that although written at the time when the Levitical
ritual was celebrated with its utmost splendour, and by a Levite,
whose office called him to act a principal part in some of its
most splendid services, it contains as energetic a protest as the
apostle Paul ever uttered, against the imagination that cere-
monies are in themselves well-pleasing to God. It preaches,
from the midst of the ritual magnificence of the age of David
and Solomon, the very doctrine which our blessed Lord unfolded
to the astonished woman of Samaria at Jacob's well, that God is a
Spirit, and they that worship him must worship him in spirit and
in truth. What could be plainer or bolder than these words?—

7. Hear, O my people, and I will speak;
    O Israel, and I will testify unto thee:
    God, even thy God, am I.

8. Not for thy sacrifices will I reprove thee,
    Yea, thy burnt-offerings are before me continually.

9. I will take no bullock out of thy house,
    Nor he-goats out of thy folds.

10. For every beast of the forest is mine,
    And the cattle on a thousand hills.

11. I know every bird of the hills,
    And the wild beasts of the field are with me.

12. If I were hungry I would not tell thee;
    For the world is mine and the fulness thereof.

* This Psalm will be noticed again in another connection (Book II. chap. 8).
13. Will I eat the flesh of bulls?
And the blood of he-goats will I drink?
14. Sacrifice unto God thanksgiving;
And pay to the Most High thy vows.
15. And call upon Me in the day of trouble;
I will deliver thee and thou shalt glorify me.

These last are golden sentences! The hecatombs that Solomon and the congregation offered at the dedication of the House were, doubtless, acceptable in God's sight; but they owed their acceptance to the joyful faith and thankfulness that animated the offerers,—to their humble reverence and unreserved devotion to the God of Israel. And there is not a poor troubled one on earth this day, there is not a soul crushed beneath a load of sorrow, in whom, if he will but importunately call on God, “making known to him his requests with thanksgiving” (Phil. iv. 6), God will not take a higher delight than he did in the costly and magnificent offering of the king.
CHAPTER V.

PSALMODY UNDER SOLOMON AND THE LATER KINGS.

The river of sacred song that gladdened the reign of David dwindled into a brook when the great psalmist died. Of the Hundred and fifty psalms, not more than four can be traced to the age of Solomon. This is certainly a much smaller number than might have been expected, considering the intellectual brilliance of the age, and especially considering that the wise king and his contemporaries had been nurtured amongst the songs of Zion. In explanation of this, it has been customary to allege, with Dr Delitzsch, that the age of Solomon was one rather of reflective study than of direct and deep feeling, that the yearning after higher things, which marked the preceding generation, had given place to the lust of present enjoyment, and that if, of the Thousand and five songs which the king wrote, all have perished save two or three, the reason is to be found in the fact that he spake of all things, from the cedar to the hyssop, directing his studies rather to the arcana of nature than to the mysteries of grace. There may be something in this, but it is too strongly put, for Solomon is the undoubted author of the Song of Songs. May not a better explanation be found in another direction? We know that the function of the Psalms was not so much to set forth new revelations, as to aid the church in appropriating and responding to the revelations already given. May it not be that the material of which psalms are woven,—the prior revelations of divine truth,—had been so far exhausted by David and his contemporaries, that a
long time had to elapse,—the church’s stock of knowledge had to be enlarged by new revelations and new experiences,—before there could be a copious flow of new songs? Certain it is, as we shall afterwards see, that the only period that was very fruitful of psalmody after the reign of David, was preceded by that marvellous disclosure of God’s purposes regarding the church and the world, which took place by the ministry of Isaiah and the other prophets who lived about the same time.

Two psalms bear Solomon’s name in their titles. One of these is the Hundred and twenty-seventh, entitled, A Song of Degrees, of Solomon.

1. Except Jehovah build the house,
   In vain they labour that build it:
   Except Jehovah keep the city,
   In vain the watchman waketh.
2. It is vain for you to rise up early, to sit up late,
   To eat the bread of sorrowful toils:
   Even so he giveth to his beloved [in] sleep.
3. An heritage of Jehovah are children;
   A reward is the fruit of the womb.
4. As arrows in the hand of a mighty man,
   So are the children of [a man’s] youth.
5. Happy the man who hath filled his quiver with them:
   They shall not be ashamed,
   But they shall speak with the enemies in the gate.

Some recent critics throw doubt, here also, on the trustworthiness of the superscription. But most people will judge that this must be in virtue of some foregone conclusion, and will agree with Luther, Calvin, and the generality of the older commentators, in thinking that the psalm is so exactly in the manner of the wise author of the Proverbs, that we need not hesitate to attribute it to his pen. It is the lyrical expression of thoughts which run through the “dark sayings” of that book. The first part of it, for instance, is a beautiful reproduction of Prov. x. 22: “The blessing of the Lord, it maketh rich, and he addeth no sorrow with it;” and the correspondence is still closer if we translate the latter clause, as many do, “And sorrowful toil addeth nothing to it.” Familiar as the Proverb
has become in the speech of every Christian nation, the Psalm is yet more familiar. From it the pious builders of a former generation borrowed the Nisi Dominus Frustra, which may be read on the lintels of houses in our older streets. An admirable confession of faith to be made by any man who is called to be a builder in Church or Commonwealth! It is the Lord's blessing that builds the House and keeps the Town; that fills the House with the stir of children, and peoples the Town with valiant sons, who, with unabashed brow, will speak with the enemies in the gate.

Solomon's other psalm is the Seventy-second, and here also the traces of his pen are unequivocal. A mistaken interpretation of the note appended to it,—"the prayers of David, the son of Jesse, are ended,"—led most of the older commentators to attribute the psalm to David, and to suppose that it is a prayer offered in his old age "for Solomon," as the peaceful prince who was to succeed him on the throne. However, it has long been known that the note in question refers to the whole of the preceding portion of the Psalter,—much of which was written by Asaph and the sons of Korah; and there can be no doubt that the title can only be translated "of Solomon." So clear are the traces of Solomon's pen, that Calvin,—whose sagacity in this kind of criticism has never been excelled,—although he thought himself obliged, by the note at the end of the psalm, to attribute the substance of it to David, felt Solomon's touch so sensibly, that he threw out the conjecture that the prayer was the father's, but that it was afterwards thrown into the lyrical form by the son. This is not the place for detailed exposition; I will therefore content myself with remarking that, properly speaking, the psalm is not "for Solomon" at all. If it refers to him and his peaceful reign, it does so only in as far as they were types of the Person and Kingdom of the Prince of Peace. The psalm, from beginning to end, is not only capable of being applied to Christ, but great part is incapable of being fairly applied to any other.

The Forty-fifth is another Messianic psalm belonging to this period. It was not written by Solomon, but by "the sons of
Korah,"—the same Levitical family who had made such precious contributions to the Psalter in the preceding reign. Its theme,—its primary and proper theme,—is the glory of the Lord Christ and the Church's marriage to Him; and this is celebrated with gorgeous imagery, which reminds us of the reign of King Solomon at every turn. The King's house is an ivory palace, fragrant with myrrh and aloes and cassia. The Queen is arrayed in gold of Ophir, and the daughter of Tyre brings in her hand the wealth of the nations for a wedding gift. The parallel between the Song of Solomon and the Psalm cannot escape any reader, and we may very confidently attribute them both to the brilliant age of the son of David.

The great event of Solomon's reign was the building of the Temple. It was a high day in Israel when, at the close of the great prayer which was offered at the consecration of the House, the fire came down from heaven upon the altar of burnt-offering, and the cloud of the divine glory filled the sanctuary. Did the harp of inspired song hang silent on the wall that day? On the contrary, we can distinctly trace to this epoch one of the greater Messianic psalms. As the Twenty-fourth was composed by David to be sung at the bringing up of the ark to the tabernacle on Mount Zion, so the Hundred and thirty-second was composed by Solomon, or by some Levitical psalmist in concert with him, to be sung when the ark was borne into its final resting-place within the golden chamber of the Temple. Solomon's prayer on the occasion, as it is reported in the Chronicles, concludes with petitions that constitute the burden of the psalm, "Now therefore arise, O Lord God, into thy resting-place, thou, and the ark of thy strength: let thy priests, O Lord God, be clothed with salvation, and let thy saints rejoice in goodness. O Lord God, turn not away the face of thine anointed: remember the mercies of David thy servant."* I do not forget that some, like our venerable Translators, judge the psalm to have been written by David for a "prayer at the removing of the ark," and suppose that it is he who here "commendeth unto God the religious care he had for the ark;" nor do I forget that other

* 2 Chron. vi. 41, 42.
critics connect the psalm with the consecration of the Second Temple. But neither supposition corresponds perfectly to the tenor of the psalm. God did not say of David's new tabernacle, "This is my rest for ever; here will I dwell:" and as for the Second Temple, we know indeed that its builders might well have prayed, like Solomon, "Arise, O Lord, into thy rest," but they could not have added, "Thou and the Ark of thy strength;" for the ark never entered that second house. Moreover, is it not most natural to suppose that it was Solomon, and the Levites his contemporaries, the men who had been eye-witnesses of the late king's solicitude about the erection of a fit dwelling-place for the God of Jacob, that gave utterance to the affectionate reminiscence with which the psalm opens?

1. Remember, O Jehovah, for David,
   All his cares.
2. How he sware unto Jehovah,
   And vowed to the Mighty One of Jacob:
3. I will not come into the tabernacle of my house,
   I will not go up into the pallet of my bed;
4. I will not give sleep to mine eyes,
   To mine eyelids slumber;
5. Until I find out a place for Jehovah,
   An habitation for the Mighty One of Jacob.

The historian of Solomon's reign has preserved the names of some of the sages who graced his court, and who doubtless stood related to him in his studies in much the same way as Asaph and the other Levitical seers to David. The list occurs in the encomium on the wisdom of Solomon, which tells how "he was wiser than all men (that is to say, wiser than all the men of his own age and country); than Ethan the Ezrahite, and Heman, and Chalcol, and Darda, the sons of Mahol; and his fame was in all nations round about" (1 Kings iv. 31). Questions not a few have been raised respecting the sages here enumerated—the wise satellites who revolved around the wisest king. Were they of the tribe of Judah, the king's own tribe, as the insertion of their names in 1 Chron. ii. 6 has been thought to imply? Or were they not rather Levites, registered among the families
of Judah, because their lot had fallen to them within the inheritance of that tribe? These questions must remain unanswered here. I quote the list at present simply to call attention to the fact that two of the names that occur in it are found also in the superscriptions of the Eighty-eighth and Eighty-ninth psalms. The former has the singular peculiarity of possessing two superscriptions, for it is entitled both "A song or psalm of the sons of Korah," and a psalm "of Heman the Ezrahite, to give instruction:" the latter is entitled, "A psalm of Ethan the Ezrahite, to give instruction." Is the coincidence of these names with those of Solomon's sages, a mere accident? or are the Heman and Ethan of the superscriptions to be identified with the Heman and Ethan of the history? We are not in a condition to determine the point with certainty. The superscriptions are obscure; and it must be admitted that neither the authorship nor the date of the psalms has yet been settled beyond the possibility of doubt. Without going into any of the discussions that have been raised, I can only say that I am satisfied Calvin hit the truth, when he conjectured that the Eighty-ninth psalm was written by some prophet of Solomon's time, who lived on into the disastrous reign of Rehoboam; and that it was written to give expression to the sorrowful feelings with which the godly in Judah had witnessed the disruption of the kingdom, and the collapse of the short-lived glory of David's house. We know that it was not the sentiment of patriotism merely, but the deepest religious feelings of the people, that were wounded when the Ten Tribes fell away from the House of David. The glories of the latter days had been announced in connection with the promise to David that his seed and throne should be established for ever. The calamity that had befallen the monarchy seemed therefore to involve a breach of covenant with the Lord's anointed and with the Church. "Thou hast been wroth with thine anointed: thou hast made void the covenant of thy servant: thou hast profaned his crown by casting it to the ground." The faith of the people was wounded quite as much as their patriotism, when the monarchy which had been the subject of so many great and far-reaching promises, and from which such
great things had been hoped, was despoiled of its glory ere the reign of the third king had well begun. The days of its youth were shortened; it was covered with shame. With regard to the Eighty-eighth psalm, one must speak with more hesitation. Neither author nor date is at all certain. It is a tearful song; indeed, it stands alone in the Psalter in this respect, that no ray of light breaks the gloom of the suppliant. Were it not that he calls upon God, in the opening verse, as "Jehovah, the God of his salvation," the whole might have seemed the cry of despair rather than of struggling faith. Dr Hengstenberg, and some other commentators of note, are of opinion that the two psalms go together. If so, we may pretty confidently identify the "Heman the Ezrahite" of the one superscription, and the "Ethan the Ezrahite" of the other, with the Heman and Ethan of Solomon's time. Some go further, and identify them with the Heman and Ethan-Jeduthun, the Levitical seers and psalmists whom David appointed, along with Asaph their kinsman, to preside over the service of song. It is just possible they may be the same; but in that case they must have lived to extreme old age. The forty years of Solomon's reign, in addition to some of the last years of David's, intervened between the establishment of the Levitical choirs and the disruption of the kingdom. However this may be, since the Eighty-ninth psalm is a voice from the calamitous reign of Rehoboam, the circumstances of its origin must ever invest it with a certain melancholy interest, as being the last utterance of the Holy Spirit, in this kind, for a long time,—the last pulsation of the mighty tide of inspired psalmody which commenced to flow when David was anointed at Bethlehem.

From the death of Solomon till the cessation of prophecy, there intervened about 525 years. This period is parted by the Captivity into two unequal divisions. The former, embracing nearly four centuries, extends from B.C. 975, the date of Rehoboam's accession and Jeroboam's revolt, till B.C. 588, when Jerusalem was burnt by the Chaldeans and Judah carried into captivity; the latter extends from the captivity of Judah, till
the time of Malachi's prophesying,—a period of rather more than a century and a half.* Fixing our attention, for the present, on the former period,—the four centuries during which the family of David reigned over the House of Judah,—what are the outstanding features that strike the eye? It was a very eventful time. The years were crowded with incident, and that of a kind which the spirit of inspiration judged worthy of being commemorated in Scripture, in a double narrative, for the instruction of succeeding times. There were times of apostasy and times of revival; reigns in which the people sat every man under his vine and his fig tree, and reigns in which the feet of hostile armies traversed the land. There are two names, however, which tower above the rest, as the names of kings who were a signal blessing to the nation. **Jehoshaphat** came first. He was the fourth in the succession from Solomon, and came to the throne sixty-one years after that king's death. **Hezekiah** inherited the crown about two hundred years later, when the monarchy was obviously declining to its fall. Both kings were God-fearing men; both walked in the ways of David their father, and were honoured to do eminent service to church and commonwealth in their generations; in behalf of both, God, in an astonishing way, put invading armies to flight, making bare his arm for the defence of his people; best of all, the reigns of both were times in which special efforts were made for the religious instruction of the people, and in which there was a genuine revival of religion.

These chronological notices are not a digression from our subject. The reader will remember the connection formerly pointed out between times of revival and the production of new psalms. It is a remarkable fact, that the two reigns upon which the sacred history, especially in the Chronicles, expatiates with marked affection, as seasons of religious awakening in Judah,—the reigns of Jehoshaphat and Hezekiah, and after them the period of the captivity and return, were precisely the periods in which psalmody revived. So far as success has

---

* I have followed the chronology of Ussher, which is adopted by Prideaux, Winer, and Kurtz.
attended the effort to trace to their origin the forty or fifty songs of the later psalmists, they are found to belong to the three periods of quickened religious life.

The psalms we owe to the reign of Jehoshaphat are not many. Of only two are we quite certain: there may be, perhaps, four or five. Some think the Forty-sixth and Forty-seventh,—both of them Korahite psalms,—belong to the period. Certainly we owe to it the Forty-eighth and the Eighty-third,—the former a Korahite psalm, the latter "a song or psalm of Asaph." The character of these odes reminds us that it was a storm of danger that at this epoch awoke for a short time the harps of the Levitical seers. They make mention of an invasion which, as we learn from the historical books, for a while threatened to sweep away Jehoshaphat's throne, and even annihilate the kingdom. The nations bordering on Judah to the east and south, Moab, Ammon, Edom, entered into a coalition against it, and secured the alliance of several more distant powers. They invaded the land from the south, and marched without check till they reached the wilderness of Tekoa, within ten miles of Jerusalem, whence, looking northwards, they could descry the battlements of the city, and the glittering pinnacles of the temple. In this extremity of danger, Jehoshaphat and the people betook themselves to prayer. Having received, through one of the prophets, the promise of deliverance, king and people sallied forth in a solemn procession, in the van of which there marched a band of Levites, singing and praising the Lord. When they came in sight of the enemy, they found that God had sown mutual suspicions in the motley host, so that they had turned their swords against each other, and were utterly discomfited. It deserves to be remarked in connection with our subject, that the prophet by whom God's comfortable message was delivered to the king, was Jahaziel, the son of Zechariah, "a Levite of the sons of Asaph," and that among the Levites who sang praise to the Lord, mention is made of a band "of the children of the Korahites." *

is an interesting and significant coincidence, that of the two psalms known to date from this epoch, one is marked in the superscription as an Asaph psalm, and the other is assigned to "the sons of Korah." The Asaph psalm is the Eighty-third, and is the prayer of the congregation when the danger was at its height. It speaks of a confederation of "the tabernacles of Edom, and the Ishmaelites; of Moab, and the Hagarenes; Gebal, and Ammon, and Amalek;" and, among the more distant allies, mention is made of Tyre, and of Assyria itself. Their cry is, "Come, let us cut them off from being a nation; that the name of Israel may be no more in remembrance." The cry of Judah, in response, is towards heaven: "O my God, make them like a wheel;" or, as Milton translates the prayer,—

My God, oh make them as a wheel,
No quiet let them find;
Giddy and restless let them reel,
Like stubble from the wind.

As when an aged wood takes fire,
Which on a sudden strays,
The greedy flame runs higher and higher,
Till all the mountains blaze;

So with thy whirlwind them pursue,
And with thy tempest chase.

Such was the prayer. The answer which God gave, in the flame of discord that consumed the confederate host, is celebrated by the sons of Korah in the Forty-eighth Psalm.

1. Great is Jehovah, and greatly to be praised,
   In the City of our God, the mountain of his holiness.
2. Beautiful for height, the joy of the whole earth, is Mount Zion;
   On the sides of the north, the City of the Great King.
3. God in her palaces
   Is known for a refuge,
4. For, lo, the kings were assembled,
   They passed by together.
5. They, even they, beheld; so they were astonished:
   They were troubled, they hasted away.
   Trembling took hold upon them there,
   And pain, as of a woman in travail.
7. With an east wind
   Thou breakest the ships of Tarshish.
8. As we have heard, so have we seen,
   In the City of Jehovah of hosts, in the City of our God.
   God will establish it for ever. [Selah.]

9. We have thought, O God, of thy loving-kindness
   In the midst of thy temple.
10. According to thy name, O God, so is thy praise
    Unto the ends of the earth:
    Of righteousness is thy right hand full.
11. Let Mount Zion rejoice,
    Let the daughters of Judah be glad,
    Because of thy judgments.

12. Compass about Zion, and go round about her:
    Number her towers:
13. Mark ye well her ramparts;
    Consider her palaces;
    That ye may tell it to the generation following.

14. For this God is our God for ever and ever:
    He will be our guide even unto death.

Some expositors have strangely found a difficulty in the last
verse, deeming such a profession of personal faith an inappropri-
ate termination for a national song. Even Dr Delitzsch, a
wise and devout interpreter, shares in this notion; going, indeed,
so far as to throw out the surmise, that some word must have
been lost from the Hebrew text. To me it seems that the
verse, as it stands, is admirably in harmony with the song, and
is its crowning beauty. When the Lord does great things for
Church or Nation, He means that all the faithful, however
humble their station, should take courage from it, should repose
in Him fresh confidence, and cling to Him with a firmer hope,
and say, "This God shall be our God for ever; he will guide us
even unto death."

A century and a half elapsed between the death of Jehosha-
phat and the accession of Hezekiah. This long tract of years
was anything but devoid of interest. But it made no addition
to the Psalter, or none that can now be identified with any certainty. Perhaps the Eighty-second—the short but striking psalm which has for its theme the judgment of the gods by the God of gods—may have come from this time. The Ninety-fourth may also be assigned to it with much probability. Both psalms bear the marks of having been written under one of those disastrous reigns in which the persons of the wicked found acceptance at the civil tribunals, and the foundations of the earth were out of course. At length there came a glorious outburst of holy song. God having raised up, in Hezekiah, a king every way worthy to sit on David's throne, and granted a time of clear shining to cheer his people, the harp of psalmody awoke from its long sleep and poured forth strains so rich and various, that it seemed as if the golden time of David had come again.

The psalms of this epoch may be distributed into three classes, corresponding to the three characteristic features of the time.

1. The reign of Hezekiah was a time of reunion in the church. It witnessed the resumption of the long-interrupted ecclesiastical communion between Judah and the Ten Tribes. It will be remembered that when Jeroboam cast off his allegiance to the House of David and founded the Kingdom of Israel, he erected an idolatrous worship at Dan and Bethel, and prohibited the people to resort to the feasts of the Lord at Jerusalem. It would be a great mistake to imagine that the Ten Tribes ceased thenceforward to belong to the commonwealth of Israel or the Church of the Living God. The Lord did not withdraw from them either the ministry of his Word or the saving grace of the Spirit. Generation after generation, He gathered to Himself a remnant according to the election of grace. Even in the dark days of Ahab and Jezebel, when the Sidonian idolatry became the State religion, the Lord reserved to Himself seven thousand in Israel who had not bowed the knee to Baal; and the ruler of Ahab's own house was one of them. Prophets, too, were raised up. Elijah and Elisha ministered to the Ten Tribes. Obadiah concealed a hundred prophets at once in a time of persecution. It is certain, therefore, that God did not, during all those two hundred and fifty years, unchurch the Ten Tribes. Never-
theless, during all that time, there was an entire cessation of church-fellowship between the House of Judah and the House of Joseph. Never once did they resort together to the solemn feasts. Individuals occasionally, as at the accession of Jeroboam and in the reign of Asa, left their homes in the North and cast in their lot with Judah, because of the House of the Lord;* but that was all. At length a partial reunion gladdened the whole Church in the first year of Hezekiah. The recent captivity of two tribes and a half had weakened the Northern Kingdom; and Hoshea, who was Hezekiah's contemporary and the last of its kings, was led by a sense of duty to break the evil custom which his predecessors had inherited from Jeroboam the son of Nebat, "who sinned, and made Israel to sin." He suspended the law against going up to Jerusalem. Hezekiah's posts were permitted to carry to every part of the kingdom the invitation to unite once more with Judah in celebrating the Passover in the city which God had chosen out of all the tribes of Israel.† The invitation, scorned by many, was gladly accepted by others; and a Passover was celebrated the like of which had not been seen in Israel since the days of Solomon and the undivided kingdom.

So happy a reunion—happy in itself, twice happy as the pledge of the time when Ephraim should no more envy Judah, and Judah no more vex Ephraim, but they should be one stick in the Lord's hand—could not fail to call forth new songs. There is, I think, sufficient ground to attribute to it the Eighty-first psalm. It is, obviously and by universal consent, a Festal song. The reference to the exodus from Egypt shows that, although framed to suit all the three Feasts, it had a special connection with the Passover; and the emphatic reminder that the feast had been ordained in Joseph for a testimony—especially when this is taken in connection with the terms of the reference to Joseph in the psalm next to be noticed—may be fairly interpreted as pointing to an occasion when Ephraim and Manasseh, the sons of Joseph, participated with Judah in the solemn rite.

* 2 Chron. xi. 13, 14; xv. 9.  
† 2 Chron. xxx.
There is an undertone of sadness towards the end which reminds us that the desolation of the northern kingdom was at hand; but it opens as with a blast of trumpets:

1. Sing aloud unto God our Strength;
   Make a joyful noise unto the God of Jacob.
2. Take a psalm and let the timbrel sound;
   The pleasant harp with the psaltery.
3. In the new moon blow up the trumpet;
   In the full moon, on the day of our feast.
4. For this is a statute for Israel,
   A law of the God of Jacob.
5. He ordained it for a testimony in Joseph,
   When he went out against the land of Egypt:
   [Where] I heard a language that I understood not.

There were circumstances in the condition of the Ten Tribes in the age of Hezekiah, that were well fitted, as indeed they were designed, to blow into a flame the ancient brotherly affection of Judah and Joseph. Fourteen years before his accession, the Northern Kingdom was bereft of the Transjordanic tribes by the first of the great Assyrian invasions. A second invasion under Shalmanezer, five years after Hezekiah's accession, brought Hoshea's reign to an end, and completed the captivity of the kingdom. This was in B.C. 721, eight years before Sennacherib's attempt against Judah. Bearing these dates in mind, they will be found to shed an interesting light on a song of complaint which is set down in the Psalter by the side of the Festal hymn we have just noticed. That that hymn was first sung at Hezekiah's passover, I have stated merely as a probable conjecture. That its sister psalm, the Eightieth, belongs to that age, may be asserted as something more than a conjecture. It is a lament over the devastations that were now being wrought by the heathen among the tribes of the Lord, and the reference to the northern tribes is reiterated and express. It may interest the reader to see the whole psalm, in a revised translation, printed so as to exhibit the strophic arrangement:
1. O Shepherd of Israel, give ear, thou that leadest Joseph like a flock;
   Thou that sittest [throned] upon the Cherubim shine forth.
2. Before Ephraim, and Benjamin, and Manasseh, stir up thy strength,
   And come for salvation to us.
3. O God, turn us again;
   And cause thy face to shine, and we shall be saved.
4. O Jehovah, the God of Hosts,
   How long wilt thou be angry against the prayer of thy people?
5. Thou feedest them with bread of tears;
   And givest them tears to drink in large measure.
6. Thou makest us a strife unto our neighbours;
   And our enemies laugh among themselves.
7. O God of Hosts, turn us again;
   And cause thy face to shine, and we shall be saved.
8. Thou didst remove a vine out of Egypt;
   Thou didst cast out the heathen and plantedst it.
9. Thou preparedst room before it;
   And it took deep root and filled the land.
10. The hills were covered with the shadow of it,
   And the boughs thereof were like the cedars of God.
11. She sent out her boughs unto the sea.
    And unto the river her shoots.
12. Why hast thou broken down her hedges,
    So that all they which pass by the way do pluck her?
13. The bear out of the wood doth waste it,
    And the wild beast of the field doth devour it.
14. O God of Hosts, return, we beseech thee,
    Look down from heaven, and behold, and visit this vine;
15. Even the vineyard which thy right hand hath planted,
    And the son whom thou madest strong for thyself.
16. It is burnt with fire, it is cut down:
    At the rebuke of thy countenance they perish.
17. Let thy hand be upon the man of thy right hand;
    Upon the son of man whom thou madest strong for thyself.
18. So will we not go back from thee;
    Quicken us, and we will call upon thy name.
19. O Jehovah, the God of Hosts, turn us again;
    Cause thy face to shine, and we shall be saved.
It has long been felt that this psalm must have been written with reference to the gradual desolation of the Ten Tribes. This was pointed out by Calvin, and he has been followed by the best subsequent expositors. The reference to the Ten Tribes being evident, the psalm, according to Calvin, is a prayer of Judah for her afflicted sister. There was a time when, as the Lord complains by the prophet Amos,* the people of Judah, being “at ease in Zion,” were “not grieved for the affliction of Joseph;” there was a time when they would have taken pleasure in the captivity of the Northern Kingdom, looking upon it as the removal of their rival. But they have been brought to a better mind, and have learned to pray for their brethren. That this represents the general drift of the psalm, is unquestionable. But it would require to be taken with some modification. Those who, like Dr Hengstenberg, adhere to the letter of Calvin’s view, are obliged to maintain that Benjamin, which is named along with Ephraim and Manasseh, belonged to Israel, not to Judah. If the psalm is a prayer for Ephraim, it is a prayer for Benjamin also. We get rid of all this difficulty if we look on the psalm as the joint prayer of all the tribes; the prayer in which the house of Joseph and the house of Judah, so long estranged from one another, unite once more in calling on the God of Abraham, and Isaac, and Jacob. The psalm has Asaph’s name in the superscription, and is inscribed “to the Chief Musician.” It is therefore a Song of the Temple. What more likely than that it was first sung in the Temple in those early years of Hezekiah’s reign, when Benjamin found himself once more associated with Ephraim and Manasseh, his mother’s sons, in the solemn worship of the Lord; that it is the prayer in which the whole seed of Jacob, now happily restored to complete religious fellowship, united in spreading before the Lord the calamities of the nation, and prayed Him to restore them again and cause His face to shine?

2. The reign of Hezekiah witnessed just such another invasion and deliverance as had been seen in Jehoshaphat’s time.

* Amos vi. 6.
The facts already noticed remind us that this was the age in which the Assyrian monarchy had attained the highest noon of its splendour. It was at this time that the Assyrian kings were rearing at Nineveh those great palaces, whose sculptured slabs have lately lent a new attraction to the museums of Europe. Shalmanezer, who carried the Ten Tribes into captivity, had been succeeded by Sennacherib, and the new monarch was resolved to measure his strength with the King of Egypt. That he might leave no hostile fortress to threaten his rear, he determined to capture Jerusalem and remove the people to share the captivity of their brethren. The sacred writers have narrated in great detail the history of this attempt: the impious letter of the Assyrian king; the arrogant pride of Rabshakeh his lieutenant; Hezekiah's prayer as he spread the letter before the Lord in the Temple; the comfortable answer sent by Isaiah; the stroke of the angel of the Lord which laid low 180,000 men, the flower of Assyria, in one night; the flight of Sennacherib in shame to his own land. As in Jehoshaphat's time, the danger and the deliverance are, both of them, celebrated in psalms. It is certain that the Seventy-sixth Psalm celebrates the deliverance; and the Seventy-fifth bears traces of having been written in the crisis of the danger. An unfortunate mistranslation in the second verse of the latter psalm is apt to mislead the reader of the Authorised Version. The verse expresses God's purpose, not the purpose of the psalmist. "When I shall seize the appointed time, I will judge uprightly:"* God may hide himself long, but when the fit time, the time of His own appointment, comes, He will make bare His arm in the defence of the oppressed:—

7. For God is the Judge.
   One he casteth down, another he lifteth up.

8. For a cup is in the hand of Jehovah;
   And the wine foameth, it is full of mixture;
   And he poureth out of the same:
   The very dregs thereof they shall drink out—
   Even the wicked of the earth.

* So the Geneva Bible, "When I shall take a convenient time, I will judge righteously."
The Seventy-sixth Psalm was evidently written in the first flush of the grateful joy with which the marvellous discomfiture of the Assyrians gladdened every countenance in Jerusalem. It is rendered with such exquisite skill and spirit in the Authorised Version (and, I may add, in the Scots Metrical Version also) that citation is unnecessary. Let the reader compare it with the narrative given in Isaiah and the historical books, and he will not marvel that the critics, divided as they are in opinion regarding the origin of so many other psalms, are almost unanimous in connecting this one with the mysterious discomfiture of Sennacherib's host.

To some it may seem that a psalm which originated in an event so marvellous, and which bears such indubitable marks of its origin, must be little adapted for the subsequent use of God's people, and therefore must be out of place in the Hymnal of the Church Catholic. But facts refute such a notion. Times without number the psalm has been sung, as furnishing the fittest expression of the thoughts and feelings of God's people in view of deliverances wrought for them. When the Covenanters at Drumclog closed their ranks to meet the onset of Claverhouse and his dragoons, they sang the opening verses, to the tune of Martyrs:—

In Judah's land God is well known,  
   His name's in Isr'el great:  
In Salem is his tabernacle,  
   In Zion is his seat.  

There arrows of the bow he brake,  
   The shield, the sword, the war.  
More glorious thou than hills of prey,  
   More excellent art far.  

Those that were stout of heart are spoiled,  
   They slept their sleep outright;  
And none of those their hands did find  
   That were the men of might.

A century earlier, in 1588, when the first rumour of the discomfiture of the Spanish Armada reached Edinburgh, and the citizens assembled to render thanks to God, Robert Bruce,
addressing them in the West Kirk, took this psalm for his text, and the two noble sermons* he preached on the occasion were, from beginning to end, little more than a running commentary on the psalm. And every hearer must have felt that the whole was as appropriate to the circumstances as if the psalm had been written for the occasion.

3. The other feature of Hezekiah's reign which remains to be noticed, as affecting the complexion of the psalms of the period, belongs to it in common with the times which followed, and will be most fitly noticed in connection with the whole period of the captivity and the return.

* Bruce's Sermons, pp. 278-323.
CHAPTER VI.

THE PSALMS OF THE CAPTIVITY AND THE RETURN.

IN the reign of Hezekiah, the kingdom of Judah entered on a period of its history which may be described as the Eve of the Babylonish Captivity. That terrible overthrow, it is true, was still a great way off. Nearly a century intervened between the death of Hezekiah and the first appearance of the Chaldean armies on the frontier, and twenty years more elapsed before the desolation of the kingdom was complete. But during all this period of four generations, the captivity projected its dark shadow on the devoted nation. All who had eyes to see, saw it coming on. Prophets had foretold it. Micah, who prophesied in the days of Jotham, Ahaz, and Hezekiah, had declared that Zion should be ploughed as a field, and Jerusalem should become heaps;* and there is evidence that the prediction excited attention, and was remembered in the capital.† Nor was this the first intimation of the Lord's purpose. So early as the year that King Uzziah died, the approaching desolation of the land had been signified in vision to Isaiah, who was then entering on his protracted and memorable ministry.‡ Some years later, the prediction received an ominous confirmation from the successive Assyrian invasions, which resulted in the carrying away of the Ten Tribes. The tide of invasion, indeed, was turned back from Zion and the house of David, when the angel of the Lord smote the host of

* Micah iii. 12. † Jer. xxvi. 18. ‡ Isa. vi. 11, 12.
the Assyrians. But thoughtful persons, who pondered the word of prophecy and marked how the clouds continued to gather on the northern horizon, felt that the discomfiture of Sennacherib, marvellous as it was, meant only respite, not deliverance, to the kingdom of Judah. These facts are necessary to be remembered, if one would fully understand either the age of Hezekiah or the psalms to which it gave birth. It was not only a time of rekindled affection between the two Houses of Israel, and of signal deliverance for Judah, but a time also in which the hearts of God's people were chilled by the shadow of a great calamity which they saw approaching.

This characteristic, also, of the period commencing with the reign of Hezekiah, has left its mark on the Psalter. It has done so in two kinds of psalms. There are some in which the Church pours out penitent sorrow before the Lord. The Eighty-first has been already commented upon;—a Festal Psalm, in which the whole people of Israel seem to have praised the Lord during the four or five years that intervened between the resumption of communion between Ephraim and Judah, and the captivity of the Northern kingdom. It is impossible to read the latter part of the psalm without perceiving that the joy of those years was clouded by the anticipation of the calamities that were coming on the whole nation. "Hear, O my people, and I will testify unto thee: O Israel, if thou wilt hearken unto me. There shall no strange god be in thee; neither shalt thou worship any 'foreign' god. But my people would not hearken to my voice; and Israel would none of me. So I gave them up unto their own hearts' lust. Oh that my people had hearkened unto me, and Israel had walked in my ways! I should soon have subdued their enemies, and turned mine hand against their adversaries." It is plain that the generation which first sang these sad verses had ceased to hope that the predicted desolation of the country might be averted. The Seventy-seventh,—another "Psalm of Asaph"—may with all confidence be likewise referred to the Eve of the Captivity. From the way in which the psalmist gathers comfort by the recollection of the past, "the days of old, the years of ancient
times,” “the years of the right hand of the Most High,” it is sufficiently plain that his sorrow, the “sore which ran in the night and ceased not,” was not a private grief, but flowed from his sympathy with the calamity of Zion. It is pleasant to note here also, the continued working of the brotherly love lately rekindled between Israel and Judah. Joseph participated in the redemption from Egypt, and the psalmist calls that fact to mind, that he may comfort himself with the hope that the children of Joseph will be remembered when the Lord shall turn the captivity of his people. “Thou art the God that dost wonders: thou hast declared thy strength among the ‘peoples.’ Thou hast with thine arm redeemed thy people, the sons of Jacob and Joseph.”

The Eve of the Captivity was blessed with psalms of a more cheerful order—psalms which take rank amongst the brightest and the most joyous the Church ever sang. As the coming on of night brings into view the far-off starry worlds, so God made choice of the age when the temporal glories of David’s house were sinking into darkness, for disclosing to the faith of the godly the higher glories he had in store for that house and for his people. The century and a half which preceded the captivity was a period wonderfully favoured in this respect, enjoying the ministry of such prophets as Isaiah and Jeremiah, Hosea, Joel, Amos, Micah. This was the age in which the Prophetical Books of the Old Testament began to be written. Prior to this time, indeed, God raised up a succession of prophets in the church, and since the days of Samuel, the succession had been uninterrupted. By men like Gad and Nathan in Judah, Elijah and Elisha in Israel, the Lord sent his word to the people, generation after generation. But there was no written prophecy. The function of the earlier prophets was to stir up men’s minds by way of remembrance; they were Preachers of Righteousness, inculcating the law of Moses, and bearing witness against the sins of their times. The additions which some of them were moved to make to the canon of Scripture took the historical rather than the predictive form. The reign of Hezekiah may be said to mark the commencement of a new order of things—the
commencement of the ministry of the "writing prophets."* Henceforward the principal function of the holy men of God was to be the opening up of the future. The decline of the temporal grandeur of the nation was fitted, as it was no doubt intended, to wean God's people from that transitory glory, and to prepare them for listening to predictions respecting a more excellent. It was at this epoch, accordingly, that the divine purposes regarding the Church and the world, in the latter days, began to be fully opened up by the prophets, and especially by Isaiah. Assuming that the predicted captivity would certainly come to pass, they spoke of a happy return to Zion; and with their announcement of that return were mingled intimations regarding the Advent of the Messiah, his Sufferings and consequent Glory, the Mission of the Comforter, the Calling of the Gentiles, the establishment of the kingdom of God in all the earth. Occasionally, as in the twelfth chapter of Isaiah, the predictions of the prophets blossom into song. It would have been strange if, at such a time, the stock of psalmody in actual use had not been enriched with an increment of new psalms,—anthems in which the Church might express her faith in the disclosures God had made, and the gladness with which they filled her heart.

Every devout reader will remember psalms of the character anticipated. The middle of the Psalter derives a peculiar brightness from a constellation of them; the decade, I mean, which closes with the Hundredth psalm. With perhaps one or two exceptions, all the ten, from the Ninety-first to the Hundredth, belong to the prophetic order. They are Messianic in the sense of celebrating the kingdom of Christ, although not Messianic in the narrower sense of celebrating his Person. They soar above the level of the Old Testament economy, several of them carrying the soul forward and upward to a state of things such as the apostolical Church itself never saw. Dr Delitzsch has, with much felicity, entitled them apocalyptic psalms: some of them I should prefer to call the Songs of the Millennium. The

Hundredth psalm, for instance, how grandly does it anticipate the Millennial time, and summon all the nations to unite in the high praises of the Lord!

All people that on earth do dwell
Sing to the Lord with cheerful voice:
Him serve with mirth, his praise forth tell,
Come ye before him and rejoice.

Know that the Lord is God indeed;
Without our aid he did us make:
We are his flock, he doth us feed,
And for his sheep he doth us take.*

The Ninety-third, another star in this constellation, may be cited entire. The drift of it cannot be better expressed than in the two words with which it opens, Jehovah reigneth. It is a kind of proclamation in which God’s people are invited to declare before men and angels that the Lord is King, He and He only. It is the response of the Church to the preaching of the gospel, so rapturously hailed in Isaiah—the preaching of the messenger “that bringeth good tidings, that publisheth peace; that bringeth good tidings of good, that publisheth salvation; that saith unto Zion, Thy God reigneth!”

1. Jehovah reigneth; he hath clothed himself with majesty;
   Jehovah hath clothed himself, he hath girded himself with strength:
   Also the world is established, it shall not be moved.
2. Thy throne is established of old;
   Thou art from everlasting.

* This noble version, Old Hundred, is, I believe, the most ancient now in common use in our language, as it is certainly one of the very best; faithful to the original, and yet full of grace and strength. It was first printed in the Psalm Book published for the English exiles at Geneva in 1561; and is believed to have been written by William Kethe, a native of Scotland, who joined the exiles at Geneva in 1556. See the Third of the learned and valuable Dissertations by the Rev. Neil Livingstone, prefixed to the sumptuous reprint of “The Scottish Metrical Psalter of 1635” (Glasgow, 1864). From an allusion in Shakespeare, the psalm in this version and the well-known melody named after it, would appear to have been as great favourites in Queen Elizabeth’s time as they are among ourselves.
3. The floods have lifted up, O Jehovah,  
The floods have lifted up their voice;  
The floods lift up their billows.

4. Than the voices of many waters,  
Of mighty breakers of the sea,  
M mightier is Jehovah on high.

5. Thy testimonies are very sure:  
Holiness [inviolateness] becometh thine house,  
O Jehovah, for evermore.

How many of these Psalms of the Kingdom come down to us from the Eve of the Captivity cannot be determined with any certainty. Dr Hengstenberg very confidently sets down the whole of the decade already mentioned as having been written during the reign of Hezekiah; but on insufficient grounds. Some of them may very well have been written after the return from Babylon. Nevertheless, the present seemed the fittest place at which to take note of them as a class. For two reasons. In the first place, they stand related, in a close and vital manner, to the heart-stirring word of prophecy which, as we have seen, constitutes the peculiar glory of the hundred and fifty years preceding the captivity. Let any one who doubts this compare, for example, the Ninety-sixth or Ninety-eighth psalm with the prophecies of Isaiah. The connection cannot be mistaken. The one is a clear articulate echo of the other. Then, in the second place, some of the psalms in question contain allusions which warrant us to attribute them either to the reign of Hezekiah or the period immediately after it. The Eighty-seventh is an instance in point. It celebrates the Church's latter-day glory in modes of representation borrowed from the age which listened to the predictions of Isaiah and Micah. I name these two prophets, because the psalm takes up, and makes answer to, a prediction which was delivered by them in common. "It shall come to pass in the last days, that the mountain of the Lord's house shall be established in the top of the mountains, and shall be exalted above the hills; and all nations shall flow unto it. And many 'peoples' shall go and say, Come ye, and let us go up to the mountain of the Lord, to the house of the God of Jacob: for out of Zion shall go forth
the Law, and the word of the Lord from Jerusalem."* The incorporation of the Gentiles with God's Israel, which the prophets so boldly announce, is precisely the theme of the psalm. And how wonderfully is it celebrated! Not content with representing the great Gentile nations as coming up to Zion, year by year, to seek the Lord and rejoice with the gladness of his nation, the psalmist, waxing bolder, announces the advent of a time when the Lord will enroll them among the native citizens of Jerusalem.

This remarkable ode presents considerable difficulty to the translator,—differing, in this respect, from the ordinary style of the later psalmists, which is easy and perspicuous. A revised translation will be attempted when the psalm comes before us again in connection with the general doctrine of the Psalter regarding the future glories of the Church.† For the present, I shall content myself with the humbler task of reproducing in English the translation given by Dr Hupfeld in his recent German Commentary. I have a special reason, in the present instance, for making use of this eminent critic's assistance. His bias is all to the rationalistic side, and it has occurred to me that many readers may feel a certain satisfaction in perceiving that the prophetic glory of the psalm is not due to the evangelical feeling of our translators, but is inherent in the Hebrew, and shines out in any faithful translation.

1. His foundation on the holy mountains Jehovah loveth, The gates of Zion before all Jacob's dwellings.
2. Glorious things are spoken [promised] of thee, O city of God. [Selah.]
3. I will name Rahab [Egypt] and Babel as confessors of me: Behold Palasheth and Tisor, with Cush, "This man was born there."
4. And to Zion it shall be said, "One and all are born in thee, And He will establish thee, even the Highest."
5. Jehovah shall count when he writeth up the peoples, "This man was born there." [Selah.]

* Isa. ii. 2, 3; Micah iv. 1, 2. † Book II. chapter ix.
6. And they sing and skip for joy,  
All the dwellers in thee.

The reader will mark the names that occur in this catalogue of the nations, which are one day to be enrolled among the citizens, the born citizens, of Zion. Egypt and Babylon, Philistia and Tyre, with far-off Ethiopia; these are precisely the nations which had proved formidable to Israel in the ages preceding the Babylonish captivity; precisely those which would have arrested the attention of a psalmist of the reign of Hezekiah or Josiah, who, taking his stand on a pinnacle of the temple, had thence surveyed the panorama of the world. We hold ourselves warranted, therefore, to assign the Eighty-seventh Psalm to the eve of the captivity; and if this bright millennial star cheered the deepening gloom of that period, we may be sure that others of the class belong to it also.

The Psalms of the Captivity, strictly so called, fall under three heads, according as they were written in the first anguish of the carrying away, or after the exiles had settled down in their new abodes in Mesopotamia, or when the time fixed for the return drew near.

To the first class belong the Seventy-fourth and Seventy-ninth. In reading them we seem to hear the cry of the people ascending up to heaven as the Chaldeans scale the wall, and fire the city, and desecrate the sanctuary. They are both entitled Psalms of Asaph; and the vividness with which they describe the desolations wrought by the Chaldeans, with sword and with fire, leaves the impression that they must have come from the pen of Levites who were eye-witnesses of the dismal scene. In the former of the two, the godly complain that there is "no more any prophet among them, nor any that knows how long." This has led some commentators to think that, whatever may be the true date of the psalm, it cannot refer to the Chaldean invasion: for it is certain that at that epoch the Congregation enjoyed the ministry of distinguished prophets, and that Jeremiah, who was one of these, foretold how long the captivity was to last. The occurrence of the complaint is indeed,
by some, deemed sufficient to shew that the psalm belongs to the age of the Maccabees, and has reference to the sufferings inflicted on the Jews by Antiochus Epiphanes. This subject of Maccabean psalms will come up again; meanwhile it is enough to say that the one before us cannot have been written after the captivity, inasmuch as the second Temple was never consumed with fire till its final destruction by the Romans. The truth is, that complaints uttered in the first pressure of sore affliction are not to be interpreted too literally. The eye dimmed with sudden tears sees only the dark side of things, and is unable, for a while, to do justice to the rays of light which mitigate the darkness of its affliction. That the psalmist's words must be taken with some qualification is apparent from the fact, that the same complaint which he utters is found in the Lamentations of Jeremiah himself. He was certainly a prophet, and never ceased to see the Visions of God; yet he exclaims, "The Lord hath cast off his altar: He hath purposed to destroy the wall of the daughter of Zion: the law is no more; her prophets also find no vision from the Lord" (Lam. ii. 8, 9). Let us hear the Psalmist:—

1. O God, why hast thou cast us off for ever?  
   Why doth thine anger smoke against the sheep of thy pasture?

2. Remember thy congregation, which thou hast purchased of old,  
   Which thou hast redeemed for the rod of thine inheritance,  
   This Mount Zion, wherein thou hast dwelt.

4. Thine enemies have roared in the midst of thine assembly;  
   They have set up their ensigns for signs.

5. They shewed themselves like one who lifteth up on high  
   His axes in the thicket of the wood.

6. And now the carved work thereof, all at once,  
   They beat down with hatchet and hammers.

7. They have set on fire thy sanctuary;  
   Even unto the ground have they desecrated the dwelling-place  
   of thy name.

8. They said in their heart, Let us destroy them at once:  
   They have burnt up all the assemblies of God in the land.

9. Our signs we see not: there is no prophet more:  
   Neither is there with us any that knoweth how long.
10. How long, O God, shall the adversary reproach?  
Shall the enemy blaspheme thy name for ever?

Such is the former half of the psalm. The latter half is of a more cheerful tenor. The Church remembers God’s mighty works in nature and in grace, and her grief is assuaged. With recovered faith she betakes once more to prayer: “Have respect unto the covenant; for the dark places of the earth are full of the habitations of cruelty.”

So much for the psalms that date from the great overthrow. The condition of the exiles in their new abode was attended with much less of hardship than the mention of captivity might suggest. It is an entire mistake to think of them as in a state of slavery, like their fathers in Egypt. They were transported beyond the Euphrates, not to be made slaves of, but that they might help to replenish the central parts of the Babylonish empire with an industrious population. They were subjected to no civil disabilities; and in fact great numbers of them rose rapidly to wealth and political distinction. Hence they soon got rooted in the new soil—so deeply rooted, that only a small remnant could ever after be persuaded to return to the place of their fathers’ sepulchres. In a worldly point of view, the exiles were better off in Babylon than they could hope to be, for many a day, at Jerusalem. These facts will afford assistance in appreciating the true design of the Hundred and Thirty-seventh Psalm, which is a voice out of the midst of the captivity. The recent commentators seem, with one consent, to regard it as a reminiscence of the captivity, on the part of the remnant who returned. For myself, while not insensible to the force of the considerations they adduce, I am disposed to hold by the old opinion, that the psalm was actually written by some captive Levite, dwelling among his brethren by the Ulai and the Chebar:—

1. By the rivers of Babylon, there we sat; yea, we wept;  
While we remembered Zion.

2. Upon the willows, in the midst thereof,  
We hanged our harps.
3. For there our captors required of us words of song:
   And our spoilers [required of us] mirth,
   "Sing us one of the songs of Zion."

4. How shall we sing Jehovah's song
   On foreign ground?

5. If I forget thee, O Jerusalem,
   Let my right hand forget [her cunning].

6. Let my tongue cleave to the roof of my mouth,
   If I do not remember thee;
   If I do not prefer Jerusalem
   Above my chief joy.

7. Remember, O Jehovah, against the children of Edom,
   The day of Jerusalem;
   Who said, Raze it, raze it,
   Even to the foundations thereof.

8. O daughter of Babylon, who art undone,
   Happy shall he be that recompenseth unto thee
   Thy deed which thou didst unto us.

9. Happy shall he be that seizeth and dasheth thy little ones
   Against the stones.

The air of pensive melancholy which imparts such a charm to this ode may seem hardly consistent with what has been said regarding the advantageous condition of the exiles. But it is to be remembered that their very prosperity was pregnant with danger to their highest interests, and therefore might well be suggestive of alarm to a man like the Psalmist—a man who set Jerusalem above his chief joy. The ordinances God had appointed for the Old Testament Church, and which were such a copious source of blessing whilst the Levitical dispensation lasted, were unalterably bound to the land of promise; they could only be celebrated in the city which the Lord had chosen to place his name there. So long as the captivity lasted, they ceased. Hence the tears of tender regret with which the psalmist remembers Zion; hence his determination to regard the place of his present abode as "foreign ground" to him, and to reserve for the Temple the Temple Songs. The design of the psalmist is to guard the people against allowing their affections to settle in the place of their sojourn. With this view he
labours to strengthen within their hearts the affectionate remembrance of Jerusalem, the hope and desire to return in God's good time, and the assured expectation that the haters and oppressors of Zion shall be overthrown.

The Hundred and Second Psalm brings before us the captivity in its third phase. The Lord had, by Jeremiah, announced a return after seventy years. This was spoken in plain terms. We are not surprised therefore to find that, as the years wore away, the fearers of God among the exiles began to look out for the fulfilment of the prediction. Daniel had come to understand "by books, the number of the years, whereof the word of the Lord came to Jeremiah the prophet, that he would accomplish seventy years in the desolations of Jerusalem." He knew more. He knew that when God holds forth the promise of blessings, he desires to be inquired of by his Israel with respect to it. Accordingly, he "set his face unto the Lord God, to seek by prayer and supplication, with fasting;" and the burden of his prayer was that the Lord would at length turn the captivity of his people. "O our God, cause thy face to shine upon thy sanctuary that is desolate, for the Lord's sake." I refer to these exercises of the man greatly beloved, because I am persuaded that the chapter which relates them, the Ninth of Daniel, furnishes the best and most apposite commentary on the Hundred and second psalm. There is no reason to attribute the psalm to Daniel, but it gives expression to the very thoughts and feelings which filled his soul, as the time fixed for the return drew near. I will add, that it furnishes God's people with the best model on which to frame their exercises at the present agitated time, when so many signs concur to suggest the hope that the domination of the mystical Babylon may be near its end; that the year appointed for its destruction may be at hand:

13. Thou shalt arise and have mercy upon Zion:
   For it is time to favour her, for the appointed time is come.
14. For thy saints take pleasure in her stones,
   And favour her dust.
15. And the heathen shall fear the name of Jehovah, 
   And all the kings of the earth thy glory. 
16. For Jehovah hath built up Zion, 
   [And] hath appeared in his glory. 
17. He hath turned unto the prayer of the destitute, 
   And hath not despised their prayer. 
18. This shall be written for the generation to come: 
   And the people which shall be created shall praise Jehovah. 

The change which passed upon the Jewish Church during the captivity can scarcely be exaggerated. It was strongly marked, and it has been permanent. In one of the prophecies of Ezekiel announcing the return, there was coupled with that announcement the promise of a blessed amelioration of the character of the people, a deep and abiding religious reformation. "I will take you from among the heathen, and gather you out of all countries, and will bring you into your own land. Then will I sprinkle clean water upon you, and ye shall be clean: from all your filthiness and from all your idols will I cleanse you. A new heart also will I give you."* The promise did not fall to the ground. The people received a new heart, and were cleansed from their idols. Since the Babylonish captivity the Jews have never once bowed the knee to graven images; and this decisive and final abandonment of idolatry may be taken as the index of a genuine revival of religion at the time of the Return. Bearing in mind the connection we have traced all along between seasons of quickened life in the Church and the production of new psalms, we are prepared to find that the century which followed the return was more fruitful of inspired psalmody than any other period, with the single exception of the age of King David.

The Return has itself left its mark on the Psalter. The Eighty-fifth Psalm may, with great probability, be ascribed to this time. "The sons of Korah" are named in the superscription (it is the last occurrence of their name), whence we may infer that it was written by one of the Levitical singers, of the family

* Ezek. xxxvi. 24-26.
of Korah, who, when the edict of Cyrus brought liberty, gladly took down their harps from the willows, and returned to resume the Lord's song in Zion.* The Hundred and twenty-sixth is still more evidently a song of the exiles who came back. In common with the Eighty-fifth, it reminds us of the intermingled weeping and shouting at the laying of the foundation of the Temple.† Laughter and tears chase each other on the cheek of the daughter of Zion; she rejoices to find herself in her own land again, but a touch of sadness checks her joy as she marks her impaired strength and beauty:—

1. When Jehovah brought back the home-comers of Zion,
   We were like them that dream.
2. Then was our mouth filled with laughter,
   And our tongue with singing:
   Then said they among the heathen,
   Jehovah hath done great things for them.
3. Jehovah hath done great things for us,
   And we are glad.
4. Bring back, O Jehovah, our captivity,
   Like the torrents in the south.
5. They that sow with tears,
   With rejoicing shall reap.
6. He that goeth forth and weepeth, bearing the load of seed,
   Shall come again with singing, bearing his sheaves.

The first care of the people, after their arrival in the Holy City, was to rear again the altar of burnt-offering and resume the daily sacrifice. Their second care was to set forward the rebuilding of the Temple. Their hands were greatly strengthened in this work by the prophesying of Haggai and Zechariah: and the same Spirit who moved those prophets to speak to the people, moved psalmists to cheer them with new songs. Who these psalmists were we do not know. We cannot name one of them. We cannot even determine with certainty the tribes from which they were raised up. In the absence of any hint to the contrary, we can only conjecture that, like the generality

* The Korahites are named among those who dwelt at Jerusalem after the return. 1 Chron. ix. 19-31.
† Ezra iii. 12, 13.
of the psalmists after David, they would belong to the Levitical families, whose inheritance was the service of song in the Temple. We know from the history that when the Levitical singers were carried to Babylon, they neither abandoned the honourable office transmitted to them from their fathers, nor suffered their right hand to forget its cunning. And they mustered strong in the remnant who returned.* The sons of Asaph, in particular, who had so pathetically lamented the desolation of the sanctuary, in the Seventy-fourth and Seventy-ninth psalms, are mentioned as having officiated in song when the foundation of the Second Temple was laid. They were set, on that high day, "with cymbals, to praise the Lord, after the ordinance of David King of Israel: and they sang together by course in praising and giving thanks unto the Lord, because he is good, for his mercy endureth for ever toward Israel."† We need not doubt that these Levites, like their brethren the sons of Korah, were employed by the Spirit in the composition of new psalms—that they were psalmists as well as singers.

Of the psalms written after the return, a large proportion were primarily designed for use in the Temple Service. So strongly marked is this design that, if they were collected into one book, it might be entitled, "The Songs of the Second Temple." Some of them are very short—the Hundred and thirty-fourth for example:—

1. Behold, bless ye Jehovah, all ye servants of Jehovah,
   Which stand in the house of Jehovah in the nights.

2. Lift up your hands to the sanctuary,
   And bless ye Jehovah.

3. Jehovah bless thee out of Zion,
   The Maker of heaven and earth.

The Hundred and seventeenth belongs also to this time. It is the shortest of all the psalms; the shortest, but not the least weighty. It is cited in the Epistle to the Romans‡ as celebrating beforehand the calling of the Gentiles; for it invites them to unite with God's ancient people in worshipping him. Since the

* 1 Chron. ix. 33; Ezra ii. 41.
† Ezra iii. 10, 11.
‡ Chap. xvi. 11.
invitation is addressed to all the nations, we may look upon it as truly a millennial song. Overleaping the intervening centuries, it anticipates the happy time when the fulness of the Gentiles shall be brought in:

1. Praise Jehovah, all ye nations;
   Laud him, all ye peoples.
2. For mighty towards us is his loving-kindness:
   And the faithfulness of Jehovah endureth for ever.

Hallelujah!

These Temple Songs are not all short. Some of them are among the longest in the Psalter. The Hundred and Eighteenth may be named as a beautiful example. It is evidently a Temple Song; and the critics, with great unanimity, ascribe it to the century after the return. The precise occasion on which it was written is a point on which opinions differ; some of the critics, like Ewald, holding that it was composed to be sung at the Feast of Tabernacles, when the remnant who returned commenced to offer again the daily sacrifice; others, with Hengstenberg, connecting it with the laying of the foundation of the house; while others again, with Delitzsch, connect it with the solemnity of the dedication.* The truth seems to be that it is simply a Festal Psalm of the Second Temple, which may well have been sung on any or all of the occasions named by the critics, but is not to be restricted to any one in particular. It breathes a spirit of jubilant trust in the Lord, in the midst of infinite difficulties and perils. Its trumpet tones made it one of Luther's favourites. In the midsummer of 1530, when Melancthon was deputed to present the Confession of the Protestant Churches of Germany to the Diet at Augsburg, Luther was advised to abstain from any public appearance. Looking out from his retirement on the perils of the time, "the sea and the waves roaring, and men's hearts failing them from fear," he found in the Hundred and eighteenth psalm a word in season, and set his pen to work on an exposition of it. In the dedication, which is dated "ex Ereemo, the first of July

* Ezra iii. 1-6, 8-13; vi. 15-22.
MDXXX.," he gives characteristic expression to his love for this portion of the Divine word. "Since I am obliged to sit here idle in the desert, and moreover, must sometimes spare my head, and give it a rest and holiday from my great task of translating all the prophets, I have gone back to my mine of wealth, my treasure. I have taken in hand my precious psalm, the Confitemini, and put on paper my meditations upon it. For it is my psalm, that I delight in. For although the whole Psalter and the Holy Scripture is dear to me, my proper comfort and life, I have taken so to this psalm in particular that I must call it my own. Many a service has it done me; out of many great perils has it helped me, when help I had none, either from emperor, or king, or saint, or wise and prudent. I would not give it in exchange for the honour, wealth, and power of all the world, Pope, Turk, and Emperor. In calling the psalm mine own, I rob no man of it. Christ is mine, nevertheless he is the same Christ to all the saints that he is to me. Would God that all the world would challenge the psalm for their own as I do; it would be such friendly contention as scarce any unity or love could compare with. Alas, that there should be so few, even among those who might well do it, who will once say to the Holy Scriptures, or to some particular psalm, Thou art my book: thou shalt be mine own psalm."* I make no apology for this extract. The work from which it is taken is inaccessible to the English reader; and, besides, there are few things better fitted to make us feel what a treasure God has given us in the Psalms, than being put in mind of the strength and encouragement that have been ministered to saints in critical times by some which a person dwelling at ease might pass by.

The Hundred and thirty-fifth and Hundred and thirty-sixth Psalms, belong evidently to the same class and period as Luther's favourite. One of them is a Hallelujah psalm, the other is remarkable for the recurrence, in every verse, of the refrain which makes itself heard so often in the songs of the sacred temple, "for his mercy endureth for ever." Along with

these we may class the five with which the Psalter ends. All
the five are Hallelujah psalms, beginning and ending with the
summons to praise the Lord.

Eighty years after the first band of exiles returned, under
Zerubbabel and Joshua, the remnant at Jerusalem had their
hands mightily strengthened by the advent of a fresh band,
under the leadership of Ezra the priest. The title by which
this distinguished man is constantly designated is the shophker,
or Scribe. When his name is first mentioned in Scripture, he
is introduced to the reader as “a ready scribe in the law of
Moses,” who “had prepared his heart to seek the law of the
Lord, and to do it, and to teach in Israel statutes and judg-
ments.”* He is the first well-defined example of an order of
men who have never since ceased in the church; men of sacred
erudition, who devote their lives to the study of the Holy Scrip-
tures, in order that they may be in a condition to interpret
them for the instruction and edification of the church. It is
significant that the earliest mention of the pulpit occurs in the
history of Ezra’s ministry. He was much more of a Teacher
than a Priest. We learn from the account of his labours in
the book of Nehemiah, that he was careful to have the whole
people instructed in the law of Moses; and there is no reason
to reject the constant tradition of the Jews, which connects his
name with the collecting and editing of the Old Testament
canon. The final completion of the canon may have been, and
probably was, the work of a later generation; but Ezra seems
to have put it pretty much into the shape in which it is still
found in the Hebrew Bible. When it is added that the complete
organisation of the Synagogue dates from this period, it will be
seen that the age was emphatically one of biblical study.

Of this also traces have been left on the Psalter. We see
these in certain historical and biblical psalms. The age of
Ezra, it is true, was not the first to be furnished with historical
Psalms. The Sixty-eighth and Seventy-eighth were written,

* Ezra vii. 6–10.
ARE THERE MACCABEAN PSALMS?

the former by David, the latter by Asaph the Seer. But the longest of this class of compositions are undoubtedly to be traced to the century after the return. The Hundred and fifth and Hundred and sixth psalms—those beautiful abridgments in verse of the history of the chosen people—go together, and the latter is wound up with the prayer, "Save us, O Lord our God, and gather us from among the heathen, to give thanks unto thy holy name, and to triumph in thy praise." Of the Didactic psalms we may, with much confidence, attribute the Hundred and nineteenth to the time of Ezra. It is throughout a meditation on the law of the Lord, the written Word. It also is formed on a Davidic model; for the Royal Psalmist shewed the way in every mode of psalmody. The Hundred and nineteenth may be regarded as an expansion of the latter part of the Nineteenth, which is David's eulogy of the written Word.

We have followed the stream of inspired Psalmody in Israel from Moses to Ezra, a complete Millennium. Did it cease when Ezra and Malachi were gathered to their fathers? Or does the Psalter contain productions of the age of the Maccabees? This is still a moot point among the critics. The question is one of very narrow dimensions, relating to not more than three or four psalms at the utmost. There are, no doubt, a few critics who would have us believe that half the Psalter and more was written in the Maccabean period: but their idle dreams need no refutation. It would be unbecoming were we to set aside, in this summary way, the whole theory of Maccabean psalms; for to the limited extent just indicated, it has commended itself to critics of the highest order, including Calvin himself. That prince of commentators is inclined to refer the Forty-fourth, the Seventy-fourth, and perhaps one or two more, to the persecuting reign of Antiochus Epiphanes. But it is being more and more felt that the grounds alleged for this are insufficient. We have already seen, for example, that the Seventy-fourth cannot have been written after the Captivity. And on the other hand, there is much evidence to shew that the canon of the Old Testament
must have been completed soon after the death of Malachi.*

So strong is the presumption on this ground against the existence of Maccabean psalms, that nothing but positive and unequivocal proof of the existence of such psalms can countervail it; and no such proof has ever been adduced. We hold ourselves entitled, therefore, to conclude that the cessation of inspired Psalmody was contemporaneous with the cessation of prophecy; a coincidence in itself exceedingly probable. When the Spirit of the Lord ceased to communicate new revelations to the church, the harp of inspired psalmody ceased to sound. And, in this instance, the cessation was final. After an interval of four centuries, the Spirit of inspiration spoke again by the Evangelists and Apostles; but no Psalmist was raised up in the Apostolical Church. The New Testament contains books of history, of doctrine, and of prophecy; but it contains no book of Psalms.

* See the following Chapter.
CHAPTER VII.

THE PSALTER AS A WHOLE, WITH ITS FIVE BOOKS.

The reader who has followed with attention our sketch of the History of Bible Psalmody, can hardly fail to have been struck with the length of the period over which the history extends. The production of the Psalms commenced with Moses, and did not cease till the final cessation of Old Testament prophecy—more than a thousand years after Moses laid down his pen. This is a very wonderful fact, and one that has scarcely received the attention it deserves. The harmony of doctrine and sentiment from first to last is so perfect, that one is apt to forget that the Psalms range over a space of time not less than that which separates this year of our Lord 1869 from the year in which King Alfred set himself to translate the Psalter out of the Latin into the vernacular of the young Anglo-Saxon kingdom. The former portion of the period, it is true, made but a slender contribution. The Ninetieth Psalm was the only one composed during the centuries between the exodus and the anointing of David. But even if we leave those centuries out of view, there remain other six, every one of which has left its impress on the Psalter in new songs—a period as long as that which separates the Europe of to-day from the Europe of Thomas Aquinas and the Crusades—a full century longer than the time that has elapsed since John Wycliffe first set forth the Word of God in the English tongue. "Old Hundred" is about the oldest piece of English devotional
poetry now in use, yet the Ninetieth Psalm was more than three times as old before the last of the psalmists fell asleep. This brings strikingly into view a feature which distinguishes the Psalms from all the other Scriptures. We call them the Book of Psalms, but it is evident that they are not a Book in the ordinary sense of the word. They are rather a Treasury or Magazine, into which was gathered a whole literature. The Psalter is the authorised Collection of the Divine Songs of the Hebrew Church.* And how suggestive, let us note in passing, is the circumstance that, although the Psalms are the offspring of so many minds dispersed over so many centuries, there is not a jarring note in them. There is endless variety, but there is no contradiction. The feelings they utter, and the views of truth that underlie them, are in perfect harmony from beginning to end. This may well be taken as one proof of their divine and supernatural inspiration. In no other literature extending over centuries is so perfect a harmony found; and it would have been impossible in this, if the Psalmists had not been "holy men of God," who "spake as they were moved by the Holy Ghost."

From what has been said it is plain that, if the Psalms had been engrossed in the order of their date on the margin of the long *codex* or roll of the Old Testament Scriptures, they would have been seen to run parallel with those Scriptures, all along, from the Pentateuch to the books of the three minor prophets who ministered in the Medo-Persian period. I am not sure but it might serve some useful purposes if the psalms were occasionally exhibited after this fashion, in juxtaposition with the contemporary annals and prophecies. An arrangement of this kind might do for the Old Testament history what the Gospel Harmonies have done for the life of our Lord. One thing it would make apparent to every eye. It would show that the *chronological* order has not been followed in the Psalter. The "Prayer of Moses," which, according to the

* "The Psalter is not (as De Wette thought) a Lyrical Anthology of the Hebrews, but the Hymn Book of the Hebrew Church, originally and primarily designed for use in the Public Worship of God."—Moll, *der Psalter*, p. 2 (1869).
arrangement suggested, would stand first, is in fact set down at a point a good way past the middle; a group of psalms which, having been written by David, would come in among the first, are set down among the last. This is a feature of the Psalter that can hardly have escaped the notice of any careful reader. It suggests the inquiry, Have the Psalms been arranged on any discoverable plan? If so, what is the plan? When were they arranged, and by whom? In a word, What have the critics ascertained regarding the collection as a whole? The subject which these queries bring up presents many features of general interest, and I propose accordingly to devote to it the present chapter. Having formerly passed in review the principal facts that have been well ascertained regarding the composition of the several psalms, I propose now to state as briefly as possible the facts relating to the Psalter as a Whole.

The first fact that claims notice is the Division of the Psalter into Five Books. It is a pity our translators (and indeed the translators generally) have not made this division patent to all. They could not be ignorant of its existence, for it has all along been noticed by the critics, both Jewish and Christian. The ancient rabbins saw in the Five Books of the Psalter the image of the Five Books of the Law. This way of looking on the Psalms as a second Pentateuch, the echo of the first, passed over into the Christian Church and found favour with some early fathers. It has commended itself to the acceptance of good recent expositors, like Dr Delitzsch, who calls the Psalter "the Congregation’s five-fold word to the Lord, even as the Thora (the Law) is the Lord’s five-fold word to the Congregation."* This may be a mere fancy, but its existence from ancient times shews that the five-fold division attracted early notice. Probably the translators neglected this division from an impression that it was of no importance. The characteristics of the several books which will come before us immediately will shew that such an impression would have been quite unfounded; but as it is only of late years that these have

* Comment. II. 382.
been brought to light, the translators are not to be blamed for having failed to see them. That the psalms are really distributed into Five Books is certain, and the fact can be verified even from the English Bible. The arrangement is as follows:—

Book First, Psalms i. to xli. inclusive.
Book Second, Psalms xlii. to lxxii.
Book Third, Psalms lxxiii. to lxxxix.
Book Fourth, Psalms xc. to cvi.
Book Fifth, Psalms cvii. to cl.

We shall have to notice afterwards some more recondite features of distinction among the subordinate collections. For the present, it will be a sufficient voucher for the five-fold division to point out that the compiler of the Psalter—Ezra, or whoever he was—has appended to each book a brief doxology, and has in this way denoted, as by a landmark, the point at which one book begins and another ends. Thus, at the end of the First Book (Ps. xli. 13) we find the following:—

Blessed be Jehovah, the God of Israel,
From everlasting and to everlasting;
Amen! and Amen!

The close of the Second Book (Ps. lxxii. 18-20), besides being distinguished by a note of another kind, is adorned with the longest and most beautiful of these doxologies:—

Blessed be Jehovah God, the God of Israel,
Who alone doeth wonders.
And blessed be his glorious name for ever,
And let the whole earth be filled with his glory.
Amen! and Amen!

A similar ascription of praise (at Ps. lxxxix. 53), but much shorter, separates the Third Book from the Fourth:—

Blessed be Jehovah, for evermore;
Amen! and Amen!

It will, of course, be understood that it was not merely to serve the purpose of landmarks that these doxologies were set down where we find them. They form an integral part of the Psalter,
and were intended for use in the public worship. Possibly they were sung by themselves; more probably they were sung along with the several psalms, very much as the *Gloria Patri* is chanted in the churches that are accustomed to chant the psalms. We are reminded of this by the terms of the Fourth doxology (Ps. cvi. 48), where the people are invited to take part in ascribing glory to the Lord:

Blessed be Jehovah, the God of Israel,  
From everlasting and to everlasting.  
*And let all the people say, Amen!*  
*Hallelujah!*

The Fifth Book is not furnished with a formal doxology, like the other four; which may be due in part to the circumstance that there was no need of anything to mark the end of the last book; but it is still more satisfactorily accounted for by the character of the Hundred-and-fiftieth psalm. It is, in effect, a doxology from beginning to end. For not only does it begin and end with *Hallelujah*, but every one of the intermediate lines is an exhortation to *Praise the Lord*.

It is no small loss that in the Metrical Versions, as in the prose of the Authorised Version, the true character of these beautiful formulas of praise has been so completely overlooked. They have been translated as if they were part and parcel of the particular psalms which happen to stand last in the respective books, whereas they are not related to those psalms more than to any of the rest. For instance, the doxology at Psalm lxxxix. 53 belongs alike to all the psalms of the Third Book, and ought not to be treated as if it were merely the last verse of the psalm to which it adjoins. It ought to be set forth in such a shape as would enable and invite God’s people to sing it as a separate formula of praise, or in connection with any other psalm.

The question now presents itself, *When and by whom were these Five Books collected and arranged?* Or, to express the same question in modern phrase, Who was the Editor, and when was his work performed? This is not a
presumptuous question. It is one which the analogy of some other books of Scripture encourages us to put. It is known, for example, that the Book of Proverbs, although it is entitled *The Proverbs of Solomon, the Son of David, king of Israel*, was not put into its present shape by Solomon, or for a long while after his death. Five chapters near the close are stated by the sacred editor to have been collected and engrossed by "the men of Hezekiah king of Judah."* Let us inquire, then, whether any similar information is forthcoming with regard to the collection and arrangement of the Psalms. What has been ascertained or reasonably conjectured on the subject?

One thing is certain, that the Psalter must have been put into its present shape about the time of the prophet Malachi, four hundred years or thereby before the Christian Era. Let such facts as the following be weighed. Every reader of the New Testament knows that our Lord and the Apostles were accustomed to speak of "the Book of Psalms," citing it by that title just as we do.† No well-informed person doubts that the book they had in their hands was just the Psalter which has come down to modern times. To be sure, it is likely enough that, if we could compare the copies then in circulation with our own, there might be found some trifling discrepancies. It is pretty certain, for instance, that in those ancient copies what is now the second psalm was marked the first; the preceding psalm being made a kind of preface to the whole book. In Acts xiii. 33, where the apostle quotes the words, "Thou art my Son, this day have I begotten thee," he introduces them in this way, "As it is also written in the first psalm," for this, and not "the second psalm," is the reading approved by the best editors from Griesbach to Tischendorf. Possibly there might be other discrepancies of the same kind; every scholar knows that, in this matter of enumeration, the ancient manuscripts and translations differ, here and there, both from our printed Bibles and from one another. But variations like these do not affect the substance of the sacred

* Prov. xxv. 1.  
† Luke xx. 42; Acts i. 20.
text; and I repeat that the Psalter of the apostolic age was just the Psalter we possess. Even in the apostolic age it bore the marks of antiquity. One proof of this, out of many, is furnished by the existence of the Septuagint. That Greek version of the Old Testament, the oldest of all translations of the Bible, was executed at Alexandria, in the third century before Christ; and in it the Psalms are arranged as in our Bibles. This takes us back to a point within a century and a half of the age of Malachi. Sound criticism enables us to take yet another step backwards. The Septuagint presents certain features which serve to shew that the Psalter, in its present shape, cannot have been a new book in the days of the learned Alexandrian Jews. For example, they have been so sorely perplexed with the superscriptions of many psalms, as to make it quite apparent that the meaning of certain rare words there found had been already forgotten—which could scarcely have taken place in a generation or two.* On these and similar grounds, there is a general agreement among the ripest scholars, to the effect that the Psalter must have been put into its present shape not later than the beginning of the fourth century before Christ. On the other hand, there are facts which forbid our going further back than the date thus reached. There is, for instance, the decisive fact, already ascertained, that a considerable number of psalms were composed by the contemporaries of Ezra the scribe. The final editing of the Psalter, then, may, with all confidence, be attributed to the learned scribes who are known to have flourished among the Jews about the time of the cessation of prophecy; and we shall not greatly err, if we ascribe the work mainly to the learned and pious industry of Ezra himself. Among the many items of evidence which might be cited in corroboration of this long-accepted conclusion, I shall mention only one. The two books of the Chronicles, which are undoubtedly the latest in date of all the Old Testament Scriptures, are understood to have been compiled possibly by Ezra, certainly within

a century or thereby of his time; even the genealogical tables come down no later than about the close of the Persian Empire. Now, if the reader will compare 1 Chronicles xvi. 35, 36 with Psalm cvi. 47 and the doxology which follows, he will see that the Fourth Book of the Psalter must have been in existence, and the termination of it marked off, as it still is, by the doxology, before the Chronicles were compiled. We hold ourselves entitled then to date the editing of the Psalter from the age of Ezra.*

This point ascertained, other questions crowd in upon us. Did the psalms exist only in a dispersed condition till Ezra collected them? Did he find them scattered up and down among the people, like the Proverbs of Solomon which the men of Hezekiah copied out? Or, is there not reason to think that he found in the hands of the Levites and the Congregation minor collections of an older date? If so, can any of these be identified with one or more of the Five Books, the final editing of which was his work? These are questions that cannot be settled with absolute certainty, nevertheless these are the materials for probable conjecture.

It will be convenient to begin with the First and Second Books. If we may affix an early date to any, it is certainly to these; for they consist, for the most part, of psalms we owe to David and his contemporaries, and contain more than three-fourths of all that we owe to them. At this point welcome assistance is afforded by the note at Psalm lxxii. 20, "the prayers of David the son of Jesse are ended." So long as the five-fold division of the Psalter was neglected, this note gave nothing but perplexity to the commentators. Augustine, and his master Ambrose of Milan, finding it standing in their Psalters between the Seventy-second and Seventy-third psalms, took it for part of the title of the latter, and tortured their ingenuity in divining its import. Calvin saw that the note is retrospective;*  

* Comp. 2 Maccabees ii. 13, "Neemias . . . founding a library, gathered together the acts of the kings and the prophets, of David, and the epistles of the kings concerning the holy gifts."—See also Hupfeld, I. 43 (2d Edition); Ewald, Dichter, I. 264.
but, not having observed its position at the end of a Book, he thought it pertained exclusively to the psalm immediately preceding, and took it to mean that that psalm embalms the last prayers of the aged king. But he was at a loss to reconcile this with the two obvious facts, that the title of the psalm ascribes it to Solomon, and that quite a different psalm is elsewhere preserved as "the last words of David."* And this perplexity of the great Reformer is shared by the older Commentators generally. We get rid of it at once, by simply remarking the position of the note in question. It is set down after a doxology which marks the end of the Second Book. It has no special reference, therefore, to the Seventy-second psalm. It either refers to the Second Book, or, more probably, to both the First and Second.

This point settled, the meaning of the note becomes apparent. It cannot mean that all David's psalms are contained in the first two books; for the remaining books contain eighteen that bear his name. Neither can it mean that the two first books contain none but David's; for Asaph, Solomon, and the sons of Korah are all represented. The true explanation is to be sought elsewhere. As it is certain that the psalms of David and his contemporaries were written and given out to be publicly sung in the worship of the Lord, there must have been some collection of them made at an early time for the use of the Levites and others.† A remarkable diversity in the use of the divine names (presently to be adverted to), divided them into two classes. The collection, accordingly, consisted of two books. These are, substantially, the first two of the present Psalter; and the note under consideration means simply, that with it the Collection of Divine Songs ends. That they are styled "the Prayers of David" ought not to seem strange to us, who so constantly speak of the entire body of divine songs as the Psalms of David. The proportion of psalms strictly David's, in the two first books, is certainly

* 2 Samuel xxiii. 1.
† According to EWALD (Geschichte d. Volkes Israel, vol. III. 387), a Collection, of which traces are discernible in the Psalter, must have existed in Solomon's time.
much larger than in the complete Psalter. With regard to the place at which this note is introduced, it is to be observed that the practice of the ancients differed from ours. To the Hebrews it would not seem strange to find the title of their Psalm Book at the end, in the shape of a retrospective note. The close of Job's colloquy with his three friends, and the close of the prophetic discourses of Jeremiah, are distinguished by notes precisely similar.* The whole theory is powerfully corroborated by the circumstance that the first and second books do not contain a single psalm that can reasonably be dated after the reign of Hezekiah; the Forty-fourth is the only one that is at all doubtful, and even in regard to it, the weight of evidence is in favour of an early date.

Of the Forty-one psalms contained in the First Book all but four are marked as David's; the four which do not bear his name† are all anonymous, and circumstances are not wanting which indicate that some of them also are from the royal psalmist's pen. The Second Book is largely indebted to other pens. Eight psalms are ascribed to the sons of Korah, one to Asaph, one to Solomon; but here also the major part is David's. Eighteen bear his name, and of the three that are anonymous, it is probable that some are his. Respecting the time when this double collection was made, I will not venture on any more definite conjecture, than that it may have been under one of the early kings after David. In the history of Hezekiah's Reformation, we read that "the king and the princes commanded the Levites to sing praise to the Lord with the words of David and of Asaph the seer."‡ From this it would seem that there was a collection of psalms put into the hands of the Levites. The "copying out" of such a volume is exactly the kind of labour we should expect from "the men of Hezekiah." Some recent critics have attempted to identify this collection of "the words of David and of Asaph" with the first two books of the Psalter. But considering the fact that these contain only one Asaph psalm, while the next book begins with eleven bearing

* See Job xxxi. 40; Jer. li. 64.  
† Psalms. i. ii. x. xxxiii.  
‡ 2 Chron. xxix. 30.
that name, it is more probable that the men of Hezekiah found "the prayers of David" already collected, and that what they did was to add to them some of the psalms which now constitute the Third Book.

The distinctive features of the Third Book can be described in a sentence or two. It opens with a series of eleven "psalms of Asaph."* Then came four Korahite psalms, with one "psalm of David" in the midst;† the only psalm of his in this book. It closes with a psalm of Ethan the Ezrahite. The book contains several psalms that had come down from the reign of David; but the greater number belong to the reigns of the later kings or to the captivity, and one, the Eighty-fifth, is a song of the exiles who returned. These facts point to the period immediately succeeding the return from Babylon, as the date of the completion of this book.

As for the two remaining books, the Fourth and Fifth, it seems evident that they were compiled contemporaneously, and by the same person. They are not distinguished from each other by those strongly marked features which separate them from the other three, and those from each other. So perfectly homogeneous are they, in all respects, that Ewald‡ thinks that, from the Ninetieth Psalm to the end, there is but one book; and it must be admitted that the division into two is only justified by the doxology between the Hundred-and-sixth and Hundred-and-seventh Psalms. Here also we would fain know who was the compiler, and on what principles he performed his task. A full discussion of these points would oblige us to plunge into the general history of the canon, and must not be attempted. I shall only repeat what was stated before, that although the finishing touch was certainly not given to the Old Testament Scriptures until a good while after the death of Ezra, there is no sufficient ground to reject the constant tradition of the Jewish Schools, which attributes the collecting of them to that prophet. The special work for which God raised him up was that of the Scribe. No doubt he was some-

* Psalms lxxiii.-lxxxiii.  † Psalm lxxxvi.  ‡ Dichter, I. 267.
thing more than a Scribe. His name is honourably enrolled in "the goodly fellowship of the prophets," by whom God spoke to the fathers and communicated to the church the written word. But the special service to which God called him was not so much the writing of new books, as the gathering together of all the sacred writings, and the marshalling of them in fit order, with the insertion of additional matter here and there, so as to make the successive books dovetail into one another; in a word, the editing of the Old Testament. By the good hand of his God upon him, he happily accomplished this great task, inso-
much that, when the last of the prophets fell asleep, the people of God possessed the Hebrew Scriptures very much as they are found in our printed Bibles.* Considering the number of the
psalms, and their great variety of subject, authorship, and date, we may be sure that Ezra found the editing of them not the least difficult part of his task; and we have already found reason to judge that it was finished before that of some other books.

The plan he pursued in this part of his work can be traced with considerable certainty. The psalms not included in the three previously existing books were sixty-one in number. These were carefully arranged in various groups, and at the head of this entire appendix was placed the Ninetieth Psalm, the song of the church in the wilderness. As the psalms thus added, if written out without a break, would have made a book twice as long as any of the others, they were divided into two by the doxology at the end of the Hundred-and-sixth.

Ezra's labour would be facilitated by the circumstance that the psalms which constitute the Fourth and Fifth books were, some of them, gathered previously into minor collections. For an example, it is enough to refer to a remarkable group of psalms, eight in number, inserted near the end of the last book.† They are ascribed to David, and present a marked contrast to their neighbours, both in their superscrip-
tions and contents; and one cannot doubt that they came

* See Prideaux, Connection of Old and New Testament, I. 316-348 (at year B.C. 449); and the writers on the Canon.
† Psalms cxxxviii.-cxlv.
down from the age of David in each other's company. In several of them, particularly the Hundred and thirty-ninth and the Hundred and forty-fifth, we recognise poems that are specially dear to the hearts of all believers, and that are wrought of the finest gold of inspired psalmody. Another group claims particular notice, the fifteen Songs of Degrees.* These undoubtedly form a class by themselves, a psalter within the psalter. Respecting their special design, there has from the first been a singular diversity of opinion. The title common to them all, "A song of the ascents," or "of the goings up," has no doubt something to tell us on the subject, but unfortunately is itself capable of diverse interpretations. According to an ancient Jewish tradition, they take their title from the circumstance that they were sung by the Levites, at the Feast of Tabernacles, on a certain ascent of fifteen steps, leading from the court of the men to the court of the women, in the Second Temple. This interpretation found considerable favour, at one time, but is now set aside with general consent. There is more feasibility in another, according to which the title is descriptive of a certain style of composition found in these psalms, a certain gradually progressive rhythm of thought and expression. An example will bring out this peculiarity better than any description. The Hundred and twenty-fourth will serve as well as any:

_A Song of the Goings up; [a Psalm] of David._

1. But for Jehovah who was for us,
   May Israel now say,—
2. But for Jehovah who was for us,
   When men rose up against us.
3. Then had they swallowed us up alive,
   When their wrath was kindled against us.
4. Then had the waters overwhelmed us,
   The stream had gone over our soul.
5. Then had gone over our soul,
   The waters, the proud [waters].

* Psalms cxx.—cxxxiv.
6. Blessed be Jehovah,
   Who hath not given us as a prey to their teeth.
7. Our soul is escaped, as a bird from the snare of the fowlers;
   The snare is broken and we are escaped.
8. Our help is in the name of Jehovah,
   The Maker of heaven and earth.

It would be vain to make light of a theory which is still supported by critics of such fame as Gesenius and Delitzsch; yet it seems a fatal objection to it that this style, to which the title is supposed to refer, is not found in all the fifteen Songs of Degrees, and is found elsewhere. Ewald has revived a third explanation which was largely adopted by the early Fathers, namely, that the goings up to which these psalms relate, were the journeyings of the exiles from the land of their captivity to the place of their father's sepulchres. The word is undoubtedly used elsewhere, in this sense.* The Lord had promised that "his ransomed should return and come to Zion with songs," and it may well be supposed that the bands who went up with Zerrubbabel cheered the toilsome way with the music of psalms. When God brings home his ransomed he loves to hear them sing as they go. A fourth explanation has found more acceptance than any of the others, especially in recent times, being adopted by Herder, Hengstenberg, and Hupfeld, as well as by Mr Perowne and a host of others at home. According to it, the psalms in question were sung by the people at the "goings up" to Jerusalem, year by year, to the solemn feasts. This explanation can very well be combined with the one last mentioned; for the wayfarers who returned from Babylon with songs would naturally sing the psalms which had usually been sung by the companies who went up to the annual feasts. It adds a new charm to these beautiful psalms to think of them as the songs God provided for his wayfarers,—the Pilgrim Psalms.

It must be confessed that the account now given of the compilation of the Five Books of the Psalter, leaves unanswered some questions we would fain put. For example, how did it

* Ezra vii. 9; Heb., and margin of English Version.
come to pass that so many of the earlier psalms are found in the later books? In some instances, even this admits of explanation. Thus the Ninetieth psalm may have come down from the age of Moses, not alone, but amongst the ancient historical documents that were finally placed in the first chapters of the Chronicles. If so, the person who compiled the Chronicles—Ezra, as we suppose—when he transferred the psalm to the collection of Sacred Songs, would naturally assign to it its present position at the head of the two Books which he added to the previously existing Psalter. Nor is the case of the Psalms of David, found in the Fourth and Fifth books, so perplexing as it might seem. It is to be remarked that the Psalms are not arranged chronologically, but in groups of two or more, distinguished by some common character. Thus, in addition to the fifteen "Songs of the Goings up," which, it is to be observed, have been gathered together from every period of psalmody, there is a group of Songs of the Millennium;* there is a group of Hodu or Confitemini psalms, each beginning with the invitation to "give thanks unto the Lord;"† and there are two groups of Hallelujah psalms.‡ This being the scheme according to which the psalms are marshalled, it is not unlikely that the Psalms of David now standing in the three later books stood originally alongside of their contemporaries in the first and second, and were afterwards shifted to their present places, that they might stand side by side with later psalms of kindred features. This conjecture I cannot help regarding as far preferable to the theory advanced by certain critics, who, dating the psalms according to their place in the earlier or later books of the Psalter, deny that any of those in the later books are from David's pen, and cast discredit on the superscriptions which attribute so many of them to him. It would be easy to prove that, of these late-placed psalms, several are as certainly David's as any in the whole Psalter.

Before concluding this account of the Fivefold distribution of

* Ps. xcvi.-c. † Ps. cv.-cvii. ‡ Ps. cxi.-cvii. and cxlvi.-cl.
the Psalms, it will be necessary to point out certain remarkable features distinctive of the several Books.

Foremost among these is the variation observable in the use of the divine names. That the reader may be in a position to understand what follows, I must explain that in the Hebrew of the Old Testament the names of God most commonly employed are two—Elohim, constantly rendered God in our Authorised Version; and Jehovah, which, except in the few places where it is left untranslated, is uniformly rendered Lord. When so rendered it is apt to be confounded with another title, Adonai, signifying Lord in the sense of master, ruler, prince. To obviate, in some measure, this confusion, the word Lord, when it stands for Jehovah, is printed in capitals. It is to be noted, further, that there is something very remarkable in the way in which these divine names are employed in the earlier Scriptures, especially in Genesis. Thus, in the first section of Genesis* the name God (Elohim) is exclusively used; in the second section, the Book of the “Generations of the heavens and of the earth.”† the two are generally used in combination, “the Lord God” (Jehovah-Elohim); in the third section, “the Book of the Generations of Adam,”‡ the two names are used indifferently; and so on, the usage varying all through the book. I simply call attention to these facts; it would be too great a digression to attempt to explain them here.

Reflecting on what has been stated, the reader will observe with interest that a similar variation in the use of the divine names is found in the Psalter. Thus, in the First Book, Jehovah occurs two hundred and seventy-two times, Elohim only fifteen times, and for the most part in places§ where the former title would have been inadmissible. In the Second Book the proportion is reversed, Elohim occurring one hundred and sixty-four times, Jehovah thirty times. In the Third Book the two names are used in about equal proportions, or, to speak more accurately, the book is made up partly of Elohim psalms and partly of Jehovah psalms; hence the former

---

* Gen. i. 1-ii. 3. † Gen. ii. 4. ‡ Gen. v. 5. § Such as Psalm v. 2; xiv. 1.
title is used in forty-three places, the latter in forty-four. In
the Fourth and Fifth Books the name Jehovah is used almost
exclusively;* for although the other title is found occasionally in
a composite form, it is only once used in its simple form with
reference to the true God. These curious facts were first
collected by Dr Delitzsch in a work published twenty-four
years ago. Their importance has been universally recognised.
Among other things, they explain the division of what is
believed to have been the original Psalter (Ps. i.–lxxii.) into
two books—for the one book consists of Jehovah psalms, the
other of Elohim psalms.

How is this diverse use of the divine names to be accounted
for? A century has not yet elapsed since the subject first
attracted the study of the learned, little more than twenty
years since the facts were accurately noted, yet theories not
a few have already been elaborated, some feasible, others not
feasible at all. The one best known in this country is associ-
ated with the name of Dr Colenso. It is very simple. He
has found out that the name which translators, ancient and
modern, have been accustomed reverently to veil under the
more general title Lord, was invented,—say by the prophet
Samuel,—shortly before David came to the throne, and that all
the earlier Scriptures in which it occurs are spurious or hope-
lessly interpolated! The older name Elohim continued in use,
however, for some time, and accordingly prevails in some of the
psalms. After a while it gave place entirely to the newer
word. Thus the whole difficulty is resolved into an affair of
chronology; the Elohim psalms are the earlier, the others are
the later. A very simple theory, if the facts would only
accommodate themselves to it. However, they absolutely refuse
to do so. Two may be named out of the hundreds that are
available. The Song of Deborah in the Book of Judges, which
is accounted genuine by all critics of every school, celebrates
the praises of God by his name Jehovah; whereas the Sixty-

* According to Delitzsch (vol. ii. 388), Jehovah occurs 339 times in these
two Books; Elohim only once, with reference to the Living God, viz., at
Psalm cxiv. 9.
eighth psalm, written long after, and with marked allusions to Deborah's Song, uses the other and less sacred title.* Moreover, Dr Colenso's theory obliges him to make some later psalmist, and not David, the writer of the Fifty-first psalm. A theory which involves such an obligation is self-condemned.

Nevertheless there must be some ground for the usage in question. No one who believes in the inspiration of the psalmists can doubt that there must be some wise reason for it. The appropriate use of the divine names in Prayer is an excellent aid to faith, helping the suppliant "to take encouragement in prayer from God only;" it is no less excellent, we may be sure, in the kindred exercise of Praise. It is certain that the psalmists did not vary their usage by accident. It could not be by accident that David, after having given forth Psalm Fourteenth as a Jehovah-psalm, in giving forth a second edition of it substituted the name Elohim. Yet he has done this in the Fifty-third psalm. And the same remark applies to the Seventieth in relation to the closing verses of the Fortieth. In all this there must have been some object; but what the precise object was it is hard to tell. None of the explanations yet given will solve the whole problem. A partial solution is, however, to be found in the different import of the divine names. Elohim is the more general designation, being occasionally applied to angels, to magistrates, to heathen deities; Jehovah is the special and peculiar designation expressing God's covenant relation to his own Israel, and is absolutely incommunicable. This obvious diversity of import goes a good way towards explaining the remarkable manner in which the use of the names is varied throughout all the Old Testament Scriptures. Thus it explains the exclusive employment of Elohim in Ecclesiastes, a book which, dealing with the problems lying on the border-ground between natural and revealed religion, could not so fitly use the more

* Compare Judges v. 4, 5, "Lord, when thou wentest out of Seir . . . the mountains melted from before the Lord, even that Sinai from before the Lord God of Israel," with Psalm lxviii. 7, 8, "O God, when thou wentest forth before thy people . . . the heavens dropped at the presence of God, even Sinai itself was moved at the presence of God, the God of Israel."
sacred name. It explains the repeated employment of the same title in the Fourteenth psalm,* although it is a Jehovah-psalm. If it does not perfectly explain the existence of a whole book of Elohim psalms, it at least furnishes a valuable contribution towards the solution of the difficulty.

There is another feature of distinction among the successive books of the Psalter that must be noticed in conclusion. In the earlier books doctrine predominaotes. The First and Second contain most of the psalms that embalm for our instruction the rich experience of David; and, as an introduction to these and to the whole body of sacred song, two psalms are set down which contain a kind of summary of the doctrine underlying all the rest—the First psalm celebrating the character and blessedness of the godly, the Second the person and kingdom of Christ. In the Fourth and Fifth Books no thoughtful reader fails to remark the predominance of a certain jubilant tone. They contain the Milleennial Psalms, the Hodu or Thanksgiving Psalms, and the Songs of degrees. The Hundred and forty-fifth, which is entitled by way of eminence “David’s Psalm of Praise,” is reserved to grace the latter part of the Fifth Book; and that wonderful psalm is followed by five others which may be described as a five times repeated acclaim of praise. To what a height does this acclaim mount up in the last of the five!

*Hallelujah!

1. Praise God in his sanctuary:
   Praise him in his strong firmament.
2. Praise him for his mighty acts:
   Praise him according to his excellent greatness.
3. Praise him with blast of trumpet:
   Praise him with psaltery and harp.
4. Praise him with timbrel and dance:
   Praise him with stringed instruments and pipe.
5. Praise him with the loud cymbals:
   Praise him with the cymbals of a joyful noise.
6. Let all breath praise Jehovah!

*Hallelujah!

* Thus at ver. 1, “The fool hath said in his heart, There is no God,” it is plain the name Jehovah would have been inappropriate.
Is there not something instructive in this progress of thought and sentiment in the Psalter? The Christian life is founded on Doctrine. It is of indispensable necessity to the believer that he have his mind imbued with the truth, with the truth delivered by God's Holy Spirit in Scripture, above all, with the truth respecting Christ Jesus. But the knowledge and belief of the truth are not to be looked upon as the final attainment. The heart must be fired, as well as the understanding enlightened and the conscience awakened. The affections must be so thoroughly brought under the power of the truth, so entirely captivated by the knowledge of God and of Christ, that the soul shall soar through the region of doctrine into the region of praise. If I am enabled so to profit by the doctrinal psalms that I shall, by their help, rise to the heights of the psalms of praise, and, with a heart all on fire, make melody to God in lofty Hallelujahs, I shall take it for a sure token that I have known the grace of God in truth, and that he is making me meet for the everlasting songs of the heavenly Jerusalem.
CHAPTER VIII.

THE POETICAL STRUCTURE OF THE PSALMS.

It would be beside our present purpose to enter upon a general inquiry into the laws of the Hebrew poetry. Nor is this needful. The subject has been elucidated in modern works of great merit, some of which are easily accessible. It is sufficient to mention the Prélections of Bishop Lowth, the publication of which, in the middle of last century, gave a great impetus to this kind of inquiry, both in this country and abroad. The importance attaching to the subject may be estimated by the fact that, as nearly as may be, one half of the scriptures of the Old Testament are poetical in their form. Of the poetical books, the Psalter is every way the most noteworthy. Accordingly, although the full elucidation of the characteristics of the Hebrew poetry must not be attempted in this place, the subject cannot well be declined altogether. It may be possible, within the limits of a single chapter, to give such an account of the poetical structure of the Hebrew Psalms as may convey a general conception of it to English readers, and may aid them in appreciating the wisdom of God in the literary mould into which this portion of his word has been cast.

When a person familiar only with the classical poets or those of modern Europe, takes up a volume that purports to consist of poetry, he expects to discover regular measures, rhymed or unrhymed; what Milton calls "apt numbers and fit quantity of syllables." Let it be observed, then, at the outset, that one who takes up the Hebrew Psalter with such an expectation, will be disappointed. Scholars whose notions of poetry were
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drawn from the literatures of Europe found it so hard to understand how poems could possibly be constructed without regular measures, that it was long the favourite theory that the Hebrew poetry differed in no essential respect from that of other nations, but that, the ancient pronunciation of the language having been now irrecoverably lost, the key to the Hebrew metres was lost also. Attempts innumerable were made, accordingly, from very early times, to shew that the poetical Scriptures were written in the classical metres; and it is not many years since learned men ceased (if they have altogether ceased) from exercising their ingenuity in the search for these familiar forms of poetical composition. Even Lowth expresses himself doubtfully, rather inclining to the belief that, if only the true pronunciation of the language could be recovered, the measured cadences that prevail in European poetry would make themselves heard in the Hebrew Scriptures. But the best critics are more and more settling down into the conviction that this is an error. No doubt there is a certain harmony of sound audible in the poetical scriptures. Imperfect as our knowledge of the pronunciation may be, there are passages which cannot be read aloud without reminding us, by their musical flow, that the prophets and psalmists are true poets—

"Who feed on thoughts that voluntary move
Harmonious numbers."

There are lines, here and there, which will even bear to be scanned after the manner of the classical metres. But all this is insufficient to prove the prevalence of regular measures. Warm emotion always tends to express itself in language harmoniously modulated. The "harmonious numbers" discoverable in the Hebrew are found quite as often in our Authorised English Version, which, of course, has no pretensions to metrical structure. Besides being everywhere full of music, charming the ear like the chime of church bells, it occasionally falls into the measured tread of some noble metre. In the Forty-seventh psalm, for example, there is the fine hexameter,—
“God is gone up with a shout, the Lord with the sound of a trumpet;”

and a little industry would bring to light many such lines. It may be confidently affirmed that there is not a whit more metre in the Hebrew Psalter than in the English translation.

There is another consideration, which, if it had been duly weighed, might have warned the critics that the Hebrew poetry moves in an orbit of its own. So far as I have observed, the writers on this subject have failed to take note of the circumstance that the sacred volume contains specimens of the “poetry of the Hebrews” in the Greek language. The songs in the beginning of Luke’s Gospel—the Song of Mary, the Song of Zecharias, the Song of Simeon—are poems both in substance and in form; the last notes of the Old Testament muse, uttered by way of welcome to the Sun of Righteousness, whose far-off advent she had so often foretold. It is unnecessary to decide here the controversy about the language in which they were originally delivered. A strong case has been made out in favour of the Greek: but, at all events, this is certain, that it is only in the Greek they have been authentically transmitted to us; so that we are warranted to treat them as originals in their Greek dress. It is hardly necessary to add that they exhibit no vestige of measured rhythm. Their structure in the Greek is precisely what it is in the English Version.

My soul doth magnify the Lord,
And my spirit hath rejoiced in God my Saviour.
For he hath regarded the low estate of his handmaid:
For, behold, from henceforth all generations shall call me blessed.
For he that is mighty hath done to me great things:
And holy is his name.
And his mercy is on them that fear him,
From generation to generation.
He hath holpen His servant Israel,
In remembrance of His mercy.
As he spake to our fathers;
To Abraham and to his seed for ever.
No one can fail to recognise in these lines a prolongation of the familiar notes of the poetical scriptures of the Old Testament. The Magnificat, although written in the language of the Greeks, is in every other respect a Hebrew poem. In its structure, as in its substance and spirit, it is an authentic specimen of the poetry of the Hebrews, as truly as the Song of Hannah, from which indeed it is absolutely undistinguishable in a literary point of view. If these Songs in Luke—the latest born of the sacred poems—had been duly regarded, I cannot help thinking that the learned men who have devoted so much pains to the literary criticism of the Bible, would not have gone off so long on a wrong scent.

The Hebrew poetry, then, is destitute of metre. Are we to infer that it differs from prose merely in a certain more elevated style of thought and diction,—the lofty style into which a speaker or writer insensibly rises when his mind warms with a congenial theme? That such a conclusion would be erroneous, is plain even in the case of the Songs in Luke. They stand out in bold relief from the narrative in which they are imbedded. Every reader, when he comes to the last verse of the first chapter of Luke, feels that it is a different sort of composition altogether from the Song of Zecharias immediately preceding, and is sensible of painful incongruity if he have neglected to alter the tone of his voice accordingly. The Songs in question differ also, quite essentially, from the lofty effusions in which the apostles and other prose writers of Scripture occasionally give vent to the reverent admiration with which the contemplation of the truth has inflamed their hearts,—as, for instance, in the poetical prose of the Eighth of the Romans or the Eleventh of the Hebrews. Comparing the New Testament lyrics with those lofty utterances of the apostles, one feels that, much as they have in common, they nevertheless lie on opposite sides of the undefinable line that separates Poetical composition from Prose. There can be no doubt, therefore, of the fact, that Hebrew poetry, notwithstanding the absence of metres, can be distinguished from prose, as well as that of any other nation.

This brings us to the question, What, then, are the charac-
Characteristics of Hebrew poetry? By what features is the structure of the psalms distinguishable from the structure of European poems, on the one hand, and from prose composition, on the other?

It is difficult to answer this question exactly, without going into inadmissible details. For our present purpose, however, it will be sufficiently correct to say, that Hebrew poetry is distinguished from prose by its versified structure, and from other poetry by this remarkable peculiarity, that, whereas the versification of all other nations is verbal, that of the Hebrews is real. Let the reader take up an English poem—the Paradise Lost for example—and mark how totally distinct is the progress of the verse from the progress of the thought. The end of the line does not necessarily represent a pause in the thought; more frequently that pause occurs somewhere else. In building his lofty measures, the musical flow of the words was the predominant consideration in the poet's mind. One who should translate the Paradise Lost into another language, would of course reproduce the sense as closely as possible, and would probably employ also the Heroic measure, but certainly he would not attempt to give line for line. In poems intended for congregational singing, the case is no doubt altered somewhat. The writers of hymns and metrical psalms endeavour to introduce a pause in the thought wherever there is to be a pause in the singing; regarding it justly as a serious blemish if a sentence begun in one verse is carried forward into the next. But, after all allowance is made on this score, the general fact remains, that in our poetry the versification, so far from being dependent simply on the thought, is principally dependent on the words and sound. In Hebrew poetry all this is reversed. The pause in the progress of the thought determines the point at which the verse or line must end. The poetical structure fits so closely to the thought, that a Hebrew poem can be reproduced in any other language, verse for verse and line for line. This peculiarity has been well described by Bishop Jebb in the following remarks: "Hebrew poetry is universal poetry; the poetry of all languages and of all peoples: the collocation of
words...is primarily directed so as to secure the best possible announcement and discrimination of the sense; let, then, a translator only be literal, and, so far as the genius of the language will permit, let him preserve the original order of the words, and he will infallibly put the reader in possession of all, or nearly all, that the Hebrew text can give to the best Hebrew scholar of the present day. Now, had there been originally metre,—he goes on to say,—"the case, it is presumed, could hardly have been such; somewhat must have been sacrificed to the importunities of metrical necessity: the sense could not have invariably predominated over the sound; and the poetry could not have been, as it unquestionably and emphatically is, a poetry, not of sounds or of words, but of things. Let not this last assertion, however, be misinterpreted: I would be understood merely to assert that sound, and words in subordination to sound, do not in Hebrew, as in classical poetry, enter into the essence of the thing; but it is happily undeniable that the words of the poetical scriptures are exquisitely fitted to convey the sense; and it is highly probable that, in the lifetime of the language, the sounds were sufficiently harmonious: when I say sufficiently harmonious, I mean so harmonious as to render the poetry grateful to the ear in recitation, and suitable to musical accompaniment; for which purpose the cadence of well modulated prose would fully answer; a fact which will not be controverted by any person with a moderately good ear, that has ever heard a chapter of Isaiah skilfully read from our authorised translation."*

We have said that the Hebrew poetry possesses a versified structure. This requires a few words of explanation; for those whose ideas of versification have been derived exclusively from the classics and the modern poetry, will no doubt be disposed to ask how there can be such a thing as verse, when there is neither rhyme nor metre. The reader will by-and-by have ocular demonstration of the existence of verse in the Psalter. For the present, it will be enough to recall attention to the
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songs in the beginning of Luke, from which a citation has already been made. The verses quoted from the Magnificat were printed so as to exhibit the versification; and a glance will show that the division into verses and lines comes out, as it were, spontaneously. The point that claims special attention in this kind of versification, is the relation subsisting between the several lines. In other poetry, the relation of the successive lines lies essentially in the harmony of the words; here it lies in the harmony of the ideas. The relation is in the sense, not in the sound; it is not verbal, but real, a relation not of words but of things. To express it, Lowth made use of the term parallelism, and perhaps a better one could not be found. It is to be observed, however, that the parallelisms are of many sorts. The two most easily described are the synonymous or cognate, and the antithetic parallelisms; so called because in the former the members of the verse are the synonyms, in the latter the antitheses of each other. Of the following three couplets from the Magnificat, the first exemplifies the synonymous or cognate; the second, the antithetic parallelism. The third exemplifies yet another sort, which occurs probably oftener than the other two put together—a parallelism which comes up in every variety of shape, especially in the more highly poetical psalmists and prophets, and which, for lack of a more definite title, has been called the synthetic or constructive.

I.

My soul doth magnify the Lord,
And my spirit hath rejoiced in God my Saviour.

II.

The hungry hath he filled with good things,
And the rich he hath sent empty away.

III.

He hath regarded the low estate of his handmaiden:
For, behold, from henceforth all generations shall call me blessed.

In this exposition of the essential characteristics of the
Hebrew poetry, I have kept close to the track marked out by
the best writers on the subject; my object being simply to
exhibit, as lucidly as I could, the views now generally acquiesced
in. It will, of course, be understood that everything which has
been said applies as perfectly to the Psalter as to any other por-
tion of the Scriptures. Should there be any reader who has
failed to obtain a clear conception of the subject, or who is
doubtful whether the view given is warranted by the facts of the
case—doubtful, that is, whether the Hebrew poetry really
possesses the versified structure that has been described—the
most effectual way to remove all such misgivings and mis-
apprehensions will be to adduce from the Psalter some well-
deﬁned illustrative examples. In doing so, I shall call special
attention to the Acrostic or Alphabetical Psalms. I take these,
not certainly because of any poetical superiority they may be
supposed to possess over the other psalms—for, as a rule, they
are the least poetical of all—but simply because their peculiar
form brings out, with extraordinary distinctness, the principles
that regulate the poetical composition of the Hebrews. The
most remarkable of these psalms will be reproduced in English,
as accurately as I can, in whole or in part. Anything further
that requires to be said regarding the poetical structure of the
psalms, may be most conveniently presented in the way of com-
mentary on the passages quoted.

The Alphabetical psalms—the psalmi abecedarii, as the
Latin fathers called them—are nine in number;* and I

* Namely, Psalms ix. and x., xxv. and xxxiv., xxxvii., cxii. and cxii., cxix.,
clv. Perhaps the two that stand first should be marked as doubtful, for the
acrostic is very imperfect; but an alphabetical arrangement is distinctly
traceable, beginning with the first verse of the Ninth psalm, and running on
to the end of the Tenth, two verses generally going to each letter of the alpha-
et. The circumstance that the two psalms are linked together so as to form
one acrostic poem will explain the fact, so unusual in the First Book, that
Psalm x. is unfurnished with a superscription. Doubtless, both psalms are
from David’s pen.

The Twenty-fifth and Thirty-fourth, both “Psalms of David,” form a pair
of another sort. They are identical in structure, each consisting of twenty-
two verses, being one for every letter of the Hebrew alphabet; with this
curious peculiarity (found in both psalms), that one letter—Vau—is awanting,
cannot help thinking it is a pity that, except in the single instance of the Hundred and nineteenth, no hint of their existence should have been suffered to appear in our Authorised Version. I will not take it upon me to affirm, with Ewald, that no version is faithful in which the acrostic is suppressed; but I do think that the existence of such a remarkable style of composition ought to be indicated in one way or another,* and that some useful purposes are served by its being actually reproduced in the translation. No doubt there are difficulties in the way. The Hebrew Alphabet differs widely from any of those now employed in Europe. Besides differences of a more fundamental kind, the Hebrew has only twenty-two letters for our twenty-six; and of the twenty-two a considerable number have no fellows in ours. An exact reproduction of a Hebrew acrostic in an English version is therefore impossible. The divergence between the alphabets is so great that it seems vain even to follow in English the order of the Hebrew letters.

and the number is made up by the addition of a supplementary verse, having for its initial letter Pe, which is thus used a second time.

The Hundred and eleventh and Hundred and twelfth constitute a third pair, corresponding the one to the other, both in structure and theme.

The Thirty-seventh, a “Psalm of David,” consists of forty verses. The acrostic is complete, two verses generally going to each letter. In the Hundred and forty-fifth, also ascribed to David, there are twenty-one verses—one for every letter except Nun, which, for some unknown reason, is lacking. The Hundred and nineteenth, as is well known, contains two-and-twenty stanzas of eight verses each. As the acrostic dominates in every verse, each letter occurs eight times over.

This acrostic way of writing is not confined to the psalms; it is found both in the Proverbs and Lamentations. The Eulogy of the Virtuous Woman (Prov. xxxi. 10–31) is a regular acrostic of twenty-two verses. So also are the first and second chapters of the Lamentations. In the third chapter, which is likewise a long acrostic, there are three verses to each letter, making sixty-six in all. If it should seem strange that the heart-broken prophet restrained the flow of lamentations uttered because of the desolation of Zion within the limits of such an artificial kind of verse, it may be worth while to refer to our poet-laureate's “In Memoriam.” The measure chosen seems at first intolerably monotonous for a long poem; nevertheless the poet finds it well suited to express the sadness and desolation of his heart.

* The French Version, by Ostervald, indicates the acrostic in all cases, by setting down the names of the Hebrew letters, as our Bibles do at Ps. cxix.
Dr Delitzsch has industriously made an attempt of the sort in his German Version, but with little success. The only feasible method is to omit from our alphabet the four letters that are of least frequent use, and make the two-and-twenty that remain stand for the two-and-twenty letters of the Hebrew.* This may not suffice to meet the demands of a pedantic accuracy, but it will exhibit to the English reader the structure of the original, which is all that I propose.

It will be convenient to begin with the Hundred and Eleventh and Hundred and Twelfth psalms; two very short poems, dating apparently from the latest age of inspired psalmody, and presenting such features of resemblance as to leave no doubt that they came from the same pen. In structure they are identical; and this superficial resemblance is designed to call attention to something deeper and more important. The subject of the one is the exact counterpart of the subject of the other. The first celebrates the character and works of God; the second, the character and felicity of the godly man. In this connection the verses printed in italics merit attention, exemplifying as they do the care with which the psalmist has laboured to make the one the reflection or echo of the other. It would be doing great injustice to the unknown writer to attribute this solely to the desire of awakening a certain pleasant surprise in the reader's mind. Literary delight is never aimed at in the Scriptures for its own sake. In the present instance, the design is to illustrate the truth that the good man is the godly man—the god-like man; and thus to admonish us, that if we would shine in the beauty of true goodness, we must be ever looking on the Sun of Righteousness. It is when we, with unveiled face, behold the glory of

---

* "The Ancient Psalms, in Appropriate Metres: a strictly literal translation from the Hebrew. By Dalman Hapstone, M.A. Edinburgh, 1867." This is the only English version known to me in which the acrostic of the alphabetical psalms is exhibited, and it follows the plan here preferred. Unfortunately, the author has attempted to combine, in the same translation, metre, rhyme, strict literality, and the acrostic—an attempt in which complete success may be pronounced impossible.
the Lord, that we are changed into his image from glory to glory.

**Psalm Cxi.**

1. Adore will I Jehovah with all my heart:
   Being in the meeting of the upright and in the congregation.
2. Confessedly great are the deeds of Jehovah:
   Delighters in them search them out.
3. Excellent for honour and majesty is his work:
   For evermore doth his righteousness endure.
4. Gracious and compassionate is Jehovah:
   H is wonderful works hath he made to be remembered.
5. Jehovah hath given food to them that fear him:
   Kept his covenant for ever.
6. Loudly hath he declared to his people the might of his deeds:
   Making them to inherit the heathen.
7. Notable for truth and judgment are the deeds of his hand:
   On all his commandments men may trust.
8. Planted firmly are they for ever and ever:
   Questionless is their truth and uprightness.
9. Redemption hath he sent to his people:
   Established for ever his covenant:
   Terrible and holy is his name.
10. Understanding pertaineth to all who obey the commandments:
    Wisdom's beginning is the fear of Jehovah:
    Years without end shall his praise endure.

**Psalm Cxii.**

1. Ill-blessed is the man that feareth Jehovah:
   Being filled with delight in his commandments.
2. Courageous in the earth shall be his seed:
   Descendants of upright men shall be blessed.
3. Excellent shall his house be for wealth and riches:
   For evermore doth his righteousness endure.
   Gladsome light ariseth in the darkness for the upright:
   He is gracious and compassionate and righteous.
4. Joy shall be to the man who sheweth favour and lendeth:
   Knowing how to sustain his affairs with justice.
5. Lo, he shall not be moved for ever:
   Memorable shall be the righteous man for ever.
6. No evil tidings shall he fear:
   On Jehovah depending, his heart is fixed.
8. Planted firmly is his heart, he shall not fear:
    Quake shall he not, until he see [his desire] on his foes.
9. Richly hath he scattered: he hath given to the poor:
    Stand shall his righteousness for ever.
    Tower aloft shall his horn with honour.
10. Vexed shall the wicked be when he seeth it:
    With his teeth shall he gnash and melt away:
    Yea, the desire of wicked men shall perish.

We may note, in passing, the light in which these psalms, as thus reproduced in an English acrostic, exhibit the exhortation with which they both open: "Praise ye the Lord!" In the Authorised Version it stands as an integral part of each psalm; but this cannot be reconciled with the obvious fact that in neither of them is it included in the alphabetical arrangement. The word (for it is one word in the Hebrew) occurs only in the later psalms, and would seem to have been a kind of formula with which certain Songs of the Second Temple were accompanied. It may be either translated, or simply transferred in its Hebrew form into our language. The circumstance that it is employed in the Hebrew form in the Revelation,* may be urged as an argument for doing the same in the English Psalter.

Of the Bible acrostics, the most noteworthy, in every respect, is the Hundred and Nineteenth psalm. Its structure is exceedingly simple. The hundred and seventy-six verses of which it consists are alphabetical couplets, being eight for every letter; so that there are twenty-two alphabetical stanzas, each containing eight couplets. Here also it is easy to discover the reason that led to the adoption of the alphabetical arrangement. The psalm is a meditation on God's law—the meditation of a soul, in the presence of the Lord and in communion with him. In such a psalm, it is Sententious Wisdom rather than high poetry that we look for: and a better vehicle for the aphorisms of sententious wisdom could hardly be imagined than that which is furnished by this acrostic. If, as we believe, it dates from the age of Ezra, it affords a welcome corroboration to the conclusion we reached on other grounds, that Ezra and his contemporary

* Rev. xix. 1, 3, 4, 6.
scribes were men of a very different stamp from those who bore the same title at a later period. We discern in them, no doubt, the familiar features of the scribe. The jots and tittles of the law were not despicable in their eyes. Raised up to edit the Old Testament Scriptures, they did the work well. But they had an eye and a heart that could appreciate the weightier matters of the law. They could look up from their studies about the letter of the divine Word, and ejaculate to God such prayers as these, "Open thou mine eyes that I may behold wondrous things out of thy law;" "My soul breaketh for the longing that it hath unto thy judgments at all times;" "Let my heart be sound in thy statutes, that I be not ashamed."

It is curious and not uninstructive to mark the opinions expressed regarding this psalm by the modern critics. Most of them have remarked, and very justly, that, like the rest of the sacred acrostics, it seldom rises into the region of poetry; being rather a versified meditation than a poem in the strict sense of the word. But some have gone further. Dr Hupfeld, for example, whose Commentary on the Psalms, the fruit of the studies of a lifetime, is in many respects invaluable, ventures to charge it with "monotony and poverty of thought," and to contrast it disparagingly with the other psalms of the sententious or aphoristic order. An opinion like this is worthy of being put on record, as illustrating an observation which in these days it is very important that people should lay to heart and remember. A very able man, learned, painstaking, of excellent literary taste, and honest enough in his way, may nevertheless be utterly incompetent in matters lying within the domain of spiritual religion. The criticism of the learned commentator reminds one of a remark in Augustine's preface to his homilies on the same psalm. After mentioning that a sense of the difficulty attaching to a just exposition of this particular psalm had long deterred him from publishing anything on it, as he had done upon all the rest, he goes on to say; "Doubtless there are other psalms reputed difficult, the sense of which really is wrapped in obscurity. But then, whatever else may be difficult about them, this at least is plain, that they are obscure. Not so here. This
psalm has an air of simplicity that might lead one to suppose that what it requires is a hearer or reader, not an expositor.” Our rationalistic critics, it is plain, have not mastered the difficulty so wittily pointed out by Augustine. They have not discovered that the psalm is deep. Its scope and probable history have been admirably explained by Matthew Henry. “It seems to me,” he observes, “to be a collection of (the psalmist’s) pious and devout ejaculations, the short and sudden breathings and elevations of his soul to God, which he wrote down as they occurred, and, toward the latter end of his life, gathered out of his day book where they lay scattered, added to them many like words, and digested them into this psalm, in which there is seldom any coherence between the verses, but, like Solomon’s Proverbs, it is a chest of gold rings, not a chain of gold links. And we may not only learn,” he adds “by the psalmist’s example, to accustom ourselves to such pious ejaculations, which are an excellent means of maintaining communion with God and keeping the heart in frame for the most solemn exercises of religion, but we must make use of the psalmist’s words, both for the exciting and for the expressing of our devout affections. What some have said of this psalm is true. He that shall read it considerately, it will either warm him or shame him.” Those who have visited much among the godly in affliction, will not hesitate to prefer this estimate of the Hundred and nineteenth psalm to Dr Hupfeld’s. So far from being monotonous and jejune, it possesses quite a singular aptitude to refresh the souls of the weary; its two-and-twenty clusters yield the wine of the kingdom as copiously as any to be found in all the Bible. The remark applies, although in a somewhat lower degree, to several other alphabetical psalms—the Twenty-fifth, the Thirty-fourth, the Thirty-seventh. If inferior to many others in poetical embellishment, they are inferior to none in the variety and richness of the aliment they minister to devout meditation.

The following is an attempt to exhibit in English a few stanzas of the Hundred and nineteenth psalm. Those selected are the first, the second, and the last. They will suffice for illustrating the structure of the whole.
THE ACROSTICS.

[ALEPH.]

1. All-blessed are the perfect in their way,
   Who walk in the law of Jehovah.
2. All-blessed are they who keep his testimonies,
   Who seek him with the whole heart.
3. Also they practise no iniquity:
   In his ways they walk.
4. All thy precepts hast thou commanded,
   That they may be kept with diligence.
5. Ah that my ways were directed,
   To keep thy statutes!
6. Ashamed I shall not be then,
   When I have respect unto all thy commandments.
7. At length will I praise thee with uprightness of heart,
   When I shall have learned thy righteous judgments.
8. And I will keep thy statutes:
   O forsake me not utterly.

[BETH.]

9. By what means shall a young man cleanse his path?
   By taking heed thereto according to thy word.
10. Bending my whole heart I have sought thee:
    O let me not err from thy commandments.
11. Beneath the covert of my heart have I hid thy saying,
    That I might not sin against thee.
12. Blessed art thou, O Jehovah;
    Teach me thy statutes.
13. By my lips have I declared,
    All the judgments of thy mouth.
14. Blessedness I find in the way of thy testimonies,
    As much as in all riches.
15. By myself will I meditate in thy precepts:
    And I will have respect to thy paths.
16. Blessed will I count myself in thy statutes:
    I will not forget thy word.

[TAU.]

169. Yield access into thy presence, O Jehovah, to my prayer.
    Give me understanding according to thy word.
170. Yea, let my supplications come into thy presence;
    According to thy saying, do thou deliver me.
171. Yet shall my lips pour forth praise;
    For thou wilt teach me thy statutes.
172. Yea, my tongue shall speak of thy saying;  
For all thy commandments are righteousness.

173. Yet let thine hand be with me, to help me;  
For I have chosen thy precepts.

174. Yearned have I for thy salvation, O Jehovah;  
And thy law is my delight.

175. Yet to praise thee let my soul live;  
And let thy judgments help me.

176. Yet do I wander; like a lost sheep, seek thy servant:  
For I do not forget thy commandments.

It will be admitted that the citations now made exhibit, in a clear light, several of the features mentioned as characteristic of the poetical structure of the psalms. For one thing, they make patent to every eye that the psalms, although destitute of both metre and rhyme, are regularly constructed poems, built up of distinct verses and lines. In the prose books of Scripture, the divisions into verses, as everybody knows, was made at a late period, for the purpose of facilitating reference, and has no existence in the original structure. It is otherwise with the psalms. The 176 verses of the Hundred and nineteenth are marked as such in the plan of the poem. That each verse, again, is a couplet is equally plain, not only from internal evidence, but from a comparison of the Hundred and eleventh and Hundred and twelfth Psalms, where the acrostic is such as to distinguish the lines as well as the verses. The lines and the verses belong, therefore, to the original structure of the psalms. There may be room for difference of opinion regarding the expediency of printing the poetical books in the versified form, in Bibles intended for ordinary use. The Paragraph Bibles, in which this has been attempted, have not hitherto found general acceptance. But the legitimacy of this kind of arrangement is indisputable. It is simply the exhibition to the eye, of a structure which exists whether we exhibit it or not.

These Acrostics illustrate also the other characteristic of Hebrew poetry, according to which the versification is regulated by the thought rather than by the words. Let the reader examine them once more, and he will find that every verse has a certain completeness in itself, and that it is constructed of two or more
subordinate members, between which there prevails the parallelism formerly described. Sometimes the parallel members are synonymous or cognate, sometimes antithetic; more frequently they are related after a fashion more difficult to describe. This parallelism everywhere prevails. The lines of a Hebrew couplet are carefully constructed so as to correspond the one to the other. They are as artifically framed for that end as the several lines of an English couplet. The difference is, that in the English couplet the relation of the successive lines lies essentially in the sound of the words, whereas in the Hebrew couplet it lies in the sense.

The Thirty-seventh psalm carries us a step further. It is an example of an acrostic of a freer order than any of the preceding.

A Psalm of David.

1. At evil-doers fret not thyself,  
   At workers of iniquity be thou not envious.
2. For like the grass they shall soon be cut down.  
   And like the green herb they shall wither.

3. But trust thou in Jehovah and do good;  
   Inhabit the land and feed on faithfulness.
4. Delight thyself also in Jehovah;  
   And he shall give thee the petitions of thine heart.

5. Commit to Jehovah thy way;  
   Trust also in him, and he will effect it.
6. Yea, he shall bring out, as the light, thy righteousness,  
   And thy judgment as the noonday.

7. Dumb be thou before Jehovah, and wait patiently for him;  
   Fret not thyself at him who prospereth in his way,  
   At the man who practiseth plots.

8. Evermore desist from anger and forsake wrath;  
   Fret not thyself, only to do evil.
9. For evil-doers shall be cut off;  
   But those that wait upon Jehovah, they shall inherit the land.
10. For yet a little while, and the wicked is not:
   Yea, thou shalt consider his place, and he is not there.
11. But the meek shall inherit the land,
   And shall delight themselves in abundance of peace.

12. 'Gainst the righteous the wicked plotteth,
   And gnasheth upon him with his teeth.
13. The Lord shall laugh at him,
   For he seeth that his day will come.

The former examples furnished authentic information regarding the verse, with its subordinate members. This exhibits the stanza. In all recent translations printed so as to exhibit the versification, certain of the psalms will be found with the verses variously grouped into stanzas. Some commentators—Dr Hengstenberg in particular—discover mystical allusions in the numbers (3, 7, 12, &c.) which they think predominant in these. It is a fancy which may be safely disregarded; but there is no doubt that the strophic arrangement itself rests on a firmer foundation. It must be confessed, indeed, that hitherto the translators are far from being agreed, in every instance, regarding the manner in which the verses ought to be grouped. The same psalm will be arranged by one in one way, by another in another way; insomuch that the reader might be apt to look with suspicion on the whole attempt, concluding that the critics have had no better guide than a lively imagination. The Thirty-seventh Psalm is valuable as showing that such a conclusion would be unwarrantable. In many cases it may be hard to decide which of several possible ways of distributing the verses is to be preferred; but the letters of the Acrostic in this psalm are so many landmarks which, besides giving authentic indication of the division in this particular instance, suggest the presumption that a similar arrangement will be found elsewhere. There are many psalms where the strophic arrangement is so plain that one can hardly miss it. The Second is a good example, which I the rather quote, that our illus-
trations may not be drawn exclusively from the Alphabetical psalms.

I.

1. Why have the heathen raged?
   And why do the peoples meditate a vain thing?
2. The kings of the earth set themselves up,
   And the princes have taken counsel together,
   Against Jehovah and against his Anointed.
3. "Let us break their bands asunder,
   And cast away their cords from us."

II.

4. He that sitteth in the heavens laugheth:
   Jehovah hath them in derision.
5. Then shall he speak to them in his wrath,
   And in his sore displeasure shall affright them.
6. "Yet I, even I, have set my king
   Upon Zion my holy mountain."

III.

7. I will declare concerning a decree,
   Jehovah hath said unto me, "Thou art my Son,
   This day have I begotten thee.
8. Ask of me, and I shall give thee the heathen for thine inheritance.
   And for thy possession the ends of the earth.
9. Thou shalt break them with a rod of iron;
   Like a potter's vessel thou shalt dash them in pieces."

IV.

10. And now, O ye kings, learn wisdom:
    Be instructed, ye judges of the earth,
11. Serve Jehovah in fear,
    And exult with trembling.
12. Kiss the Son, lest he be angry and ye perish from the way:
    For his wrath shall be kindled speedily.
    Blessed are all they that put their trust in him.

The reader will bear in mind the design contemplated in
occupying so much space with the Alphabetical psalms. They are brought forward simply because of the singularly clear light in which they exhibit certain characteristic peculiarities of the Hebrew poetry,—its versified parallels, and its strophic arrangement. In other respects they are by no means the best examples of Hebrew poetry. The exigencies of the acrostic have in them something of the same effect which the exigencies of rhyme have in our poetry. In perusing the Hebrew, one is sensible of the same inversion of the sentences, and the same laborious seeking out of rare words for the purpose of getting the right initial letter, which hinder the easy flow of the sentences in an English acrostic. Let it be carefully observed that this is a peculiarity of the acrostics, and is therefore found only in nine of the Hundred and fifty Psalms. In all the rest, the words are chosen and arranged with the single aim of clearly and vigorously expressing the sense; and the structure of Hebrew poetry is such, that a translator is able to render it perfectly into English without deviating from the natural order of the words. The Hebrew poems stand alone in all literature in this respect that, with the partial exception of the acrostics, they can be transferred, in their form as well as their substance, in a literal translation, into any other language.

One may well trace in this the overruling hand and wisdom of Him who designed the Scriptures to be the fountain of spiritual light, and the rule of faith and manners to all nations. Suppose the poetry of the Bible had been metrical, what would have been the effect? Why, one half of the Old Testament would have been to the Gentiles a fountain sealed. The Paradise Lost turned into prose is the Paradise Lost no more. There are literal translations of Homer and of Horace into fair English prose; but, except for certain school-boy purposes, they are utterly useless. They convey no idea of the Greek and Latin originals. Had the Prophecies of Isaiah or the Psalms of David been written in the classical measures or our modern rhymes, they would have fared as ill at the hands of the translators. They must have remained untranslated till some
man of genius arose to execute a metrical version, which would have been but a paraphrase after all. As the case stands, David and Isaiah may be transferred, without material loss, into any language by any scholarly pen. Not only their sense, but their manner and the characteristic felicities of their style, are reproduced, not unfairly, in our Authorised English Version.
BOOK II.

THE THEOLOGY OF THE PSALMS.

CHAPTER I.

THAT THERE ARE PREDICTIONS RESPECTING OUR LORD IN THE PSALMS.

SOME of the older divines used to describe the Psalter as a Little Bible, and the title aptly denotes one of its most remarkable qualities. It possesses a certain internal completeness not found in any other single book in the sacred volume; being, indeed, a kind of lyrical and devotional reflection of the entire Bible. One consequence is, that it offers a singularly inviting field to the student who, employing the methods of the modern Biblical Theology, makes it his business to collect the views of truth presented in the several portions of the Divine Word, and to marshal them in orderly array, according to the topics of the theological system. What is of more importance, the application of the methods of Biblical Theology may be confidently expected, in this instance, to yield results of the utmost practical value. This is evident from the very nature and design of the Psalter. It is the Book of Church Song,—the voice of the daughter of Zion, in which she utters all her heart. The diligent study of the views of truth and life that pervade such a book must needs shed a flood of light on almost every subject of vital importance in regard to true godliness.
What are the genuine characteristics of Scriptural piety? What influence ought it to exert on men in the various relations of life? What are the truths that constitute its proper aliment? These certainly are questions that come home to the business and bosom of every fearer of God; and where shall the materials for a satisfactory solution of them be found, if not in the authentic lyrics of the regenerate heart collected in the Psalter?

I am not sure that it would serve any valuable purpose to collect the teaching of the Psalms in regard to all the doctrines of the theological system.* At all events, the limits of the present work forbid the attempt. As the theme on which the harp of the psalmist descants most copiously is the Life of God in the soul,—not the truth respecting God, so much as the new life in man, which is kindled and nourished by that truth,—I shall devote the greater part of the space at my command to the elucidation of the more salient features of Personal and Social Religion, as these are here reflected,—the religion of the Individual Soul, the religion of the Church, of the Family, of the State. No doubt the Psalms are full of truth respecting God—His being and attributes, His counsels, His works in nature, providence, and grace: and there are veins of instruction on these subjects which would richly reward a fresh exploration. The Natural Theology of the Psalms, for example, is a most fascinating subject, as is also the strain of covert or express allusion to the Law of Moses which pervades the sacred lyrics from first to last. On these and similar topics, however, I must limit myself to those passing notices which it may be possible to bestow while we are considering the various exercises of the divine life in the soul. But there is one of the more objective or doctrinal topics, so important in itself and so prominent in the Psalms, as to demand a separate and most careful consideration at the threshold of these discussions. I refer to the teaching of the

* The only work, known to me, in which this is attempted, is Koenig's Theologie der Psalmen, Freiburg, 1857 (pp. 528); but the success is not such as to invite imitation.
Psalms respecting the Lord Jesus Christ. The subject is many-sided. It will be necessary to begin with a vindication of the faith of the Church respecting the presence of Christ in the psalms. Certain important questions respecting what may be called the Theory of the Messianic psalms,—the principles, namely, according to which they are respectively to be interpreted of Christ,—will claim attention in the second place. These preliminary discussions—never more necessary than at the present time—will clear the way for our collecting into one view the whole teaching of the Psalmists regarding the Lord Jesus and His Work.

That there are Psalms which are, in the strictest sense, predictive of the Lord Jesus Christ, has been the constant belief of the Church ever since the psalms were written. Till a comparatively recent period, all commentators of note, whether Jews or Christians, accepted this belief without doubt. There might be differences of opinion as to whether this or that psalm was Messianic, differences also as to the true sense of particular passages; but that there did exist Messianic psalms, strictly and properly so called, was assumed as incontrovertible. It is plain to every reader of the New Testament that the evangelists and apostles shared in this conviction, and that it can claim the sanction of the Lord Jesus Himself.

It might seem superfluous to discuss the grounds on which a conviction so ancient, so catholic, and so well established, rests. Yet I venture to think there is a call to do so at the present time. In common with the whole doctrine of the supernatural inspiration and divine authority of the Holy Scriptures, the belief in the existence of Messianic psalms has of late years been rejected by many. No one who looks into new books can have failed to observe, that on this subject ideas are extensively current which involve the utter rejection of the divine authority of the Psalter, and indeed of the whole Bible. These ideas are everywhere making themselves heard. The air of the nineteenth century is full of them; they cannot, without dereliction of duty, be ignored by those who are set for the defence of the
truth. I believe they can be thoroughly refuted. What is more, I believe that the intelligent investigation of them will add fresh confirmation to both Testaments; that, in this instance, as in so many others, the overruling wisdom of God may be seen educing good out of the seeming evil; and that he has suffered modern Rationalism to assail his Word mainly in order that he might take occasion from its assaults to shed fresh illustration on his truth. Believing this, I have a strong conviction that the discussion of the subject ought not to be confined to the Schools of Theology, but should be conducted in the audience of the entire Christian community.

A word or two regarding the precise point in dispute. That in some sense there are Messianic elements in the Psalter we need not stay to prove; nor even that there are entire psalms which may, in some sense, be said to have Christ for their subject. This is admitted on all hands. It is a fact indisputable and undisputed, that, for a long time before the birth at Bethlehem, the Jews were looking out for a Prince who was to arise to them from David's house. They were "waiting for the consolation of Israel."* Many a Jew besides Simeon made it his constant prayer that he might not see death before he had seen the Lord's Christ, and sung his Nunc dimittis—"Lord, now lettest thou thy servant depart in peace, according to thy word, for mine eyes have seen thy salvation." The expectation of a Redeemer and Prince had been growing in the hearts of the people ever since the captivity, and may even be traced back through the preceding centuries as far as the accession of Rehoboam, the fatal era when the hopes of perpetual unity and dominion, which had been cherished during the brilliant reigns of David and Solomon, were so lamentably frustrated by the final disruption of the kingdom. From that time till the cessation of prophecy, a long succession of predictions announced the advent of a Son of David, of the increase of whose government and peace there should be no end, upon the throne of David and upon his kingdom, to order and establish it for ever.† The last of the prophets left a charge to the people to

† Isaiah ix. 6, 7.
look out for the sudden appearance, in the temple, of the promised Prince, the Messenger of the Covenant.* And the admonition so solemnly given was not neglected. When the child Jesus was brought into the temple, Anna the prophetess could speak of him to a company of Jews who were “looking for redemption in Jerusalem.”† It was impossible that announcements and hopes like these should have failed to make themselves heard in the divine songs of the Hebrew Church. In not a few of the psalms, accordingly, the advent of the Son of David is hailed from afar, and the people are invited to expatiate on the peace and felicity which are to accrue from it, first to Israel, and afterwards to the Gentiles. All this, I repeat, is not only indisputable, but undisputed. It is admitted, in substance, by the Rationalists as well as the orthodox. The infidelity of last century, standing haughtily aloof from the Scriptures, may have refused to acknowledge the existence of ancient Messianic hopes in Israel—may even have kept itself in the dark regarding the existence of such hopes. But the modern rationalism moves in a different orbit. It prides itself on its scholarly acquaintance with the biblical writings; it is loud in the praises of their literary merits; it frankly recognises the vein of Messianic expectation that pervades their structure; it has its own way both of explaining the origin of this rooted and stedfast hope, and of turning it to account in explaining (or explaining away) the miraculous features of the gospel narrative. Such being the present state of opinion, it is plain that a bare acknowledgment of the existence of psalms which look forward to the advent of Christ, furnishes no decisive evidence of belief in the supernatural origin of the Old Testament Scriptures. At all events, it is unnecessary to spend time in demonstrating what no competently informed person, whether Jew or Gentile, would now call in question.

Christ, then, is in the psalms. But in what sense? Is it only in the sense conceded by Rationalists? Is Christ’s presence in the Psalms to be limited to this, that they give expression to bright anticipations regarding a Prince and Redeemer, and re-

* Mal. iii. 1. † Luke ii. 38.
PREDICTIONS RESPECTING OUR LORD.

garding blessings to be procured by his reign for Jew and Gentile, which, in so far as they had any foundation, have received their accomplishment in the Lord Jesus and the Christian religion? All this may be conceded without the recognition of the divine authority of the Old Testament, and indeed without the recognition of any such thing as a direct and preternatural revelation of the divine purposes with respect to Christ and the Church. Well, it is gratifying to find that modern Rationalism has made so great an advance upon the base infidelity of last century, that it has awakened to a sense of the fine poetical feeling, the lofty ideas of personal and national duty, the high-toned and elevating hopes respecting the future destinies of the nations, with which the psalms are replete. But we cannot consent to accept its theory of the Messianic psalms as adequate or just. We believe and are sure that these psalms make representations respecting Christ and Redemption, which were immeasurably above the reach of the natural hopes and presentiments of the Hebrew bards—representations of things which "eye had not seen, nor ear heard, which had not entered into the heart of man," and which could not have been celebrated, as we see them to have been, unless they had been preternaturally revealed by the Spirit of God—that Spirit who "searcheth all things, yea, the deep things of God."* The authors of the psalms were seers or prophets, and we believe, with the apostle Peter, that the "prophecy came not in old time by the will of man; but holy men of God spake as they were moved by the Holy Ghost."† With the same apostle we believe that there are things delivered regarding Christ in the psalms which, so far from having been merely the bold conjectures of sagacious men, were too high for the psalmists themselves to understand; in so much that they inquired and searched diligently into the meaning of their own writings, "searching what, or what manner of time, the Spirit of Christ which was in them did signify, when it testified beforehand the sufferings of Christ, and the glory that should follow."‡

This estimate of Old Testament prophecy the apostles learned

* 1 Cor. ii. 9, 10. † 2 Pet. i. 21. ‡ 1 Pet. i. 10, 11.
from their Master; for He was accustomed to point out to the disciples predictions concerning himself in the law of Moses, and in the Prophets, and in the Psalms—predictions in accordance with which it behoved him, as the Christ, to suffer, and to rise from the dead the third day.* The Lord Jesus having spoken thus, it may well be maintained that the existence of true Messianic prophecy in the Psalter deserves credit, on his testimony, from all who are not prepared openly to reject the authority of his teaching;—would have been entitled to claim credit, on his testimony, even if no more direct evidence had been available. However, since we have to do with men who refuse submission even to this pre-eminent authority, it is well to know that other evidence is available—evidence so abundant and decisive as to have amply warranted the conclusion that the Psalter contains real prophecies regarding the Lord Jesus, true preternatural revelations regarding his Person and Work, although we had not possessed the Lord’s own attestation of the fact.

To collect and marshal the whole body of available evidence would require a volume. We must be content with two or three of the more material items.

I begin with the Hundred and Tenth Psalm. I select it for many reasons; for this, among others, that there is no dispute of any consequence about the translation. Thus, most providentially, the considerations adducible on either side are, in this instance, of a kind regarding which any person of good sense may form an intelligent judgment. The psalm may be thus rendered:—

* Of David a Psalm.

1. Thus saith Jehovah to my Lord,
   "Sit thou at my right hand,
   Until I lay thy foes, as a footstool, at thy feet."

2. The rod of thy strength shall Jehovah send forth from Zion;
   Rule thou in the midst of thine enemies.

3. Thy people offer themselves willingly in the day of thine army,
   In holy ornaments;

From the womb of the morning
Thou hast the dew of thy young men.

4. Jehovah hath sworn and will not repent,
   "Thou art a priest for ever,
   After the manner of Melchizedek."

5. The Lord at thy right hand,
   Hath smitten kings in the day of his wrath.
6. He shall judge among the nations;
   He hath filled [them] with dead bodies:
   He hath smitten the heads over a wide region.
7. Of the brook in the way shall he drink:
   Therefore shall he lift up the head.

What, now, may we suppose is the drift of this poem? It celebrates the majesty and prowess of some prince. Who may the Prince be? The traditional interpretation, which can be traced at least as far back as the beginning of the Christian era, refers it (as we shall see) very decidedly to Prince Messiah. This interpretation certainly does not bear on the face of it the unequivocal tokens of error; on the contrary, it has for these eighteen hundred years and more been acquiesced in by the generality of Bible readers, and thus comes before us with a presumptive token of credibility. It is totally repudiated by our modern Rationalists. The reasons which have moved them to do so are scarcely attempted to be concealed. It is not that the current translations have been found to be at fault. Nothing of the kind is alleged. The Messianic interpretation is quite as much favoured by the version given by De Wette, or Ewald, or Hupfeld, as by our Authorised Translation or the one given above. Neither is it that some other prince has been found to whom the words of the psalm, in their natural and obvious sense, are more applicable than to the Lord Jesus. On the contrary, the rejecters of the Messianic interpretation are unable to agree upon any other person to whom they may assign it. The reason for rejecting the ancient interpretation is a doctrinal one entirely. If the Lord Jesus be the person whom the psalm celebrates, the inference is inevitable that David must have known that the son whom God had promised to
raise up to him, to sit upon his throne, was a person of super-
human dignity,—his Lord as well as his son. On the same
supposition we are, in like manner, shut up to the admission
that David foresaw the sacrifice of the Lord Jesus, his exaltation
to the right hand of power, and the abrogation of the whole
Levitical economy. Let it once be admitted that the oath of
which the Psalmist speaks, the irrevocable oath, "Thou art a
priest for ever after the order of Melchizedek," refers to the
Messiah, the Son of David; and we know from the Epistle to
the Hebrews what will follow. The whole fabric of modern
Judaism will fall to the ground. The Old Testament must be
allowed to have borne witness to the cross; the Messiah behoved
to suffer before he could reign; we live under a new priesthood,
diverse from the Levitical and superseding it for ever. But
these are conclusions as distasteful to the Rationalists as they
have always been to the Jews: for, as Dr Owen remarked with
reference to Grotius and the other precursors of Rationalism
two hundred years ago, these men "in their annotations on the
Scriptures seldom depart from the sense of the Jews, unless it
be where they are in the right."* That there should have been
a declaration of Christ's superhuman dignity and everlasting
priesthood, in a psalm written a thousand years before the sacri-
fice on Calvary, is what the Rationalists know they cannot
afford to admit. Such a fact would explode the whole fortress
of their unbelief. If the Hundred and tenth psalm teaches
what the Epistle to the Hebrews deduces from it, it is a pro-
phecy that cannot have come by the will of man; there must
have been preternatural revelations of God's mind to the
Hebrews; there can be no more an absolute denial of the exist-
ence of Scriptures preternaturally inspired by the Spirit of
God.

Let it be remembered, then, that the non-Messianic inter-
pretation rests on the prior assumption that the things declared
could not possibly have been known so long before the death
of the Lord Jesus. They could not have been declared before-

hand without a miracle; therefore some other sense must, at any cost, be found for the psalm. Should any one think this an unfair explanation, let him read attentively the long discussion of the point in Hupfeld's Commentary. Another argument, no doubt, is urged. The learned commentator thinks that the martial and even vengeful acts attributed to the Prince of whom the psalm speaks—his smiting of kings and filling the countries with dead—are not in keeping with the character of our Lord, and betray an unchristian spirit. It is enough to say that the criticism applies to the Apocalypse quite as much as to the Hundred and tenth psalm. The argument, therefore, needs no refutation. Indeed it is only thrown in as a make-weight. The main pillar on which the rationalistic interpretation rests is the doctrinal assumption that preternatural revelation is incredible, and that there is no such thing as an inspired Scripture. Dr Hupfeld, who with all his faults is a thoroughly candid man, frankly admits this. His words are these: "It is certain that a prophecy of the Messias, in the Christian sense—that is, with the attributes which the New Testament assigns to him on the ground of this psalm—is utterly inconceivable; it cannot be reconciled with the historical and psychological ideas and the hermeneutical principles, which are recognised [namely, by the rationalistic school of critics] in other cases. For (1.) the sitting down at the right hand of the Father spoken of in the New Testament takes place in heaven, and is always connected with the resurrection and ascension of Jesus, events of which no presentiment could have arisen in a single human heart; (2.) Christ's high-priestly or propitiatory office, as it is set forth in the Epistle to the Hebrews, in opposition to the Mosaic priesthood, and as involving the abrogation of the Mosaic law, is so remote from the point of view of the Old Testament, so foreign to the Old Testament conception of the Messias, that the thought of it could not possibly have come into the mind of any Old Testament psalmist or prophet." I cite these remarks, partly to shew how directly the rationalistic doctrine contradicts that of the apostles regarding the possibility of the Holy Spirit's
revealing to men things which never else could have come into their hearts; but principally that the reader may see how entirely the rationalistic interpretation of the Hundred and tenth psalm has originated in a dogmatical bias. It is proper to add that the interpretation has already been imported into this country. Dean Stanley has boldly adopted it in his Lectures on the History of the Jewish Church,—following in this, as in so many other particulars, the example of Ewald. It is important, therefore, that the real foundation on which it rests should be known.

The arguments by which the Messianic interpretation is sustained are mainly these three:—

1. **It is the only one that yields a tolerable sense.** Several alternatives have been proposed. For example, Herder and Ewald affirm that *David himself* is the prince to whom the psalm refers; that (like the Twenty-first psalm) it is a prayer for the king, in which the people speak of him as their Lord, whose throne was exalted at the right hand of the Lord's throne in Sion, and whom God had invested with such honour in connection with his house, that he might be said to be a priest like Melchizedek, the ancient King of Salem. The theory can be dressed so as to wear a plausible air. But it will not bear examination. For (1.) the psalm is in the title attributed to David's pen, and there is not a tittle of evidence pointing to any other writer. Would he have written of himself as "My Lord"? (2) The king is invited to sit at the right hand of Jehovah: a manner of speech nowhere else in Scripture used with reference to an earthly king. The Jewish kings sat "on the throne of Jehovah,"† as his representatives or vicegerents; not "at his right hand," as his fellows. (3.) The people are represented as following the king in sacred attire, the beauty of holiness; that is to say, in holy sacerdotal vestments as an army of priests: a thing of which we find no trace in the history of David or any of the kings. It is Christ alone of whom we ever read that his "armies followed him, clothed in

* Vol. ii. 97, 98.  † 1 Chron. xxix. 23.
fine linen, white and clean” when he went in righteousness to make war.* (4.) The king is, by the oath of God, constituted a priest, “a priest for ever after the order of Melchizedek.” One would think this at least cannot apply to David. But the exigencies of the rationalistic theory are great, and a bold attempt must be made. Ewald, girding up the loins of his ingenuity, sets himself to shew that in David’s reign there was a remarkable conjunction of the royal and sacerdotal functions. How he goes to work may be gathered from the picture of David’s administration that has been recently sketched by the elegant pencil of the Dean of Westminster. Thus it is gravely related of the King, as if it were matter of ascertained fact, that “though not himself a priest, he yet assumed almost all the functions usually ascribed to the priestly office. He wore the priestly dress, offered the sacrifices, gave the priestly benedictions, walked round about the altar in sacred processions.”†

But all this is asserted without a shadow of warrant from the sacred narrative. No doubt David is related to have “offered burnt-offerings and peace-offerings” at the bringing up of the

† Stanley, Lect. on Jewish Church, ii. 96. There is a great deal more to the same purpose; indeed, the straining to make out this point gives a false colouring to page after page of the author’s account of the reigns of David and Solomon. Thus, narrating the dedication of Solomon’s Temple, he remarks (p. 220), “The king alone prays, sacrifices, blesses, consecrates. And, as if to keep up the memory of the day, thrice a year throughout his reign, on the three great festivals, he solemnly entered, not only the temple courts with sacrifices (2 Chron. viii. 13), but penetrated into the Holy Place itself, where in later years none but the priests were allowed to enter, and offered incense on the altar of incense (1 Kings ix. 25).” It is very doubtful whether the passage referred to in 1 Kings really means that the king burnt incense (see Keil ad. loc.). It is certain that the law, excluding from the Holy Place all except the priest, is as old as Moses. But, not to insist on these details, it is surely too much to assume, as if it were a matter of course, that all the things the historian relates of Solomon must have been done by him in his own person. One would think that the king may have burnt incense in the same sense in which he slew the 20,000 oxen; and it will hardly be contended that he did that with his own hand. The truth is, that the only priest-like act he performed in person was the blessing of the people; and that, instead of being “the highest sacerdotal act” (p. 218), was not an exclusively sacerdotal function at all, but was competent to any superior in age or station.
ark; but that he did so with his own hand is no more likely than that Solomon, on a yet more solemn occasion, offered with his own hand the twenty thousand oxen and the hundred and twenty thousand sheep which he is related to have offered at the dedication of the house. David doubtless sings in the Twenty-sixth Psalm of "compassing God's altar;" but that is no more to be taken literally, than the prayer in the Twenty-seventh, that he might "dwell in the house of the Lord all the days of his life." As for the allegation that he wore the priestly dress, it is enough to say that the Ephod in which he arrayed himself at the bringing up of the ark was not the priestly robe so named; this was made of byssus (fine linen), whereas the king's was of ordinary linen—a festal robe, no doubt, but not peculiar to the priesthood. This is not all. Let it be supposed, for the moment, that all these fancies about David's intromissions with the functions of the priesthood had been matters of fact; let it be supposed that this man after God's own heart was accustomed to officiate often in rites which the law of Moses had so sacredly appropriated to the sons of Aaron, that Saul, for venturing to officiate in them on one solitary and pressing occasion,† was rejected, he and his house, from reigning over Israel; let it be supposed that he performed habitually, with high commendation, sacred offices like that for which Uzziah, when he attempted to perform it but once,‡ was sharply reproved and smitten on the spot, with leprosy,—would all this have sufficed to vindicate the application to David of the oracle in the psalm? Such conduct might, perhaps, have warranted the application of the priestly title; certainly it could not have warranted the lofty and emphatic declaration: "Jehovah hath sworn, and will not repent. Thou art a priest for ever after the order of Melchizedek." The allegations so boldly made, if they had been true, would have amounted merely to this, that David exercised such priestly functions as belonged to all princes and heads of families under the

* Vaihinger in Herzog's Real-Encyclopædie, Art. Ephod; and the corresponding article in Smith's Bible Dictionary.
† 1 Sam. xiii. 9, 14.
‡ 2 Chron. xxvi. 16-21.
patriarchal dispensation, before the Law restricted the priesthood to Aaron and his sons—that he was a priest in the sense in which Abraham and Jacob were priests. But how far is this from answering to the grandeur of the oracle! The king here addressed is constituted a priest after the order of Melchizedek, to whom Abraham, the patriarchal priest, paid tithe in token of homage, and from whom he was content to receive a benediction; and the priesthood is confirmed by the irrevocable oath of Jehovah, and declared to be a perpetual priesthood. It shews how hard men are pressed by the exigencies of their theory when they can plead for the application to David of a declaration so far-reaching and magnificent.

After all, it is no wonder the Rationalistic interpreters fight hard for the identification of David with the priest after the order of Melchizedek—the throned priest of Zion; for incredible as this is, it is less so than any other of the non-Messianic interpretations;—than that of Hupfeld, who suggests (not without a misgiving) that the psalm celebrates the dynasty of David rather than any individual king; and than that of some others who fancy they see in it a reference to the martial exploits of the priesthood in the age of the Maccabees. Besides these, I do not know that there is any other theory worth notice, unless it be the wild notion of De Wette, that the psalm comes from the pen of some prophet who chose in this way to express his approval of King Uzziah's presumptuous invasion of the priestly functions! It is to shifts like these that learned and able men are driven when they abandon the natural and obvious sense of this great Messianic psalm.

2. The ancient Jews unanimously understood the psalm to refer to the Messiah. When our Lord * appealed to it to prove that David's son was David's Lord, the Pharisees found nothing to answer, which plainly shews that, although the doctrine of the psalm may have been imperfectly apprehended, its Messianic character was universally allowed. If there had been any difference of opinion either as to David's being the

* Matt. xxii. 43-45.
writer, or as to the Messiah's being the person whom the psalmist styles his Lord, the Pharisees would certainly have taken advantage of it to escape the edge of the question propounded to them. No doubt, when we come down to the middle ages we find learned rabbis rejecting the Messianic interpretation; but Dr Hupfeld admits that some vestiges of it are found even among them, and that their sole motive for wishing to get rid of it was the desire to deprive the Christians of a silencing argument for the divinity and priesthood of the Redeemer. He admits also that the interpretations they suggest are unusually far-fetched and inadmissible. It is always a presumption in favour of the Messianic interpretation of a passage in the Old Testament, if it can be shewn that that interpretation prevailed among the Jews, who lived prior to the birth of Christ. In the present instance, the presumption is greatly strengthened by the contents of the psalm, inasmuch as the sacerdotal character which it attributes to the Hope of Israel, is not one which the Jews have shewn any eagerness to attribute to Him. And it is worth remarking that if the Rationalists were correct in thinking that the Old Testament Jews had no presentiment either of the superhuman dignity or the priestly office of the Messiah, this would simply invest with higher significance the indubitable fact of their having applied to Him the psalm in which these are so magnificently attributed to the promised King of Israel.

3. It needs hardly be added that the authority of our Lord and of the apostles has sanctioned the interpretation we plead for. Not only are there quotations in the New Testament which indicate that the psalm was appealed to as a prophecy respecting the Messiah, but it so happens that this psalm is more frequently quoted and more largely reasoned from, than any other portion of the ancient Scriptures. Dr Hupfeld himself remarks upon the fact, that the whole Messianic interpretation of the psalm may be collected from the places in which it is formally cited or tacitly alluded to in the New Testament.

Reference has already been made to our Lord's citation of
the first verse.* It may be remarked that in calling attention to the truth taught in the psalm regarding his superhuman dignity, rather by a suggestive question than a formal and explicit declaration, the Saviour was simply following his ordinary course. During his personal ministry he dealt very sparingly in declarations regarding his Person and Atoning work, especially in addressing mixed audiences. He chose rather to throw out remarks which set people's minds to work in the direction of the truth. Thus, in the present instance, he pointed out the remarkable circumstance that David, speaking of One who, he knew, was to be his son, called him his Lord. He left them to follow out for themselves the train of thought which that circumstance was fitted to suggest, and we need not doubt that some hearers would thus be prepared for the fuller declaration of the truth subsequently made. The same verse is quoted in the Pentecostal sermon of the apostle Peter: “For David is not ascended into the heavens, but he saith himself, The Lord said unto my Lord, Sit thou on my right hand, until I make thy foes thy footstool;” and he adds, “Therefore let all the house of Israel know assuredly, that God hath made that same Jesus, whom ye have crucified, both Lord and Christ.”† The places in which allusion is made to the Father's invitation to Christ “to sit at his right hand till his enemies be made his footstool” are too numerous to quote.‡

Still higher honour has been put upon the oracle in the fourth verse,—“Jehovah hath sworn, and will not repent, Thou art a priest for ever, after the order of Melchizedek.” Not only is it quoted as unquestionably an utterance of the Holy Spirit with reference to Christ, but it is a text from which the New Testament preaches, more than from any other in all the ancient Scriptures. The central chapters of the Epistle to the Hebrews—chapters more precious than fine gold for the elucidation they give of the priesthood and sacrifice of the Lord Jesus Christ—

‡ The reader may refer for examples to 1 Cor. xv. 25; Heb i. 13, viii. 1, x. 12, 13; Rev. iii. 21; Col. iii. 1; 1 Pet. iii. 22.
what are they but an extended commentary on this one verse?

Such are the reasons which have constrained orthodox commentators, with rare unanimity, to look upon the Hundred and tenth Psalm as a Messianic psalm in the strictest sense—a song which has for its theme the throned Priest in Zion, the Son and Lord of David, our blessed Propitiation and Lord, Jesus Christ. This interpretation has, from the first, been generally received in the church; was sanctioned, in singularly express terms, by the apostles and by Christ himself; was the only one current among the Jews prior to the Incarnation; and, in fine, is not only an interpretation which the words of the psalm are fairly capable of, but is so imperatively demanded, that if it be rejected, the psalm refuses to yield any tolerable sense.

Before passing from this great Psalm, a word or two may be said regarding the form in which it sets forth the truth regarding Christ. It has been already remarked that as a rule it is not in the Psalter, but elsewhere, that we are to search for new revelations of truth;—that the psalms are the authentic response of faith to God's revelations, rather than the vehicle of those revelations. Such is undoubtedly the rule; but there are exceptions, and among these the Hundred and tenth Psalm is pre-eminent. 

Both in form and substance it is a new revelation, a prophecy respecting Christ, a divine oracle delivered in song. This is precisely what the psalm declares itself to be. Alone in the Psalter, it opens with a formula which is appropriated in Scripture to the use of prophets in publishing oracles entrusted to them by God. Justice has hardly been done to this in the Authorised Version. Most recent translators make the formula very emphatic—either "Jehovah's oracle to my Lord" (De Wette and Delitzsch), or "A revelation of Jehovah to my Lord" (Hupfeld). When the Lord Jesus, in his reference to the psalm, describes David as calling him Lord "in the Spirit," there can be little doubt that he alludes to the peculiar form of this particular psalm. The contents verify the introductory formula. The psalm contains two distinct oracles—two declarations which, at the time of their publication, were revelations
of new truth to the ancient church, and not merely authentic echoes of truth elsewhere revealed. There is first, in the opening verse, the announcement of Messiah's Exaltation to the right hand of God; and then, in the fourth verse, the memorable proclamation of his Royal Priesthood.

I have devoted what may appear a disproportionate space to the Hundred and tenth Psalm, because it seemed of importance to demonstrate, in one decisive instance, the existence of true Messianic prophecy within the Psalter. There is no other psalm in which the prophetically Messianic character is so unequivocally marked. Still there are many which can be satisfactorily shewn to speak of Christ; and I know few subjects in the wide domain of Biblical interpretation which would better reward a serious study than that which is brought up by the questions, Which are the Messianic psalms? and, In what sense are the respective psalms to be regarded as having Christ for their subject? These questions will come up for careful consideration in the next chapter. Meanwhile, it may be possible, in a few sentences, to shew that there are good grounds for accepting other psalms besides the Hundred and tenth as truly Messianic.

I shall name three—the Twenty-second, the Second, the Forty-fifth. These have been constantly sung in Christian congregations for these eighteen centuries; and it may be affirmed without hesitation that if one could interrogate the souls who have delighted to use their help in soaring heavenwards, regarding the practical interpretation put by them upon the sacred words, they would answer with one accord that they have been accustomed to interpret them of Christ and the Church. The sophistications of rationalising critics have never been able to persuade Christian congregations that, in singing these psalms, they ought to look somewhere else rather than to Christ. Through all the centuries devout souls have manifested a surprisingly harmonious feeling in this practical interpretation. The Twenty-second Psalm, as we learn from Augustine, was sung in the North African congregations at the Easter celebration of
the Lord's Supper. More than fourteen centuries have passed since the Vandals drowned those songs in blood; but a stranger who happens to look in upon a Scottish congregation on a communion Sabbath will be likely enough to find the psalm turned to the same holy and solemn use. In these days of criticism, the query will be put, When the psalms are thus sung in Christian assemblies—sung by people who see in them Christ and no other—is their genuine sense preserved? Will the Christian thoughts that twine themselves around the words bear the scrutiny of a strict interpretation? The query will be put; and, for my part, I welcome it. There may, doubtless, be found many subordinate points in which the views of particular psalms current at particular times and in particular Churches will not bear rigid scrutiny; but one may, without arrogance, offer to demonstrate that the Christian sense of such psalms as the three which have been named, is also the genuine sense, and that no interpretation which excludes that sense will stand. We cheerfully admit that they may have had some other immediate reference; as, for instance, a reference to David himself, his wars, and tribulations, and conquests—or to Solomon in his glory. It is well known that some commentators of excellent judgment have thought so. I am not satisfied that, in the instances before us, any such reference can be made out. But what I wish to remark is, that the point is not a vital one, if, along with the immediate reference to David and Solomon, there be admitted a further, and principal, and conscious reference to Christ; if, in other words, the inferior sense contended for is not held to exclude the higher Messianic one.

The Twenty-second Psalm, our venerable translators, following Calvin's example, mark as one in which "David complaineth in great discouragement." But neither Calvin nor they would have thought of referring the psalm, ultimately or principally, to David. It may well be doubted whether there is any special reference to David at all. It is certain that there is a reference to Christ; that the proper design of the psalm is to set forth "the sufferings of Christ, and the glory that should follow." Even rationalists like Dr Hupfeld have expressed astonishment at
the coincidence, in minute detail, between its delineations and the histories of the crucifixion.

The Second Psalm is not only applicable to Christ throughout and actually applied to him in several New Testament texts, but was so commonly understood beforehand as having a prophetical reference to the Hope of Israel, that two of the names by which that Coming One was commonly known among the Jews were drawn from it. The title by which both the Jews and the Samaritans usually designated the expected Son of David was the Messiah, that is, the Christ—or Anointed One,—and it was taken from this psalm. The King here celebrated is called, in the second verse, the Anointed of Jehovah—that is to say, "the Lord's Christ." In the subsequent words, "Thou art my Son, this day have I begotten thee," we see in like manner the Scripture which taught Nathanael to say, when he first recognised in Jesus the long-expected Christ, "Rabbi, thou art the Son of God, thou art the King of Israel."

The Forty-fifth psalm is a Nuptial Song—the Epithalamium of some great King of Israel, who has fixed his love on a Gentile maiden, the daughter of a princely house, and is being united in marriage to her in his own palace. The glories of the King are first described, his superhuman beauty and gracious words, the everlasting stability of his throne, his martial achievements; and the mild equity of his administration. Then follows a description of the marriage. The Queen-Consort is at the King's right hand, in gold of Ophir; she is conducted—she and her maidens—into the King's palace; and the daughter of opulent Tyre, who has come to grace the day with her presence, brings in her hand a wedding gift. It is a song resplendent with the richest ornaments of Oriental Poetry. Respecting its ultimate and proper intention, there has been from the first an unaltering consent among all devout readers. The opening verse,

My heart poureth forth a goodly matter:
I speak the things which I have made touching the King:
My tongue is the pen of a ready writer:

—this verse, I say, in their judgment, is strictly parallel to that of the apostle, "This is a great mystery: but I speak concerning Christ and the Church."* There are, no doubt, differences of opinion in regard to what may be called the theory of the psalm; some understanding it to refer to Christ and the Church directly and exclusively, while others think there is an immediate reference to Solomon (or some other Hebrew king), and his Gentile wife. But this difference is quite immaterial, so far as our present purpose is concerned; for those who think there is an immediate reference to an earthly marriage agree with the others in holding that there are many things in the psalm which, in their full and proper sense, apply only to Christ, and that it was designed from the first to lead men's thoughts to Him.

Is this received interpretation just? Certainly, if external authority is to be accepted as decisive in the matter, it cannot be called in question; for, besides the general consent of the Christian Churches, the mystical interpretation is known to have been the one that prevailed among the Jews before the Christian era, and it is unequivocally sanctioned by the New Testament.† But the same reasons which oblige the rationalistic critics to impose some other than the Messianic construction on the Hundred and tenth psalm find place here also; and they endeavour, with one consent, to make out that this glorious Nuptial Song is nothing more than an earthly Epithalamium, the memorial of the marriage of a Jewish king with a Gentile princess. How baseless this theory is, might be shewn by many arguments. In the first place, there is much in the psalm that cannot, without violence, be applied to any one but Christ. Take the sixth verse, for instance:

Thy throne, O God, is for ever and ever:
A sceptre of equity is the sceptre of thy kingdom.

Surely the Epistle to the Hebrews puts the most natural construction on these words when it cites them as having been

* Eph. v. 32.  † Heb. i. 8, 9.
spoken "unto the Son." In answer to this, it used to be alleged that "God" (Elohim) is a title communicable to creatures, that it is repeatedly applied to magistrates, and might well be given to Solomon as a king. But it is to be observed that the title is never applied to any creature, whether man or angel, except in such a connection as excludes the possibility of mistake; certainly never in the unqualified way in which it is used here. That device, accordingly, is now laid aside, and the Messianic sense is attempted to be evaded by altering the translation thus, "Thy throne of God (or, thy God's-throne) is for ever and ever;" according to which the meaning would be, "Thy throne, which is the throne of the Lord, on which thou sittest as his Vicegerent, is for ever." So far as grammar is concerned, the translation is possible; but it is violently unnatural, and all the old translations, from the Septuagint downwards, are against it. It is too obviously a device to get rid of a translation which, though the only natural one, would be fatal to a favourite theory. Dean Stanley—who, as usual, favours the non-Messianic interpretation—alludes to the verse as if it said of the king that "his throne is like the throne of God;"* but that is a sense which none of the translations will yield.

Another consideration is of great weight. There is no example in the Psalter of a purely secular song; it is only Church songs that are here collected. Not even David's name could procure for his Lament for Saul and Jonathan admission into the sacred collection. If the Forty-fifth Psalm celebrates the wedding of a Jewish king, and nothing else, it is the solitary exception to the rule—the only one of all the hundred and fifty psalms that is not a devotional composition. This is frankly acknowledged by Ewald, and involves him in great perplexity. Again and again, in the course of his learned disquisitions, the glaringly exceptional song comes in his way, and he does not know what to make of it. In one place he throws out the desperate conjecture that it may have got into the Psalm Book by some oversight of an editor or transcriber; but,

* Lect. on Jewish Church, ii. 199.
finding no rest in this, he comes back to the supposition that the allegorical interpretation may be as old as Ezra's time, and that this may have led to the insertion. Why not take one short step more, and acknowledge that the allegorical interpretation is the true one? Does not this, besides its other high recommendations, best accord with the lofty terms in which the Psalmist announces that his theme is to be "a good matter," and declares that it has so taken possession of his heart that his tongue is like the pen of a ready writer? No other psalm is introduced after the same fashion. Is it credible that the one secular song in the Psalter should be adorned above all the rest with such a preface of eulogy?
CHAPTER II.

A CLASSIFICATION OF THE MESSIANIC PSALMS.

The Epistle to the Hebrews opens with the statement that God's speaking to the fathers by the prophets took place "in diverse manners;" and to no part of the Old Testament does the remark apply more than to the Messianic Psalms. They all celebrate the Hope of Israel, but not after one and the same manner. There are some which, as we have seen, are in the strictest sense predictions regarding our Lord; but there are others which cannot be so described, inasmuch as, although they speak of Him, He is neither their exclusive nor primary subject. The Eighteenth psalm, for instance, is undoubtedly Messianic. Apostolical authority concurs with internal evidence in shewing that the person who speaks in it is Christ. Yet nothing can be more certain than that it is not predictive of Christ in the same high and exclusive sense as the Hundred and tenth. It was written by David in thankful commemoration of the kindness of the Lord, in delivering him "from the hand of all his enemies and from the hand of Saul." Not only is there a superscription to that effect, but the whole poem is inserted in the history of David's reign,* as a document relative to the period. Such having been the origin and primary intention of the poem, the question will be asked, On what principle do you refer to Christ a song in which, as you admit, David speaks of himself, his dangers, his marvellous escapes, the eventual establishment of his throne and wide extension of his sway? This is a perfectly fair

* 2 Sam. xxii,
question. Since it is a question, moreover, which crosses the path of every careful student of the Bible, and is apt to cause serious perplexity, the discussion of it cannot be declined, even although it brings up some points which are amongst the most difficult in the whole domain of biblical theology.

When we classify the Messianic psalms, according to the "diverse manners" in which they severally speak of Christ, they arrange themselves into three principal groups. First, there is a large group, consisting of those in which Christ is present in the person of David or some other type; then there is a smaller one, consisting of psalms which relate to Him directly and exclusively; lastly, there is a group of undefined extent, consisting of psalms in which the person who speaks is "Christ mystical," the whole Church, the Head and the members together.

I. It will be convenient to begin with the psalms in which Christ is spoken of in the person of David or some other type: the typically-Messianic Psalms.

It has often been observed that God's most perfect works are never accomplished by a sudden stroke of power. He delights to unfold his purpose in successive parts. This is seen in Nature. The geologist traces a constant progress, from the rudimentary forms of animal and vegetable life which have left their traces on the early sedimentary rocks, to the perfect forms which are the contemporaries of man. The human body, so fearfully and wonderfully made, in respect of which man is "the paragon of animals," was not altogether a novelty in creation, on the day that the Lord God formed man from the dust of the ground. We can imagine that the only thing which an angelic observer would take notice of as altogether new, was the lodgment of a soul—a personal intelligence—in a material tenement. Of the tenement itself all the leading features had been seen before, in one or other of the pre-existing animals. Those animals were therefore the types or figures of the race which was predestined to exercise lordship over them. This principle of progressive development pervades
God's administration in the work of Redemption, not less extensively than in the material universe. Long before He sent his Son into the world, to offer up the great Sacrifice and establish his kingdom, He had familiarised men's minds with the leading features of his Person and Work. During the centuries from the creation of Adam to the incarnation of Christ, the events which form the subject of the sacred history were so ordered as to be a gradual unfolding of the divine purpose respecting the predestined Redeemer.

This unfolding was accomplished by the twofold machinery of facts and oracles,—the latter with the occasional accompaniment of symbolical institutions. On the one hand, there were divine Oracles, direct and formal revelations of the mind and purpose of God. Such was the promise of the seed of the woman who should bruise the serpent's head. Such was also the promise of the seed of Abraham, in whom all nations should be blessed; the Shiloh out of Judah; the Prophet like unto Moses. This succession of great oracles culminated at length in the promise made to David, that the Hope of Israel was to be his son and the inheritor of his throne. Running parallel to these oracles and their accompanying institutions, we can trace a long succession of Providential Events, which were a kind of real predictions concurring with the verbal predictions in the disclosure of the divine counsels. Thus the redemption from Egypt was designed to familiarise men's minds (and did familiarise them) with the idea of God's Israel as a community of emancipated bondmen,—bondmen who are emancipated, not by their own prowess, but by the favour of God, that they may be a holy people to the Lord. To this day, when the Christian attempts to describe what he was by nature and what grace has made him, he insensibly makes use of the forms of speech that originated with the exodus. The Old Testament is full of prefigurations of this kind,—precursive representations of the truth respecting Christ by means of analogous personages and dispensations. The types, then, were events, institutions, persons, so ordered by the providence of God as to bring out, clearly and impressively, the leading features of the eternal
purpose which was one day to be realised in the person and work of the incarnate Son. It was by means of these types, quite as much as by the more direct and explicit medium of verbal revelations, that the mind of God was made known to the ancient church, and presentiments of good things to come were awakened within its bosom.

All this bears directly on the problem before us in the Messianic psalms. For David, who was, by way of eminence, the Psalmist of Israel, was also, in his personal history and in his kingdom, the most distinguished of the types of Christ. In him men beheld the image of a just and wise prince, who having grown up in an obscure town was afterwards filled, in an incomparable measure, with the Spirit of kingly wisdom, and counsel, and might; a captain who, although he had been anointed by a prophet to be king over God's Israel, was detained for long years in the school of bitter humiliation; but who, when he was at length brought to the throne, achieved for his people deliverance from their enemies on every side, and subjugated the nations from the Euphrates to the border of Egypt. How distinctly, in these and a hundred other features, David and his kingdom prefigured Christ and his kingdom, and awoke presentiments regarding them in the hearts of God's people, no reader of the Bible needs to be told. His history from first to last, was a kind of acted parable of the sufferings and glory of Christ. When it is remembered that every step in David's chequered career finds its lyrical expression in the Psalter, it will be at once apparent that the Psalter must be full of Christ. One who gives a faithful description of the shadow, must needs describe the substance that casts the shadow. The Paschal lamb having been a divinely ordered prefiguration of the Lamb of God, the law which ordained that a bone of him should not be broken is cited in the gospel as a prophecy, which spoke of Christ, and which was fulfilled in the manner of his death.* The Psalms are full of similar prophecies regarding Christ;—passages

* Exod. xii. 46; John xix. 36.
which, although, in the first instance, they speak of David and his kingdom, carry forward the mind to the person and kingdom of David's son. Nor do these typically-Messianic passages speak of Christ, only by way of such unconscious prefiguration as took place in the offering of the Paschal lamb. There is no reason to suppose that Moses, when he wrote the law of the Passover, thought of anything but the literal ordinance. At least, there is no reason to attribute to him a distinct foresight of the manner of Christ's death which he so exactly described. But it was otherwise with David. He knew that Christ was to be born of his seed, and that He was to be a king after the manner of David, as well as a priest after the manner of Melchizedek. Accordingly, we find that in the psalms which unfold his own experience, he is sometimes lifted above himself, and speaks in terms which, although they may perhaps admit of being applied to himself, are much more easily and naturally applicable to our Lord. Thus the Eighteenth Psalm, the great song of thanksgiving for the mercies of his life, rises at the close into this strain:—

49. Therefore will I give thanks unto thee, O Jehovah, among the nations;

And to thy name will I sing praises.

50. Who worketh great deliverances for his king;

And sheweth lovingkindness to his Anointed,

To David and to his seed for ever.

When these verses are quoted in the Epistle to the Romans,* as a declaration on the part of Christ, of his purpose to publish God's name among the Gentiles, the apostle is not to be understood as applying the words to Christ, by way of arbitrary accommodation. No doubt the words are David's, and express his purpose to indite songs in which all nations might one day sing praise to the God of Abraham. But, in the character in which he speaks throughout the psalm, he so exactly prefigured Christ that the whole is applicable to Christ as truly as to himself; and in these concluding verses, he is moved by the

* Chap. xv. 9.
Holy Spirit to utter words which, although true of himself, were much more perfectly fulfilled in Christ. And this is what we mean when we entitle his song of thanksgiving a *typically Messianic* psalm.

To the same class belong such Psalms as the 35th, the 41st, the 55th, the 69th, the 109th; a cycle which will come before us again in connection with the subject of the Imprecations which impart to them such a terrible character. They are all from David’s pen, and were written with reference to the implacable enemies of his kingdom and of the cause of God in Israel. They are so distinctly typical as to partake a good deal of the prophetical character. Christ and Judas are present in them as truly as David and Ahithophel. There are other psalms of David that might be ranked in this typical group, but I shall not attempt to enumerate them: for, indeed, it is hardly possible to draw a line of separation between the psalms which look no farther than David, and those which have an ulterior reference to Christ. When the psalmist writes in his kingly character he is ever ready to look beyond himself and his own age to the future glories of his house, and to its promised inheritor. The Twenty-first and Sixty-first psalms, for example, although they might seem to relate entirely to the temporal kingdom, utter hopes with respect to it which are distinctly Messianic. “Thou wilt prolong the king’s life, and his years as many generations: he shall abide before God for ever. He asked life of thee and thou gavest it him, even length of days for ever and ever.”*

When Solomon came to the throne, it was his honourable ambition to govern so that his reign might be remarkable for righteousness, benignity, and peace. Hence his prayer at Gibeah. Hence also the tenor of the *Seventy-Second* psalm, in which he has put on record the hopes and aspirations of his golden prime. It was the people’s interest, as well as his own, that he might be enabled to reign justly, and might be blessed with peace. He associates them, therefore, with himself in the prayer.

* Psalm lxi. 6, 7; xxi. 4.
1. O God, give thy judgments unto the king,
And thy righteousness unto the king's son.
2. May he judge thy people with righteousness,
And thine afflicted ones with judgment.
3. May the mountains bring forth peace unto the people,
And the hills with righteousness.
4. May he judge the afflicted of the people,
May he save the children of the needy;
And break in pieces the oppressor.

Solomon is certainly here. The psalm is the joint prayer of prince and people, entreating that the new reign may be wise and just, long and happy. But we cannot read it to the end without feeling that, even when it was first sung, the thought of every reflective Israelite must have been carried beyond the young king, who had just entered upon the government, with such honourable aspirations and such a rich dower of wisdom and diversified accomplishment. In Hebrew, the optative and future run so much into each other that it is hard to say whether the psalm ought to be translated throughout as a prayer, or ought not rather to be thrown, in the latter part, into the form of a prediction as it is in the English version. Some, like Hupfeld, make it a prayer throughout, and read it thus:

10. Let the kings of Tarshish and the isles render gifts,
    Let the kings of Sheba and Saba offer presents.
11. Yea, let all kings bow themselves down before him,
    Let all nations serve him.
12. For he delivereth the poor when he crieth,
    And the afflicted who hath no helper.*

But even thus rendered, the terms would have been too fulsome for a Bible psalm, if the scope of it had been limited to the person and reign of Solomon. He could not modestly have asked his people to unite with him in offering to God requests of such far-reaching and glorious import, unless he had intended them to be offered in behalf of the king in the most compre-

* Mr Perowne's Translation.
hensive sense of the term, as including the seed of David for ever, and especially the greater Son of David who was promised to succeed upon the throne. The reference to Christ is, of course, still more pointed and obvious, if (as seems preferable)* the latter part of the psalm be rendered as a prediction. And if those who first made use of the psalm may be presumed to have looked beyond Solomon, what shall we say regarding those who lived to see the kingdom divided and the house of David represented by men like Rehoboam? The psalm, let it be remembered, was not a mere Coronation Anthem, sung once and then forgotten. It was a new Song added to the Church's Psalter, and continued to be sung in divine worship. We may be sure, therefore, that even if it could be supposed that the people, in the bright morning of Solomon's reign fixed their hopes on him as they sang the psalm, they would cease to do so when their hopes from him and his were so cruelly disappointed. The type would more and more recede from their view, as the temporal glory of David's house waned; and they would come to sing the psalm, very much as we do, with an entire concentration of the thoughts on the Prince of Peace.†

The Hundred and thirty-second Psalm, written, apparently, with reference to the dedication of the Temple, and the Eighty-ninth, which seems to be a wail on account of the disruption of the kingdom, are two other well-defined examples of the typically Messianic class. The promise of perpetuity given to David's house is celebrated in both; and in both the terms are carefully framed, so as to admit and invite the thought of Him in whom the promise has received its complete and ultimate accomplishment. The Hundred and eighteenth is another example, from a later age. It is a song of the Second Temple, and, under the type of the advancement of a despised stone to be the head stone of the Corner,—the advancement of the feeble remnant of God's Israel to be the honoured depositaries of his ordinances,—it

* The predictive rendering is given in the Septuagint, the Vulgate, and Jerome; in the Genevan and Authorised English Versions; by Venema, by Hengstenberg, and (as regards the second half of the psalm) by Delitzsch.

† Compare Delitzsch, vol. i. 537, 538.
celebrates the advancement of the Man of Sorrows to be the glorified Head of the Church.*

The Eighth psalm, although it is mentioned last, has a certain title to the foremost place amongst those which hold forth Christ under the veil of some type. For, in this instance, the type under which he is presented is the oldest of all the types, being no other than the common progenitor of the race. Adam, we know,† was "the figure of Him that was to come." He prefigured Christ in this very notable respect, that as he was the Head and Surety of the entire race, insomuch that in his fall they fell; so Christ is the Head and Surety of the entire Church, insomuch that by his obedience they are constituted righteous. "For since by man came death, by man came also the resurrection of the dead: for as in Adam all die, even so in Christ shall all be made alive."‡ The primary scope of the Psalm is to celebrate the condescending bounty of God displayed in endowing our nature in the person of Adam with such a rich heritage of privilege; crowning it with glory and honour, making it to have dominion over the works of his hands, and subjecting all things to its rule. "Excellent endowments (some one may say); but is it not mockery of our fallen condition to ask us to celebrate them now, after they have been forfeited by our apostacy from God?" The answer is, that they were forfeited, but are now restored. And the restoration is made in a way exactly corresponding to the manner of the original endowment. It is made to God's people in the person of their common Head and Surety, by whose blood the lost heritage has been redeemed. The grant first made to the race in Adam, is made a second time to the Church in Christ, the second Adam. Hence the remarkable way in which the epistle to the Hebrews§ cites the psalm, as if it had been a prediction regarding Christ. It celebrates the Second Adam and his dominion, under the type of the First Adam and his.

† Rom. v. 14. ‡ 1 Cor. xv. 21, 22. § Chap. ii. 6-8.
II. It was Calvin who first applied the principle of types, with distinguished success, to the interpretation of the Messianic psalms. Before his time, indeed, devout men, as they listened to David's harp, were sensible of the presence of a greater than David, and their devotional use of the psalms was, from the first, animated and governed by the conviction that Christ was in them of a truth. But when the problem arose, how to reconcile this conviction with the plain rule that, in interpreting an author, particular expressions must be read in the light of the context and must have no meaning imposed on them which the context refuses to share, they found themselves at a loss. Here were psalms of which some parts evidently related to David and not to Christ; was it allowable to interpret other parts as if they were prophetic of Christ? Being unable to work out a satisfactory answer, and being at the same time perfectly confident that the sentiment of their hearts which testified to Christ's presence in the psalms was well founded, they fell upon the way of handling them which is so familiar to all who have dipped into the patristic writings. It is well exemplified in Augustine. That great divine was certainly neither ignorant of the rules of exact interpretation, nor unaware of the importance of applying them to the Messianic Psalms.* But not having a clear conception of the nature of a type,—as distinguished from a prediction, on the one hand, and from a mere emblem or allegory, on the other,—his expositions drift perpetually into a style of allegorising by which any sense that may happen to be desired can be extracted from any passage. It was not the least of the many services rendered to the cause of truth by the Reformers, and especially by Calvin, that they, for the first time, reconciled the sentiment of devout readers as to the ultimate reference of the Messianic psalms, with the principles of exact interpretation.

But, as often happens, the great Reformer, having got hold of a valuable principle, went to an extreme in the application of it. In no psalm except the Hundred and tenth did he find Christ set forth without some intervening type. In the Second psalm he thinks there is an immediate reference to David, and

* See De Civ. Dei. lib. xvii. c. 15.
in the Forty-fifth to the nuptials of Solomon; and in this he has been followed by many commentators of the highest standing. But the interpretation in both instances is, I venture to think, destitute of solid foundation. It is difficult, no doubt, to draw a line between the psalms which relate exclusively to Christ, and those in which he is seen through the veil of some type. The Seventy-second, although typical, approaches to the character of a direct prediction; the Second and Forty-fifth, on the other hand, so largely borrow from the reigns of David and Solomon the poetical imagery in which they celebrate Christ, that they have a good deal of the look of typical psalms. But this borrowing of imagery is by no means inconsistent with the strictly prophetical character. There are passages in Isaiah (chapters ix. and xi. for example) in which Christ and his reign are celebrated in imagery wholly taken from David's reign, yet no one regards them as anything but direct predictions. There is no reason to deny the same character to the Second and and Forty-fifth psalms. To expound them as having a primary reference to David or Solomon, is simply to introduce confusion and embarrassment.

There is yet another psalm for which I would claim a place amongst those that are directly prophetical of Christ. I mean the Twenty-second. The majority of the best commentators, no doubt, regard it as referring throughout to David, and so rank it in the typical class. But the objections to that view are many, and, I think, unanswerable. For one thing, David's biography contains nothing corresponding to the account the Sufferer here gives of his tribulations.* His enemies never "parted his garments among them or cast lots upon his vesture." Indeed, so inapplicable is the description to any Bible saint, that some who reject the direct reference to Christ, are fain to attribute the psalm—in the teeth of all existing evidence—to "some afflicted person, otherwise unknown to us, during the captivity." Besides, even if it had been possible to find in the life of David or of some other saint a time of such sufferings as the psalm describes, those who see a primary reference to him

* Ver. 14-18.
would still have had to explain the remarkable hopes expressed in the latter part of it. The Sufferer, rising above the sense of his present sorrow, rejoices in the confident persuasion that, as the fruit of what he is now enduring, all the families of the earth shall one day be moved to return to the Lord, and to bow themselves down before him. This is a feature which so evidently points to the Man of Sorrows, that the great Jewish critics have betaken themselves to the same explanation by which they seek to get quit of the testimony of the Fifty-third of Isaiah to the Cross of Christ. In both cases, they labour to make out that the Sufferer described is the nation of Israel during the Babylonish captivity, and that the blessing so confidently anticipated to spring out of the sorrows of the chosen people, was no other than that diffusion of the true religion which resulted from the dispersion of the exiles among the nations. The theory is ingenious, and it has been eagerly appropriated by the rationalists. But there are things both in the prophecy and in the psalm that conclusively refute it. Thus, in the former, the Lord's righteous Servant whose sufferings are poured out, instead of being identified with the people of Israel, is expressly contrasted with them.* In the Psalm, there is not only the same contrast,† but, from beginning to end, the terms in which the Sufferer's condition is described, are too strongly individual to admit the hypothesis of personification. The only adequate and natural interpretation of the psalm is that which sees in it a lyrical prediction of the Sufferings of Messiah and the Glory that was to follow. No Sufferer but One could, without presumption, have expected his griefs to result in the conversion of nations to God.

Moreover, it is not a vague description of a good man's sufferings that this great psalm sets forth. It goes into many details, and these so exactly corresponding to the sufferings of Christ, that the whole reads like a poetical version of the gospel history. (1.) The scene poured out is a Crucifixion, and just such a crucifixion as was witnessed at Calvary. The Sufferer cannot obtain the solace of retirement. He is encompassed by

* Isaiah liii.4-6.  † Ver. 22, 23.
scornful men, who load him with reproaches. They deride the profession of his hope in God, and do so in terms which startle us by their identity with those actually employed by the crowds who encompassed the Lord's cross. All the dreadful accompaniments of crucifixion are seen;—the strength dried up like a potsherd—the bones out of joint—the burning thirst, making the tongue cleave to the jaws—the piercing of the hands and the feet*—the bones projecting so that one might count them—the parting of the garments by lot amongst the executioners. Surely the cross of Christ is here, and without the intervention of any type. (2.) Not only is the psalm cited by the evangelists as having been fulfilled in the crucifixion, but the Lord employed it himself† in expressing the anguish of his soul. "About the ninth hour he cried with a loud voice, saying, Eli, Eli, lama sabachthani? that is to say, My God, my God, why hast thou forsaken me?" Taking all the circumstances into account, it is a fair construction of this exclamation, to understand it with Augustine,‡ as equivalent to saying, The psalm was written concerning me. (3.) There is in the psalm a singular alternation of deep dejection under present sorrow, and of solemn joy in the prospect of the blessings that are to accrue to all the nations. And this very alternation of conflicting sorrow and joy was seen in Christ, both on the cross and during the preceding week.§ (4.) In one respect, the psalm stands alone in the Scriptures, and indeed in all religious literature. It is a cry out of the depths,—the sorrowful prayer of One who is not only persecuted by man, but seems to himself, for the time, to be utterly forsaken of his God. Yet there is no confession of sin, no penitent sorrow, no trace of compunction or remorse. This distinguishes the psalm, quite unequivocally, not

* This remarkable expression in ver. 16, is rendered by many of the modern critics, according to the Masoretic punctuation and the Jewish interpreters, "like a lion my hands and my feet;" but the usual translation is supported by all the ancient versions, and yields the better sense. Indeed, the other yields no tolerable sense at all. Compare Mr Perowne's note.
† Matt. xxvii. 46. ‡ Enarratio II. in Ps. xxi. (xxii.) sec. 3.
§ John xii. 20–33.
only from ordinary psalms of complaint, but from those in which Christ speaks in the person of David his type. The complaints found in them are never unaccompanied with confessions of sin. If David, or any other ancient saint, had written the Twenty-second Psalm, as the expression of his own griefs and hopes, there would certainly have been audible in it some note of penitence.

On the whole, then, we hold ourselves entitled to set down as Psalms directly Messianic, not only the Hundred and tenth (in regard to which there is no difference of opinion among those who heartily accept the Scriptures as supernaturally inspired), but also the Second, the Forty-fifth, and the Twenty-second. If any think the Seventy-second ought to be added, I shall not object.

To the same class belong also the psalms which—like the 87th, the 96th, the 98th, the 100th, the 117th—although they make no mention of the person of Christ, celebrate the glorious advancement which awaits the church in the latter days. I merely name these at present; for they will afterwards claim careful notice in another connection*

III. There are psalms demonstrably Messianic which cannot well be assigned to either of the two classes we have surveyed. They are neither directly predictive of Christ, nor yet do they speak of him through some type. The two most prominent examples of this class are the Sixteenth and the Fortieth. Its characteristic features will be best illustrated by examining one of these. For various reasons I select the Fortieth.

5. Thou hast greatly multiplied, even thou, O Jehovah my God,
Thy wonderful works and thy thoughts which are to us-ward;
They cannot be set forth in order unto thee:
If I would declare them, and speak of them,
They are more than can be numbered.

6. Sacrifice and meat-offering thou didst not desire;
Mine ears hast thou opened;
Burnt-offering and sin-offering has thou not required.

7. Then said I, "Lo, I come;
In the roll of the book it is written of me;

* See Chap. ix. of this Book.
8. To do thy pleasure, O my God, I have desired, Yea, thy law is within my reins.

9. I have published [the glad tidings of] righteousness in the great congregation: Lo, my lips I would not restrain, O Jehovah, thou dost know."

This is applied to Christ, in the most unqualified way, in the epistle to the Hebrews. "It is not possible that the blood of bulls and of goats should take away sins. Wherefore when he cometh into the world he saith, Sacrifice and offering thou wouldest not, but a body hast thou prepared me. . . . Then said he, Lo I come (in the volume of the Book it is written of me) to do thy will, O God. . . . He taketh away the first that he may establish the second. By the which Will we are sanctified, through the offering of the body of Jesus Christ, once for all."* There is no mistaking the view here taken of David's words. So plainly is the Messianic interpretation laid down, and so strongly is the argument of the epistle built upon it, that many eminent divines† conclude that Christ must be the direct and exclusive subject of the psalm. The fatal objection to that view is, that the psalm contains one of those sorrowful confessions of sin, the absence of which has just been commented upon in the case of the Twenty-second.

12. For evils have compassed me about, till there is no number; Mine iniquities have taken hold upon me, that I cannot look up: They are more than the hairs of mine head, And my heart hath failed me.

In explanation of this, we are reminded, no doubt, that Christ, though he knew no sin, was made sin for us: so that he was, in a very true sense, a sinner before God. This explanation is an old one. It is thus put by Augustine:—"He made our offences his offences, that he might make his righteousness our righteousness. Why should not he who took upon him the

* Heb. x. 4-10.
† Calovius in Pool's Synopsis; Owen on the Hebrews, at chap. x. 5; J. Pye Smith, Scripture Testimony to the Messiah, i. 205.
likeness of the sinner's flesh, take upon him also the likeness of the sinner's voice?"* There is force in these suggestions, and they go far to explain the fact (to which we shall revert immediately), that in one and the same psalm we hear the voice both of the sinless Saviour and his sinning people. But it is pressing them too far to urge them as a reason why we should attribute to Christ words which, in their natural and obvious sense, are a sorrowful and shame-stricken confession of sin before God. The psalm is certainly not of the directly Messianic order.

Shall we set it down therefore among the typically Messianic class? This is a very common interpretation. According to it David is the person who speaks, but he speaks as a type of Christ, and therefore his words are attributed to Christ by the epistle to the Hebrews. But neither is this view satisfactory. David was not a type of Christ in his priesthood and sacrifice; and it is of these only, and not at all of the kingdom, that this psalm speaks. The person who here comes forward and declares his purpose to do the will of God, puts such a value on his obedience, as neither David nor any mere man could, without presumption, have claimed for theirs. The true key to the psalm is to be found, not in the doctrine of the types, but rather in that of the Mystical Union between Christ and the Church. It is a **Mystically Messianic** psalm. This is the view taken by the ancient fathers, and especially Augustine. That great divine was penetrated with a sense of the unity which, through the grace of God, subsists between Christ and all his people, even the humblest and feeblest in the company of the saints. He is never weary of telling how, when the obscure disciples of Christ in Damascus were persecuted, the Lord resented it as a wrong done to himself, and thundered in

* Enarratio II. in Ps. xxi. (xxii.) sec. 3; in Ps. xl. (l.) sec. 5. It is plain that, however hazy or defective may have been the views of the early fathers with regard to the doctrine of justification by faith alone, they knew and prized the doctrine of the imputation of our sins to Christ and of Christ's righteousness to us, which underlies and sustains that great article of the Reformed Theology.
the ear of the oppressor, "I am Jesus whom thou persecutest;" and how, when any poor saint is visited or fed, Christ takes the kindness as done to himself.* And he makes perpetual use of the principle in endeavouring to open up the Messianic element in the Psalter. The pages of his Enarrationes are thus made fragrant with the savour of the Bridegroom's name. Few will deny, indeed, that he presses the principle too far. He applies it to many places that can only be successfully explained on the typical principle. Nevertheless, the principle is a sound one, and is of great value in the interpretation of Scripture.

The difficulty to be explained in the class of psalms under consideration, is the seeming incongruity involved in the attributing of different parts of one and the same song to different persons,—one part to Christ, another part to his people,—while there is nothing in the context to indicate a change of subject. The mystical hypothesis explains it by pointing out, that there is such a union between Christ and his people as warrants their being thus conjoined in the same song. That He and they are conjoined in a real fellowship of life is most certain. "For as the body is one, and hath many members, and all the members of that one body, being many, are one body: so also is Christ. For by one Spirit are we all baptized into one body, whether we be Jews or Gentiles, whether we be bond or free."† The Christ here named is not the individual person of our Lord, but He and the Church together,—Christ Mystical, totus Christus caput et corpus. This mystical union has left its effects on many parts of Scripture. Thus, throughout the prophecies of Isaiah, one and the same title, "The Servant of the Lord," is used to denote, sometimes the Lord Jesus himself;‡ sometimes his people;§ sometimes the whole mystical body, including Him and them together.|| This no doubt wears an appearance of incongruity. But something of the kind is always found when diverse elements are conjoined in an intimate union. I sometimes

* Acts xi. 5; Matt. xxv. 40. † 1 Cor. xii. 12, 13; comp. Gal iii. 16.
speak of myself as an immortal creature, sometimes as a dying man. Why? Because my nature is not simple but composite. By my soul, I am immortal; it is a "deathless principle": by my body I am subject to corruption. Just so is it with the Church. The Lord has taken his people into a union with himself, more intimate than that even of body and soul. He and they constitute one Christ. And of that one Christ the Psalter is the Voice. If in some psalms it is the members who speak and in others the Head, there are others again in which we can distinguish the speech of both. This furnishes the only satisfactory explanation of the remarkable conjoining of Christ and the Church in the Sixteenth and Fortieth Psalms. In the case of the latter, the explanation is frankly accepted by Calvin, although he was as little tolerant of subtleties in the interpretation of Scripture, as can well be imagined. "David (he observes) is not speaking here in his own name only, but is pointing out generally what is common to all God's children: but when he thus bringeth in the community of the Church, we must ascend to Him who is the Head."

It is related in the gospel that the Lord Jesus joined with the disciples in singing the paschal Hallel;* and there is no reason to suppose that his voice was ever mute when the psalms were sung in the Synagogues of Nazareth or Capernaum on the Sabbath days. He lifted up his soul to God along with "the praises of Israel;"† and he did not deem it necessary to refrain his voice when the melody descended to notes of contrite confession. There was no impropriety nor untruthfulness in his thus making use of words which, in their letter, were inapplicable to his case. There is hardly a psalm but contains things which are applicable only to some in the congregation; yet all who are present take part in the song. We do not enjoin the little children to be silent when the Seventy-first Psalm is sung, although it is the song of old age; nor the aged men to be silent when the Twenty-seventh is sung, although it is the prayer of a youth. The psalms are Church songs, and all

* Comp. Book iii. chap. i. † Psalm xxii. 3.
who belong to the Church are to sing them. "Both young men and maidens, old men and children, let them praise the name of the Lord."* The ripe believer, who can triumph in the stedfast hope of God's glory, is to lend his voice to swell the song of the church when she cries to God out of the depths; and the penitent, who is still sitting in darkness, is not to refrain his voice when the Church pours out in song her sense of God's love. The whole Church has fellowship in the psalms. And from this fellowship the divine Head does not turn away. There are sentiments, here and there, in which He cannot perfectly participate. Nevertheless, the psalms are the voice of the body of which he is the Head, and therefore he joins in them. This simple fact, that the Lord Jesus sang the psalms,—how vividly does it represent the Mystical Union! When we sing the psalms, especially those in which the voice of Christ makes itself so distinctly audible as it is in the Sixteenth and Fortieth, it ought to affect our hearts to think, that we are, in effect, sitting beside Christ, as the disciples did in the Guest-Chamber, and are singing along with Him out of the same book.

* Psalm cxlviii. 12, 13.
CHAPTER III.

THE CHRISTOLOGY OF THE PSALMS.

TILL the Lord Jesus died and rose again, a certain veil obscured the meaning of those prophecies of the Old Testament which spoke of the sufferings of the Messiah, and the Glory that was to follow. The prophets were themselves sensible of this obscurity; for the Holy Spirit revealed to them that it was not properly to themselves, or to the men of their time, that they ministered the divine oracles regarding Christ and redemption, but rather to us who belong to the Christian dispensation.* So late as the eve of the crucifixion, we find the Lord saying to the disciples that they could not yet bear the full disclosure of the truth. Three days later, this incapacity was gone; the Lord had suffered and risen again; the crisis of the world's history was past. Accordingly, the first discourses of the risen Saviour were devoted to the exposition of the things that were written concerning himself. The last chapter of Luke's Gospel contains accounts of two several discourses of this kind, with which the disciples were favoured on the very day of the resurrection. The first was spoken, on the road to Emmaus, to the two friends who were returning home from the Paschal solemnity. It must have been a long exposition; for the evangelist mentions that, after joining himself to their company and hearing them express the sorrowful perplexity into which they had been cast by the events of the past week, the Lord first of all showed them that the tragical death of

* Comp. 1 Pet. i. 10-12.
their Master was just what it behoved the Messiah of the Scriptures to endure before entering into his glory; and thereafter went on to unfold in detail what we should now call the Christology of the Old Testament. "Beginning at Moses and all the prophets, he expounded unto them in all the Scriptures the things concerning himself."* A second discourse, to the same purpose, was preached in the evening, at the meeting of the infant Church in Jerusalem. The two brethren to whom the risen Saviour had made himself known at Emmaus had just come in, and, forgetting the fatigue of their double journey, were reporting what they had seen and heard, when the Lord himself stood in the midst and resumed the subject by which he had made their hearts burn within them by the way. The tenor of this second discourse is thus described by the evangelist:† "He said unto them, These are the words which I spake unto you while I yet was with you, that all things must be fulfilled which were written in the Law of Moses, and in the Prophets, and in the Psalms, concerning me." It is added, "Then opened he their understanding, that they might understand the Scriptures; and said unto them, Thus it is written and thus it behoved (the) Christ to suffer, and to rise from the dead the third day: and that repentance and remission of sins should be preached in his name among all nations."

The question has sometimes been asked, not without surprise and disappointment, Why did the Evangelists omit to give a full report of these great sermons of the risen Lord? They must have shed a flood of light on the most interesting passages of the ancient Scriptures. It is plain that the disciples hung upon the preacher's lips. Why, then, are the precious words not recorded? What Christian is there who would not be well content to endure, like those disciples, the Saviour's loving reproofs, and even to be called "fool, and slow of heart to believe," if so be he might with them hear Christ himself proving from the Scriptures that it was necessary he should

* Luke xxiv. 27.  
† Luke xxiv. 44–47.
suffer the very things he did suffer, and should rise from the
dead the third day? The desire is a natural one, and may be
entertained without rebuke. Nevertheless, it is a mistake to
suppose that the Saviour's expositions have perished, or that,
in collecting the Christology of the Psalms, we must
forego the assistance which would have been furnished by his
authoritative declaration of "the things that were written in
the Psalms concerning him." The eleven faithful apostles were
among the auditors; the discourses were treasured in their
memories, and they have been careful to embody the substance
of them in their sermons and epistles. It may be difficult to
explain why the teaching of Christ during the Forty Days from
the Resurrection to the Ascension has, for the most part, been
left to be gathered from the apostolical Scriptures, instead of
having been set down in the gospel, like that which went
before; but of the fact there can be no doubt. There are
many passages in the sermons and epistles of Peter, which one
cannot read without feeling that the apostle is availing himself
of the expositions he had heard Christ deliver in the upper
room at Jerusalem. The discourse he preached on the day of
Pentecost is largely made up of quotations from the Messianic
prophecies of the Old Testament, and especially of the Psalter.
He quotes first the Sixteenth Psalm and then the Hundred
and tenth; in both instances opening up and vindicating the
true interpretation. So largely is the same thing done in all
the subsequent discourses and writings of the apostles who
heard Christ at Jerusalem, and in those of the Apostle Paul, to
whom Christ made similar communications afterwards, * that it
would be difficult to name one Messianic Psalm that is not
cited or commented upon in one place or another. In these
apostolical expositions of the psalms, what we hear is just the
echo of the expositions that had been delivered by the risen
Saviour himself.

I am very sensible that the attempt to exhibit the Christ-
ology of the psalms is an arduous one, and that complete

* Comp. 1 Cor. xv. 3.
success is not to be expected. The views of the truth respecting Christ that pervade this part of the Scriptures are inexpressibly high and deep. The greatest of the Church-fathers mentions in his City of God, that although his friends were expecting him to undertake the task of opening up David’s predictions regarding the Lord Jesus Christ and his Church, he felt himself constrained to decline it; “not because he had too little to say, but because he had too much.”* The design of the present chapter is the more humble one of setting forth such a General View of the subject as may indicate the extraordinary richness of the field, and afford both an impulse and guide to Bible readers in the further exploration of it. And I am sure we may hope to prosper in this design, if we (the writer and his readers together), while thankfully availing ourselves of the authoritative expositions Christ has delivered to us by the apostles, ask and receive that “opening of the understanding to understand the Scriptures” which He gave to the disciples at Jerusalem, and which it is the office of His Spirit to give to disciples still.

Respecting the Person of Christ, the testimony of the psalms is copious and sufficiently distinct. For one thing, it is everywhere assumed that He is the Kinsman of his people. The Christ of the Old Testament is one who is to be born of the seed of Abraham and family of David. The modern Rationalists, in common with the unbelieving Jews of all ages, refuse to go further. They will not recognise in him more than man, maintaining with great confidence that superhuman dignity is never attributed to the Messiah, either in the law, or the prophets, or the psalms. It would be strange indeed if the fact were so. The disciples were slow of heart to receive any truth that happened to lie out of the line of their prior expectations,—any truth of which the faithful who lived before the Incarnation had had no presentiment; yet we know that they readily accepted the truth that Jesus was more than man. The Cross of Christ

* Copia quam inopia magis impedior. August. de Civitate Dei, Lib. xvii. c. 15.
was long an offence to them. It was not without a long struggle that they were constrained to acknowledge the abrogation of the Mosaic law and the opening of the door of faith to the Gentiles. But there is no trace of any similar struggle in regard to Christ's superhuman dignity. The moment Nathaniel recognised in Jesus of Nazareth the expected Redeemer, he cried out, "Rabbi, thou art the Son of God";* and, long before the close of the public ministry, Peter, in the name of all the rest, made the articulate profession of faith, "Thou art the Christ, the Son of the living God."† They believed him to be the Son of God, in a sense in which it would have been blasphemy to affirm the same of any mere man. Instead, therefore, of deeming it a thing incredible, or highly improbable, that intimations of Christ's superhuman dignity should be found in the psalms, we think it every way likely that they will be discoverable on a diligent search. In truth; they are neither few nor recondite. Take these three verses:

"Thy throne, O God, is for ever and ever: 
A sceptre of equity is the sceptre of thy kingdom."‡

"Jehovah hath said unto me, Thou art my Son; 
This day have I begotten thee."§

"Thus saith Jehovah to my Lord,  
Sit thou at my right hand,  
Until I lay thy foes, as a footstool, at thy feet."¶

I do not forget the attempts that have been made to put a lower sense on each of these passages. I do not think they are successful. But suppose it were admitted to be just possible to put on each of them, separately, a meaning that should come short of the ascription of superhuman dignity to the Son of David, we should still be entitled to deduce an argument in favour of our interpretation from the fact that, in so many separate places, he is spoken of in terms which most naturally suggest the thought of a superhuman person. From the exclamation of Nathaniel it is evident that this thought did suggest itself to

* John i. 49. † John vi. 69; Matt. xvi. 16.  
‡ Psalm xlv. 6. § Psalm ii. 7. ¶ Psalm ex. 1.
the Jews, before the veil of unbelief settled down upon their hearts in the reading of the Old Testament. The truth is, that, if a man reject the eternal Godhead of Christ, he must either lay the Psalms aside or sing them with bated breath. The Messiah whom they celebrate is fairer than the sons of men, one whom the peoples shall praise for ever and ever.* The ancient Jews understood the particular psalms now quoted to refer to the Messiah; and no one who heartily believes in the inspiration of the Psalter will be at a loss to discern in it more testimonies to the proper divinity of the Hope of Israel than could well have been discovered, before his incarnation and death lighted up so many dark places of the ancient Scriptures. It will be sufficient for our purpose to indicate a single example. The coming of Jehovah to establish a reign of righteousness in all the earth is exultingly announced in several lofty psalms. It may be doubted, indeed, whether the ancient Jews were able to link these to the person of the Messiah; but we are enabled to do it, and have good ground to know that it was of Him that the Spirit spoke in them from the first. The announcement is thus made in the Ninety-sixth Psalm:—

11. Let the heavens rejoice, and let the earth be glad;  
   Let the sea roar, and the fulness thereof.

12. Let the field be joyful, and all that is therein:  
   Then shall all the trees of the wood sing for joy

13. Before Jehovah: for he cometh, for he cometh to judge the earth:  
   He shall judge the world with righteousness,  
   And the peoples with his faithfulness.

We know whose advent this is. No Christian can doubt that the proper response to the announcement is that furnished by the Book of the Revelation, "Amen. Even so, come Lord Jesus."

It is undeniable that, in the recoil from Arian and Socinian error, Christ's people have sometimes failed to give due prominence in their thoughts to the truth of his Humanity. Not that they deny or altogether forget such a cardinal article of the faith; but they have too often been unwilling to accept

* Psalm lxi. 2, 17.
all that it implies, and have failed to appreciate the store of consolation treasured up in it for the many brethren of whom he is the First-born. The Eternal word truly came in the flesh. The Son of God became a man, taking to himself at once a true body and a rational human soul, with all the sinless infirmities proper to such a soul. The great Protestant divines have not hesitated to hold and teach that the child Jesus was really a child; a child in the unfurnished immaturity of his sinless mind as well as in the imperfect stature of his body; that there was room for instruction and for advance in knowledge and wisdom, as well as for growth in bodily strength: nor have they hesitated to take in its natural and obvious sense his own declaration, that, even after his measureless baptism of the Holy Spirit, he remained ignorant of the day of final judgment.* From error he was always and absolutely exempt; but his human soul was not omniscient. And his soul was subject to other human infirmities. It was not impassible, but, on the contrary, shrank from pain and death with the reluctance of an unsophisticated human nature. The Romish divines† have been accustomed to charge the teaching of the Reformers on this subject with a tendency to Arianism, and have laboured hard to explain away the texts which attribute to our Lord nescience and infirmity. But their error, although it might seem to be altogether on the safe side, has yielded fruits which show that there is no such thing as safety in error; least of all in error touching the Person of Christ. Explaining away the truth of Christ’s Humanity, they have affrighted trembling souls from His presence, and driven them to resort to the Virgin and the saints, in the hope to find in them intercessors “who can be touched with the feeling of their infirmities, having been in all points tempted like as they are.”‡

I mention these things here, although they may seem to be a digression, because I think they go far to illustrate the wonderful wisdom of God in the Christology of the psalms. These divine songs never swerve from the just line of truth in their

* See, for example, Calvin’s Notes on Mark xiii. 32 and Luke ii. 40, 52.
† Bellarmine de Christi Anima, chap. 1.
‡ Heb. iv. 15.
representations of the person of Christ. We have seen how copiously and loftily they celebrate his Superhuman Dignity, how unqualifiedly they crown him with the incommunicable name of God, how reverently they offer to him divine worship. Let the reader now remark how copiously they celebrate the other aspect of the Saviour's person. It was from one of them* that he took the title of Son of Man, which was so constantly on his lips when he was on the earth. What is of more importance, they bring him near to us. Without the slightest touch of that offensive familiarity which is apt to taint uninspired hymns when they celebrate the Incarnation and Humanity of the Lord, the psalms present to us the Man Christ Jesus with a boldness that has never been approached. In singing them we are permitted, like the doubting apostle, to satisfy ourselves, as by actual contact, that the Son of God has truly come in the flesh. We are permitted to handle the Holy One, to touch the print of the nails and the pierced side; we are even invited to feel the throbblings of the human heart within.

How wonderful, how inexpressibly wonderful, in this connection is the Twenty-second psalm! It is the voice of our Joseph, as with tears and sobs he makes himself known to his brethren! We learn from the gospel that, although the sentiment of Christ's heart from first to last was always the same—"Lo, I come to do thy will, O God"—although he never, even for a moment, refused to do or suffer any part of the Father's will, but kept his commandment, there was yet the shrinking of human infirmity from the agony and the death that were appointed to him. When the hour of his agony approached, he sought the company and sympathy of the disciples, earnestly pressing them to watch with him. When the great eclipse shrouded his soul in darkness on the cross, he expostulated with the Father on account of the hiding of his face. We learn from the gospel, moreover, that, in the midst of his sufferings, the mind of Christ was much occupied with thoughts about the joy that was set before him in the salvation of souls, and that the sure hope of that joy lent

* Psalm viii. 4.
him support under his heavy cross. A day or two before He died, he was heard to exclaim, "Now is my soul troubled, and what shall I say? Father, save me from this hour. But for this cause came I unto this hour. Father, glorify thy name. And I, if I be lifted up from the earth, will draw all men unto me." These things, I say, are all related by the evangelists. But it is in the Twenty-second Psalm that Christ brings us the nearest to him, and, withdrawing the vail from his heart, shews us the conflicting emotions of fear and hope, of human shrinking under present grief and of superhuman resolution to finish the work that was given him to do. It is a sight not to be contemplated without tears, nor without adoring admiration of the love which made him who was in the form of God willing to take on him the form of a bondman and to become obedient to this death.

1. My God, my God, why hast thou forsaken me?
(Why art thou) far from helping me, (from) the words of my roaring?
2. My God, I cry in the daytime, and thou answerest not;
And in the night season, and have no silence.
3. But thou art holy,
Inhabiting the praises of Israel.
   In thee did our fathers trust;
   They trusted and thou didst set them free.
5. Unto thee they cried and were rescued:
   In thee they trusted and were not ashamed.
6. But as for me, I am a worm and not a man,
   A reproach of men, and despised of the people.
7. All they that see me laugh me to scorn:
   They shoot out the lip, they shake the head, (saying),
8. "He cast himself upon Jehovah, let him set him free;
   Let him deliver him, seeing he delighted in him."

Where shall we find another such picture of the Man of Sorrows? Where shall we find such a vivid expression of the conflicting emotions which agitated his soul during the closing days of the week on which he was offered up? It is common

* John xii. 27, 32.
to say that the psalm sets forth Christ on the Cross; but a comparison with the narrative of the evangelists will shew that its scope is more extensive—that it expresses also the varying exercises of his soul during the days which preceded the crucifixion. It exhibits traits which are either omitted or more faintly given in the gospel; as when the Divine Sufferer calms his agitated soul by looking up and adoring the Holiness of the Father who was putting him to grief, and by calling to remembrance how the Old Testament saints, when they cried to God in trouble, were delivered, and found reason to encompass the throne of the Lord with the incense of their praises. If I do not greatly err, this affords us a deeper insight into the truth of our Lord's human nature than anything that the evangelists themselves have recorded, and more feelingly persuades us that we have an High Priest who is able to sympathise with our human infirmities, having been tried just as we are, except that he had no sin.

If the first part of this great Psalm delineates with incomparable vividness the Sufferings of Christ, the latter part brings before us with a vividness not much inferior his steadfast anticipation of the Glories that were to follow.

22. I will declare thy name unto my brethren,
   In the midst of the Congregation will I praise thee, (saying),
23. "Ye that fear Jehovah, praise him;
    All ye the seed of Jacob, glorify him;
    And stand in awe of him, all ye the seed of Israel.
24. For he hath not despised nor abhorred the affliction of the afflicted,
    Neither hath he hid his face from him:
    And when he cried unto him, he heard."
25. From thee shall be my praise in the great Congregation:
    My vows will I pay before them that fear him.
26. The meek ones shall eat and shall be satisfied,
    They shall praise Jehovah that seek him;
    Let your heart live for ever.
27. All the ends of the earth shall remember and turn unto Jehovah:
    All the families of the nations shall bow themselves down before thee.
28. For the kingdom is Jehovah's; 
   And he is the Governor among the nations.
29. All the fat ones of the earth shall eat and shall bow themselves 
   down; 
   All they that go down to the dust shall bend the knee before 
   him, 
   And he that cannot keep alive his soul.
30. A seed shall serve him;  
   It shall be told concerning the Lord to the generations (to 
   come).
31. They shall come, they shall declare his righteousness, 
   To a people that shall be born, that he hath performed (it).

Passing from the Person of Christ to his Work, we find that 
respecting this also the Psalms minister abundant aliment to faith. 
The Mediatorial Office is not, indeed, mentioned in express 
terms; the psalms are poetical compositions, and formal defini-
tions of doctrine would be out of place in them; but it is in the 
character of Mediator, the Father's righteous Servant, that 
Christ is everywhere set forth. The correspondence, in this 
respect also, between the Christ in the Psalter and the Christ 
of the Gospel, is perfect. In the gospel of John a saying is 
preserved, in which the Lord gives compendious expression to a 
sentiment that runs through all his sayings and discourses: 
"I came down from heaven, not to do mine own will, but the 
will of him that sent me; and this is the Father's will which 
hath sent me, that of all which he hath given me I should lose 
nothing, but should raise it up at the last day."*  

Christ's advent was in virtue of his being sent of God; his death also was in 
virtue of the Father's commandment that he should lay down 
his life, and so bring home the children of God that were 
scattered abroad. Such is the tenor of Christ's language in the 
gospel. Let his language in the Fortieth Psalm be compared 
with it.

6. Sacrifice and meat-offering thou didst not desire;  
   Mine ears hast thou opened;  
   Burnt offering and sin offering hast thou not required.

* John vi. 38, 39.
7. Then said I, "Lo, I come;
   In the roll of the Book it is written of me,
8. To do thy pleasure, O my God, I have desired,
   Yea thy law is within my reins."

This, without doubt, is the voice of the Beloved. Here, just as in the gospel, he represents himself as coming, not to offer the sacrifices which could never take away sins, but to do the Will of God, to fulfil the commandment he has received of the Father. The words might indeed have been used, in a certain sense, by David and the other godly kings. There were things written for them in the roll of the Book of the Lord—commandments which it behoved them to obey. But they apply far more perfectly to Christ. His whole mediation, culminating in his death, was a course of obedience to the will of the Father and of compliance with the prophecies of the Scripture. "I lay down my life," he said; "this commandment have I received of my Father."* And we have already remarked that, after his resurrection, He was accustomed to say, "Thus it is written, and thus it behoved (the) Christ to suffer."† The general conception of Christ's work, therefore, is identical in the New Testament and in the Psalms.

Even in regard to the details, the correspondence is remarkable. It would not be easy to name a single function of our Lord's office to which the harp of David is a stranger. From the earliest ages of the Christian Church, it has been customary for divines to distribute Christ's work into the three categories of Priesthood, Royalty, and Prophecy. It will be profitable to take notice how copiously all the three have been celebrated in the psalms.

The Priesthood of Christ, as it is here set forth, claims special attention. The great oracular announcement in the Hundred and tenth psalm, attributes this office to him more expressly than any other part of Scripture written prior to the crucifixion. The Messiah of the psalms is "a Priest for ever after the order of Melchizedek." As a Priest he must, of course,

* John x. 17, 18.  
† Luke xxiv. 46.
have somewhat to offer. Of what, then, is his sacrifice to consist? Do the psalms give any help in answering that question? The verses quoted a little ago, from the Fortieth, furnish a partial answer. They teach that the sacrifice to be offered by him was to be of a different order from those the smoke of which went up, year by year, continually, from the altar of burnt-offering on Zion. And this inference from one psalm is corroborated by those others which intimate that he was to be the Son of David, and was therefore to spring out of Judah, "of which tribe Moses spake nothing concerning priesthood." His sacrifice was to consist in obedience to the Father's commandment, as it was prescribed in the roll of the Book.

The doctrine of the Priesthood and Sacrifice of Christ, since it plainly includes the idea of a Suffering Saviour, is more vehemently denied than anything else included in the Christology of the Psalms. The Cross of Christ is still what it was at first, "to the Jews a stumbling-block and to the Greeks foolishness." A Messiah who shall conquer and reign, the Jews have always been ready to welcome; a Messiah who is a priest and a propitiation for sins, they will not hear of. This rooted prejudice of the Jews has been borrowed from them by the modern Rationalists. They are ready enough to go a certain length in the way of acknowledging the existence of psalms which express hopes regarding Christ as the King of Israel; but the existence of psalms predictive of his sufferings, they will by no means allow. This denial of predictive announcements of Christ's sacrifice is, I believe, quite universal on the part of the modern Jews and Rationalists. On the other hand, it is remarkable that the existence of such announcements is constantly affirmed both by the apostles and by Christ himself. The gospel which the apostles preached declared not merely the fact that Christ died for our sins, but that he did so "according to the Scriptures." They maintained that in preaching the cross they were "saying none other things than those which the prophets and Moses did say should come: that

† 1 Cor. xv. 3.
(the) Christ should suffer, and that he should be the first that should rise from the dead."* The reader will remember that this authoritative exposition of the drift of Old Testament prophecy, was formerly shewn to be capable of the most thorough vindication on the ordinary principles of exegesis. The Hundred and tenth psalm is demonstrably inapplicable to any but Christ, and it declares, in so many words, that he is a Priest; a royal Priest after the order of Melchizedek. From that one text, the writer of the Epistle to the Hebrews is able to establish the whole substance of the Christian doctrine of our Lord's vicarious death.

How far the Old Testament saints were able to penetrate the meaning of such psalms as the Hundred and tenth, it is hard to say. It was after the crucifixion that the disciples first began to understand these Scriptures perfectly. But care must be taken not to make too much of the imperfect conceptions of the ancient Jews on this vital subject. The amount of truth revealed in the Psalter is not to be measured by the amount of truth which readers were able to gather from it before Christ died. We must hold rather by the principle stated by the Apostle Peter, that it was to us, rather than to their contemporaries, that the holy men who wrote the ancient Scriptures ministered the truths there revealed. There are many things in the Psalter that the Psalmists themselves found it difficult to understand; many things, especially, respecting Christ, which were set forth, not so much for the use of the Hebrew Church, as for that of the Church universal. On this principle, we do not hesitate to connect the Twenty-second psalm with the Hundred and tenth.† From the former we learn that it behoved the Son of David to suffer the hiding of the Father's

† It may be true that, as some affirm, the ancient Jews failed to perceive the connection of the sufferings of Christ, spoken of in the former, with the Priesthood attributed to him in the latter. What of that? It would certainly be to our shame if we failed to understand those ancient predictions better than the saints who lived prior to their fulfilment. Both psalms delineate the same Saviour, and we are now in a position to combine them into one picture.
face, the scorn of foes, and the ignominy of the cross; and that the fruit of his sufferings was to be the conversion to God of the ends of the earth,—the providing of a feast for all nations, for the opulent of the earth and the neediest of those who descend to the dust. Let that prediction be well weighed, and it will furnish a key to the oracle in the Hundred and tenth psalm, regarding the Priesthood. Let the two psalms be taken together, and they will yield the whole substance of the great cardinal doctrine of Christ's Priesthood and Sacrifice. Every time we sing them, we are to call to remembrance "how that Christ died for our sins according to the Scriptures," and to animate one another to a cordial faith in the atonement thus accomplished.

Add the Sixteenth psalm to those two, and there will be a commemoration of the other great article of our faith regarding Christ—"that he rose from the dead the third day, according to the Scriptures." It is a psalm of David, and expresses the faith which possessed his soul in the prospect of death; but he looks beyond himself when he sings,—

8. I have set Jehovah always before me;  
Because he is at my right hand I shall not be moved.
9. Therefore hath my heart rejoiced and my glory exulted;  
Yea, my flesh shall dwell confidently.
10. For thou wilt not abandon my soul to Hades (the unseen world);  
Neither wilt thou suffer thy Favoured One to see the pit.
11. Thou wilt make me to know the path of life,  
Fulness of joy in thy presence,  
Pleasures at thy right hand for evermore.

The Apostle Peter, it will be remembered, quoted this psalm on the day of Pentecost, as an undoubted testimony to the resurrection of Christ.* We may place by the side of it that verse of the Hundred and eighteenth to which the same apostle referred in his speech before the Sanhedrim, a few days later. "The stone which the builders rejected is become the head stone of the corner."† In the beginning of the Passover week the daughter of Zion saw her king coming to her, in meek

state, riding upon an ass. He thus made a solemn offer of Himself to Israel. But the builders rejected Him. Thereupon God, raising Him from the dead, made Him the head of the corner; and the day on which He rose, the first day of the week, was thenceforward consecrated to be the Lord Christ's day,* the weekly memorial of his finished work. "This is the day which the Lord hath made; we will rejoice and be glad in it."

The Kingly office of Christ is celebrated in very many psalms. The harp of David was oftener occupied with it than with either the priestly or prophetical office. It will not be necessary, however, to say much on this head. Christ's proper kingdom is the Church; and most of the particulars relating to the kingly office will demand consideration when we proceed to elucidate the Doctrine of the Church as it is set forth in the Psalter. The following points claim notice here:—

In the first place, the psalms enable us to perceive that the Kingdom of Christ is founded on His Sacrifice. He must die before he can gather into one the children of God that are scattered abroad. The Cross comes first, then the Crown. For the suffering of death He is crowned with glory and honour.† This is implied in the relation which the latter half of the Twenty-second psalm sustains to the former half. The preaching of repentance and the remission of sins among all nations in the name of Jesus, which is so glowingly predicted in the one, is consequent upon the sufferings described in the other. The same connection is intimated even in the Hundred and tenth psalm; for the people of the throned Priest in Zion are described as crowding to him "in the beauties of holiness." They follow him to the field in sacred priestly attire, even the righteousness of saints. Their king is a priest, and they are a kingdom of priests, whom he has redeemed to God by his blood.

It is equally evident, from the psalms, that Christ's kingdom

* Rev. i. 10.  
† See John xi. 52; Heb. ii. 9.
must always reckon on encountering violent opposition. The decree is, "Rule thou in the midst of thine enemies." Never yet did Christ find men his friends. Inexperienced persons, who have newly come to know the grace of Christ, the excellence of his salvation, the pleasantness of his ways, have always been ready to indulge the generous anticipation that, when the gospel is plainly set before men, they will at once welcome it to their hearts. The picture of the advancement of Christ's kingdom which Christian poets have commonly drawn, represents it as a peaceful Progress along a way strewn with flowers—the holiday march of a royal train. Church history has a very different tale to unfold. Its pages are crowded with the record of a warfare that has never slept since the Church was launched on the waters. And it will not do to blame the historians for this, as if they had mistaken the accessories for the essential facts. The pencil of the Holy Spirit in the Apocalypse, in painting the fortunes of the Church during the Christian centuries, discloses, in like manner, to our sight a series of conflicts, fierce, often bloody, always trying to faith and patience. And when we go back to the Psalms, we find that they are pervaded with the same view of things. They are the songs of a Militant Church. The prescient Spirit of inspiration has framed them so as to harmonise with the predestined fortunes of the kingdom of Christ. Thus the Second psalm describes the nations and their rulers as taking counsel against God and his Christ, scornfully refusing to be bound by his law, and bringing down on themselves in consequence his iron rod. Even the Forty-fifth psalm, although it is a Nuptial Song and, for the most part, redolent of peace, contains a prayer to the King to gird his sword on his thigh and ride forth to conquer his enemies.

It needs hardly be added that the psalms, nevertheless, hold forth Christ as the Prince of Peace. His sword wounds that it may the more surely heal. If he rides forth like David, his right hand teaching him terrible things, it is that, like Solomon, he may rule in peace over a willing people. His arrows are sharp in the heart of his enemies, in order that he may recon-
cile them to God. The strifes and revolutions which follow the entrance of the gospel into a community, and which attend every notable advance it may subsequently make, have for their object the overthrowing of throned iniquities, and the gradual introduction of a reign of justice, in order that at length "the mountains may bring forth peace to the people, and the little hills by righteousness."*

The Prophetic office of Christ is not overlooked in the Psalter. Thus, in the Fortieth psalm, speaking by the mouth of David, he himself declares:—

9. I have published (the glad tidings of) righteousness in the great Congregation;  
Lo, my lips I do not refrain:  
O Jehovah, thou knowest it.
10. Thy righteousness have I not hid within my heart;  
Thy faithfulness and thy salvation have I declared.  
I have not concealed thy loving-kindness and thy truth,  
From the great Congregation.

These remarkable words of the great Prophet received a partial accomplishment in such sermons as the one which filled with astonishment the townspeople of Nazareth, amongst whom he had grown up; but their proper and full accomplishment is that which they are receiving year by year. In the gospel of Christ "the righteousness of God is revealed to faith."† Wherever that righteousness is faithfully declared, it matters not who the preacher may be, the message is Christ's, and it is to be received as his. In this connection, also, I may cite the classical text from the Twenty-second psalm (ver. 22):—

I will declare thy Name unto my brethren,  
In the midst of the Congregation will I praise thee.

Our Lord had these words in his heart when he said in the guest chamber, "I have declared unto them thy name, and will declare it."‡ They are a compendious summary of all he taught

* Psalm lxxii. 3.  † Romans i. 17.  ‡ John xvii. 26.
the disciples, and of all that he will continue by them to teach all generations. The drift of Christ's teaching is evermore to declare to men God's name; in other words, to set forth what they are to believe concerning God. But the peculiar glory of the psalmist's intimation of Christ's prophetical office lies in the golden words, "My brethren." Christ teaches, in the midst of the Church, not with the dazzling majesty of the Godhead, but in the milder radiance of the first-born of the many brethren. The words of the psalmist suggest, by contrast, the manner in which God's name was declared from Horeb, in the audience of the mighty congregation that filled the plain below. It was with thunder-peals, out of the thick darkness. The people found the weight of the glory insupportable, and entreated that Moses, their brother, might be constituted an internuntius to bear to them the word of the Lord. It was in allusion to that entreaty that, when Moses afterwards delivered the prediction respecting Christ, in which, for the first time, mention is made of his prophetical office, it ran in these terms: "The Lord thy God will raise up unto thee a Prophet, from the midst of thee, of thy brethren, like unto me;"* of thy brethren, so that his voice will not affright thee, any more than mine has done. In the Psalm, the prophet thus announced takes up the promise, and repeats it in his own person: "I will declare thy name unto my brethren."

One who would thoroughly enter into the fulness of these words must look beyond the formal teaching of the Lord Jesus—beyond those manifestations of the Father which took place by means of his sayings and discourses. No doubt, the grace of Christ's personal teaching is very precious. Listening to it, we appreciate the glowing description in the epistle to the Hebrews, "Ye are not come unto the mount that might be touched, and that burned with fire, nor unto blackness, and darkness, and tempest, and the sound of a trumpet, and the voice of words; which voice they that heard entreated that the word should not be spoken to them any more. . . . But ye are

* Deut. xviii. 15.
come unto mount Sion, and unto the city of the living God, the heavenly Jerusalem. . . . And to Jesus the mediator of the new covenant."*  Still, it was not by verbal declarations only, nor by them principally, that Christ manifested the Father. Rather, it was by shewing Himself. He is the Incarnate Son of the invisible God; and, wherever He went, they who had eyes to see saw in Him the glory of the only begotten of the Father, and, in that glory, the glory of the Father Himself. All effective, all saving knowledge of God is derived from the declaration of Him which has been made in the Person of Christ,—in his incarnation, and life, and sufferings, as well as in his spoken words. One who would know God's name must fix his eye on Christ. Christ on Olivet, weeping over Jerusalem, is a more perfect declaration of the mind and heart of God in regard to lost men, than could possibly have been made in words. He affirms of himself, "He that hath seen me hath seen the Father."† With such a fulness of meaning could he say, by the mouth of David, "I will declare thy name unto my brethren."

The Psalter, which sets forth so much truth respecting the Person and Work of Christ—truth more precious than gold, and sweeter than the honey-comb—is not silent respecting the bond subsisting between him and his people, the MYSTICAL UNION BETWEEN CHRIST AND THE CHURCH. When a prince sets his affections on a woman of lowly rank and takes her home to be his wife, the two are so united that her debts become his, his wealth and honours become hers. Now, that there is formed between Christ and the Church,—between Christ and every soul that will consent to receive him,—a connection, of which this most intimate of all natural relations is the analogue and type, we have already found to be not only taught in the Psalms, but to be implied in the very structure of many of them. He takes his people's sins upon him, and they receive the right to become the sons of God; the One Spirit of God

* Hebrews xii. 18, 19, 22, 24.  † John xiv. 9.
wherewith he was baptized without measure, dwells in them according to the measure of the grace that is given them. I will only add further, that this union, besides being implied in so many places, is expressly set forth in one most glorious psalm—the Nuptial Song of Christ and the Church—which has for its peculiar theme the home-bringing of Christ's Elect, that they may be joined to him in a union that shall survive the everlasting hills.

10. *Hearken, O daughter, and behold, and incline thine ear;*  
   *Forget also thine own people and thy father's house.*

11. *So shall the King desire thy beauty:*  
   *For he is thy Lord, and worship thou him.*

13. *All glorious is the King's daughter within the palace:*  
   *Her clothing is of wrought gold.*

14. *In needlework of diverse colours shall she be led unto the King:*  
   *In her train the virgins, her companions, shall be brought unto thee.*

15. *With gladness and rejoicing shall they be led,*  
   *They shall enter into the King's Palace.*
CHAPTER IV.

GOD AND THE SOUL.

A THOUGHTFUL person on taking up a religious autobiography, or a volume of sacred poetry, especially if they have come from a foreign country or a distant age, finds it a profitable and very interesting study to mark the distinctive features of the religious life expressed in them. In all ordinary cases, it is found that books of this sort furnish a more vivid and trustworthy representation of the piety of a given age and place, than works of a formally didactic character. In this connection also the Psalter possesses a singular value. In other parts of Scripture we are told much about godliness—its essential character, the dangers to which it is exposed, the truths which are its proper aliment; in this book we see godliness itself in living and powerful exercise. It is to be noted, moreover, that the devotional poetry here collected, while it undoubtedly expresses the genuine feelings of the several writers, was written under the special, preternatural impulse of the Holy Spirit; so that it is at once a just record of what godly men have felt, and an authentic intimation of the mind of God with respect to what we ought to feel.

Seeing, then, that we possess in the Psalms an authentic expression of genuine religion in all its manifold phases, I propose to devote some chapters to the illustration of the more characteristic features of the piety here set forth for our imitation. From this one portion of Scripture it will, I think, be possible to collect information with regard to every stage in the momentous history of Religion in the Soul. It will prepare
the way for what is proposed, if at present we take pains to ascertain certain fundamental principles which underlie the whole subject.

"Whom have I in heaven but thee? and there is none upon earth that I desire beside thee. My flesh and my heart faileth but God is the strength of my heart, and my portion for ever."* These heavenly words briefly express the kind of Personal Religion which has always animated the people of God. The sentiment uttered in them lies at the root of all genuine piety. Considering them attentively, we perceive that they proceed on the assumption of two doctrines, which must therefore be regarded as pertaining to the foundation of all living and effective religion. The one doctrine relates to the nature of God, the other to the nature of man. The one may be styled the doctrine of God's Personality; the other, the doctrine of the Divine Similitude in man. These two are, in the Psalter, what the two pillars that Samson grasped were in the temple at Gaza; on them the whole fabric rests. They are correlative principles; they stand or fall together; and there is not a psalm but implies them both. It will greatly facilitate our subsequent progress if we can attain a clear conception of them.

I. The doctrine of the Divine Personality is simply this, that the Living God, the Infinite Mind, is a Being who can say I AM, and to whom his creatures may reasonably say THOU;—a Being to whom it is not a vain thing for us to speak, as a man will speak to a friend whom he knows to be near. A very simple truth, level to the capacity of a child; so simple that it may seem trifling to announce it as one of the main pillars of Scriptural piety. For who is there (it may be said) that needs to be taught that Personality belongs to the nature of God?

The doctrine, blessed be God, is simple, and to those who have known the Scriptures from their childhood may well seem trivial. Nevertheless, a little reflection will show that it possesses all the significance we have claimed for it. It is a

* Psalm lxxiii. 25, 26.
doctrine which, although so evidently agreeable to the light of nature, has never been able to retain its hold on men's minds apart from the Scriptures, and especially (may I not add ?) from the Psalms. Those who have made the religious systems of the world their study, know that the personality of God finds no place in modern heathenism. The hoary systems which hold in bondage the educated minds of China and India are thoroughly pantheistic. Their God—if one ought not rather to say the vast company of their gods—is just another name for the universe. The soul of man is identified with the divine nature; it is the divine nature in a self conscious state. It is deemed absurd, therefore, to speak of holding communion with God, as a man may hold communion with his neighbour. Thus Personal Religion is annihilated. Among the ancient Greeks and Romans, this deadly leaven of Pantheism had not yet suppressed the traditions of a purer faith, but it was everywhere at work. The best of the philosophers spoke of the God, or the Deity, in a vague impersonal way. Their God was a nebulous abstraction, rather than a Personal Being whom one could speak to, whom one could love, into whose ear the burdened soul could pour its griefs. I the rather call attention to these facts inasmuch as, even amongst ourselves, the philosophical systems continually gravitate towards the same base notion of God. So long as the human heart "dislikes to retain God in its knowledge," Materialism and Pantheism will continue to make themselves heard in our schools of philosophy, and will make their influence felt far beyond, in the deadening of the sense of a personal God.

It would be instructive to mark how carefully the prescient wisdom of the Holy Spirit has framed the Scriptures to be, in all their parts, a powerful witness to the divine personality. The first sentence of the Bible is a proclamation of the truth that the world had a personal Creator; and the following verses explain the sense in which that announcement is to be understood. God created the heaven and the earth by his Word. "He spake and it was done;"† so that the creatures were not

* Romans i. 28.  
† Psalm xxxiii. 9.
emanations from the divine nature, but effects of the divine will,—the fruits of intelligence, and design, and counsel. It would be too wide a digression to follow out this line of remark here. I hasten, therefore, to observe, that the Psalter is the portion of Holy Writ in which the testimony to the divine personality is delivered with the most impressive force. The Psalms are the voice of the Church, addressing her Lord and pouring out her heart before him. Every one of them partakes of the nature of a prayer—a deliberate address to God, craving pardon, expressing gratitude, seeking light and help. In every one of them there is, therefore, a distinct profession of faith in God, as One who heareth prayer. Besides all this, the Psalter abounds in intimations of the divine personality, so boldly expressed, that, in the hands of uninspired writers, they could scarcely have failed to pass over into irreverent familiarity. Some striking examples of this will be cited immediately; in the meanwhile the reader may be referred to these:—"The Lord looketh from heaven; he beholdeth all the sons of men. He fashioneth their hearts alike; he considereth all their works. Behold the eye of the Lord is upon them that fear him, upon them that hope in his mercy." "The steps of a good man are ordered by the Lord; and he delighteth in his way. Though he fall he shall not be utterly cast down: for the Lord upholdeth him with his hand." "But he, being full of compassion, forgave their iniquity, and destroyed them not: yea, many a time turned he his anger away, and did not stir up all his wrath. For he remembered that they were but flesh; a wind that passeth away, and cometh not again." "I am poor and needy; yet the Lord thinketh upon me: thou art my help and my deliverer; make no tarrying, O my God."* The boon bestowed on the Church in such texts as these is quite inestimable. It is not merely that they teach the doctrine of the divine personality. They do more than teach doctrine. They take us by the hand, stir us up to remember God, conduct us into his presence, and enable us to commune with him.

* Psalm xxxiii. 13, 15, 18; xxxvii. 23. 24; lxxviii. 38, 39; xl. 17.
The class of texts of which a specimen has been given exemplify a remarkable feature of the Scriptural representations of God. I refer to what divines have been used to call the anthropopathy of Scripture,—that is to say, the free ascription to the Most High of human thoughts and feelings. This mode of representation being peculiarly frequent in the psalms, claims notice in this place; especially since a handle is often made of it by the impugners of the Scriptures. Anger, grief, scorn, jealousy, disappointment, gladness, the relentings of fatherly love, exultation in the successful accomplishment of great enterprises,—these and such like are feelings which, as they are found in us, are attended with inward tumult. They always betray infirmity, and often cause poignant sorrow. Now it is most certain that there is no infirmity in the Almighty; no grief in the supremely Happy One, the blessed and only Potentate. How, then, are we to account for the fact that these human feelings are, constantly and in the boldest way, attributed to him by the psalmists? That they are so can hardly have escaped the notice of any reader. Not to revert to the texts already cited, how common are such statements as these:—

"They provoked him to anger with their high places, and moved him to jealousy with their graven images. When God heard this he was wroth, and greatly abhorred Israel: so that he forsook the tabernacle of Shiloh." "He that sitteth in the heavens shall laugh: the Lord shall have them in derision." "The Lord awaked as one out of sleep, like a mighty man that shouteth by reason of wine." "O that my people had hearkened unto me, and Israel had walked in my ways! I should soon have subdued their enemies!" "Awake, why sleepest thou, O Lord? arise, cast us not off for ever. Wherefore hidest thou thy face, and forgettest our affliction and our oppression?"*

It is not difficult, I think, to perceive the design of the Holy Spirit in adopting so freely this boldness of speech respecting the divine nature. Nothing short of it would have sufficed to

* Psalm lxxviii. 58 ff; ii. 4; lxxviii. 65; lxxxi. 13, 14; xlv. 23, 24.
establish and maintain in men's hearts a real effective belief in the living God. The only personal intelligences that are familiarly known to us are our fellow men. We believe, indeed, in the existence of persons of a higher order than ourselves—the angelic spirits, "whose dwelling is not with flesh;" but we have the same difficulty in realising their existence as we have in realising that of God. We cannot attain a vivid conception of an angelic person, except by clothing him in the garb of our own nature. It is plain, therefore, that when the Most High condescends to show himself "in fashion as a man" (and this is precisely what he does in the texts in question) he adopts the only effectual way of enabling us to conceive of him as a person, and to speak to him as such. It is easy to stigmatise the language of the psalmists as rude, unphilosophical, unworthy of the divine majesty; but history proves that when men reject the guidance of this Scriptural mode of speech, they are obliged to forego all real salutary belief in God, all living communion with him.

I can imagine that this consideration may fail to satisfy some. They may say, "Utility is one thing, truth is another. You do not believe that God ever grieves, or laughs, or changes his mind, or falls asleep. Why, then, do you sing psalms that represent him as doing so? You have claimed for this manner of representation the merit of utility; but an honest man will not deal in falsehood in the hope that good may come out of it. From erroneous representations of the Godhead only mischief can come in the end." That is a way of looking at the subject which, I rather think, is not very uncommon. How is it to be met?

Well, we might call attention, in the first place, to the obvious fact that the same Scriptures which attribute to God the feelings of a man, are careful to intimate the qualification with which this is to be understood. If the Forty-fourth Psalm calls upon God as if he were asleep, the Hundred and twenty-first reminds us that "he that keepeth Israel shall neither slumber nor sleep;" and it is only captious readers who omit to read the one text in the light of the other. However, I will
not insist on this consideration, reasonable and just as it is. We are entitled to take higher ground. We hold that the representations of God which impart so much vividness and power to the Psalms rest on a far deeper wisdom, a far truer philosophy, than the vapid deism which ventures to condemn them. We hold that the reason why they are so useful is just because they are profoundly true. We admit, of course, that the Most High is infinitely superior to the infirmities of human nature. It is certain, nevertheless, that there must be in the divine mind thoughts and feelings analogous to those of which we are conscious in ourselves. Paley's famous argument, in which, from the traces of design in nature, he demonstrates the existence of an all-wise Designer, leads inevitably to this conclusion. The aptitude to be angry at the sight of base injustice, to relent over the tears of a sorely-chastised child, to rejoice in the successful accomplishment of great works, is no blemish in human nature, but a part of its glory. It is not the symptom of a morbid condition of our faculties, but rather the token of their healthful play. The Author of our nature must therefore be One who sympathises with those feelings; and we ought not to think it strange to find the psalmists declaring that he "rejoiceth in his works;" that "like as a father pitieth his children, so the Lord pitieth them that fear him;" that he "hateth all workers of iniquity."

It will surprise some to be told that this very argument is urged in the Psalter itself. It is very powerfully stated in a Psalm—the Ninety-fourth—which may most probably be ascribed to the age of the later kings, when righteousness was oppressed, and the oppressors pleased themselves with the thought that there was no Eye above to see, nor Hand to smite them. How does the psalmist deal with the atheistical imagination?

6. The widow and the stranger they slay,
And they murder the fatherless.

7. Yet they say, Jah seeth not,
Neither doth the God of Jacob regard it.

* Psalm civ. 31; ciii. 13; v. 5.
8. Understand ye brutish among the people;  
   And ye fools, when will ye be wise?
3. He that planteth the ear, shall he not hear?  
   Or he that formeth the eye, shall he not see?
4. He that chastiseth the nations, shall not he reprove,  
   (Even) He that teacheth man knowledge. *

As the mechanism of the telescope bears witness to the skill of the optician who devised it, so the eye and the ear bear witness, in their curious structure, to the existence of an intelligent creative mind—a mind that can see and hear. In like manner, the ethical faculty in man, his "knowledge" of evil and of good, concurs with the moral purpose discernible in the providential government of the nations, in bearing witness to the existence in God of a mind that hates all workers of iniquity and will not fail to punish their evil deeds. Let this argument be duly prosecuted, and it will lead to the conclusion that the bold anthropopathy of the Psalms rests on a profoundly true conception of the divine nature. The nature of God is the prototype of our own; so that the fittest language for expressing his mind is that which is furnished by the analogy of our own thoughts and emotions. By boldly making use of this language, the Psalter rescues from neglect and brings to bear upon the conscience a whole world of truth respecting God, which quite escapes the notice of those who have impugned it as unphilosophical.

There is yet another light in which this subject may be viewed, and it is, in some respects, the most satisfactory of all. The Lord Jesus, although he was in the form of God, took upon

* Our English Versions have been unfortunate in their rendering of the last verse of this passage. In the Prayer-book Version it is sadly mangled, standing thus, "Or he that nurtureth the heathen; it is he that teacheth man knowledge, shall not he punish?" The Authorised Version (following in this the Genevan) is more faithful to the Hebrew, but is marred by an ill-advised addition. "He that chastiseth the heathen, shall not he correct? He that teacheth man knowledge, shall not he know?" The point of the psalmist's argument is thus missed. The tenth verse is not a mere reiteration of the argument of the ninth. It carries the argument forward to another and higher stage.

—The ancient Versions (the Septuagint, Vulgate, and Jerome's translation from the Hebrew) give the correct rendering.
him the form of a servant, and was found in fashion as a man. And he teaches us to regard his human nature as the truest and most adequate representation of God that our minds are capable of apprehending. "He that hath seen me hath seen the Father."* Let that one saying of our Lord be duly considered, and it will be found to cast a flood of light on the Psalmist's habitual ascription to God of thoughts and feelings which might seem peculiar to human nature. It is true, the Incarnation did not take place till long after the Psalms were written; but that is no reason why we should refuse to read them in the light of the recorded life of the Incarnate Word. It was ever in the Person of the Son that God made himself known to the ancient saints. The appearances vouchsafed to the patriarchs and prophets took place in the likeness of the nature which was afterwards to be assumed. Thus the minds of God's people were familiarised with the notion of an Incarnation, long before the birth at Bethlehem; and we have already found, in the Psalms themselves, distinct references to the union of the two natures in Christ. Of this I am sure that, when at any time misgivings arise in the heart respecting the legitimacy or trustworthiness of the representations of God in the Psalter, the effectual way to remove them is to call to remembrance the Christ of the Gospels. A believer in the Son of God, when he remembers the burning invective which Christ launched against the Scribes and Pharisees, and the tears he shed on Olivet over the doomed city which was about to crucify him, will not find it hard to believe that the Most High is such a one as the psalmists describe, that he regards his proud enemies with anger, and that, when those to whom he has made overtures of reconciliation will not be reconciled, there is room in his heart for the thought, "Oh that my people had hearkened unto me."†

From what has been said, we may gather a lesson with respect to the practical use of the Psalms. Care ought to be taken not to yield to the dread of criticism from the side of

* John xiv. 9.  † Psalm lxxxi. 13.
"philosophical thinkers," so as to begin to explain away, or even to tone down, the passages which attribute anger and hope, grief and joy, to the Most High. That there is a difficulty in understanding how feelings of this kind can have a place in Him, is undeniable. But the life of Christ admonishes us that, in some shape, they are found in God; for He was touched with hope and anger, with joy and grief, and when we have seen Him we have seen the Father. There must be in the mind of God something analogous to the sentiments of a good man; thoughts and feelings which, although a perfect and adequate knowledge of them is beyond our reach, can be truly and profitably known to us from what passes within our own hearts, and from what is related of our Blessed Lord.

II. From these remarks on the Divine Personality, it is an easy transition to the kindred doctrine of the Divine Similitude in Man.

This also is a main pillar in the temple of scriptural devotion. It is plain that, when I lift up my heart to God in such songs as those of David and Asaph, there is a reciprocation of thought and feeling between Him and me. I am admitted into his presence; I have "access unto the Father." He vouchsafes to speak to me, and my heart is emboldened to respond. This is well brought out in the Twenty-seventh Psalm, "Hear, O LORD, when I cry with my voice. When thou saidst, Seek ye my face; my heart said unto thee, Thy face, LORD, will I seek. Hide not thy face far from me; put not thy servant away in anger." This (as it has been already remarked) involves a certain assumption regarding the divine nature; for there can be no personal communing with God unless he is a Personal Intelligence. Let it be now remarked, that there is a certain assumption regarding the Human Nature also. It is assumed that we, by our souls, are of kin to God;* so of kin to him as to be capable of knowing him and reciprocating his thoughts

* "They that deny a God destroy man's nobility; for certainly man is of kin to the beasts by his body; and if he be not of kin to God by his spirit, he is a base and ignoble creature."—Bacon's Essays, xvi.
and feelings. The psalm in question, and all the psalms, are built upon the truth which Paul preached to the Athenians on Mars' Hill, that men are the offspring of God.

It will not be a digression from our purpose to linger awhile on this topic.

It deserves to be noticed that the Bible, in its very first mention of man, announces this doctrine of the God-like quality of human nature. I refer, of course, to the remarkable record in the beginning of Genesis,* "And God said, Let us make man in our image, after our likeness . . . . So God created man in his own image, in the image of God created he them." It is to that primitive record that the evangelist Luke† carries us back, when he closes the genealogy of our Lord with the statement that Adam "was the son of God." In the work of the days many glorious creatures were formed: the sun, moon, and stars that adorn the heavens; the firm land and the ever-surging sea; the manifold forms of vegetable life that cover the nakedness of the earth,—from the grey moss, scarce distinguishable from the rock it grows upon, to the stately cedar; and, last of all, the innumerable tribes of living creatures that people the sea and the dry land. But when God surveyed all those creatures of his hand, he saw that there was not one capable of recognising the wisdom and the power by which they were framed. All were fair and good of their several kinds, but not one of them was sufficiently of kin to himself to be capable of knowing him, or of maintaining conscious intercourse with him. Seeing this, he pronounced his creative work incomplete, and said, Let us form a creature with whom we may have fellowship; a creature which may join this lower world to our throne by the bond of intelligent homage and free obedience. "Let us make man in our Image, after our Likeness."

This natural image of God in which man was created, and which is an indestructible property of the human soul, includes the fourfold capacity, of knowing God, of having intelligent communion with him, of freely serving him, and of enjoying

* Chap. i. 26, 27.  
† Chap. iii. 38.
aim. And the possession of such nobility of nature, the possession of a nature endowed with such high capacities, implies that it is not a matter of indifference whether they are dedicated to their appropriate object, or prostituted to the service of some creature. On the contrary, it is our chief end and felicity to seek God. "Man's Chief End is to glorify God, and to enjoy Him for ever." Of the numerous excellences that have endeared the Westminster Shorter Catechism to so many Churches on either side of the Atlantic, I am disposed to reckon this among the greatest, that it opens with such a solemn announcement of the nobility of our human nature. I know no other catechism that opens so grandly.* And it is interesting to observe (I do not know whether the authors of the Catechism had adverted to the circumstance) that this opening statement holds forth the very same idea of our nature as is expressed in the passage just quoted from the beginning of Genesis. The truth thus announced is worthy of the place it occupies at the threshold, both of the Bible and the Catechism; for it is the key to the whole scriptural doctrine of Sin and Redemption, of Heaven and Hell. We have been so framed that God alone is the adequate portion of our souls; in him alone can we find enjoyment for ever. It was a keen sense of this that drew from the greatest of the fathers the oft-quoted exclamation, "Thou hast made us for Thyself, and our heart hath no rest until it rest in Thee."† As the eye was formed for the light, the ear for sound, the palate for taste, the intellect for truth; and as those faculties can find pleasure only in their respective objects; so the soul was formed by God for himself, and can never know real or abiding enjoyment except in him.

If the doctrine of the Divine Similitude in man is the keynote of the entire Bible in its teaching respecting sin and

* The same fine chord is, no doubt, struck in the opening Questions of the Catechism drawn up by Calvin for the Genevan Church; and the Westminster divines may have had these in their eye. But their imitation (if it be such) is a mighty improvement on the original.

† Fecisti nos ad te, et inquietum est cor nostrum donec requiescat in te."—August. Confess. I. cap. i.
salvation, it is emphatically the key-note of the Psalms. Nothing, it is true, can exceed the humility which these lyrics breathe. The mind in which they admonish us to approach the Throne is a mind clothed with the profoundest reverence. “When I consider thy heavens, the work of thy fingers, the moon and the stars which thou hast ordained; what is man that thou art mindful of him? and the son of man, that thou visitest him?”* Still it is to be observed, that these expressions of reverent humility are so framed as to shew that the Psalmist holds fast the confident persuasion that man is remembered and is visited by his Maker,—that the little children of Sion, the very babes and sucklings, may without presumption expect God to listen to their praises and take pleasure in them. In the immediate context, moreover, there occurs one of the boldest declarations of the divine similitude in man, “Thou hast made him a little lower than God,† and hast crowned him with glory and honour” (ver. 5). The Psalms, as we shall see, bear terrible witness to the poisonous malignity with which our nature has become tainted, and the guilt resting on us in consequence; but they never deal in contemptuous disparagement. On the contrary, they admonish us of our original dignity, and invite us to seek its restoration; and they have ever been one of the principal levers by which the Holy Spirit has raised men’s

* Psalm viii. 3, 4.
† So it is in the original, and the literal rendering is preferred not only by Jerome (in his valuable translation from the Hebrew) and by all the modern critics, but also by Calvin and the Genevan (English) translators. Calvin explains that the reference is to “the creation of man in God’s image.” The less exact rendering given by the Seventy, and adopted from them in the Vulgate and our Authorised Version, is adopted also in the Epistle to the Hebrews, chap. ii. 7. “Thou madest him a little lower than the angels.” But it is to be observed, that “nothing in the way of argument is built (by the Apostle) on the difference between that version and the original; and the sentiment it expresses, so far as used by the Apostle, would not have been materially affected by a more literal translation.” (Principal Fairbairn, Typology, vol. i. 465.) Besides, Kœnig is no doubt right in thinking that “the word Elohim (God) must be taken here in that general sense in which it denotes the Godhead abstractly—the divine—and thus the supernatural generally.”—(Theologie der Psalmen, 326.)
thoughts and affections out of the dust, and directed them heavenwards.

These remarks on the two doctrines which sustain the devotional fabric of the Psalter, may be profitably followed up by calling attention to one or two passages, in which they stand forth with special prominence.

I invite the reader's attention, in the first place, to the latter part of the Seventy-third psalm. This is one of the twelve which bear the name of Asaph, and we have seen reason to conclude that it came from the pen of Asaph the seer, the great contemporary of David. The theme of it is one to which the prophets and psalmists often revert— the mystery of God's providence towards the righteous and the wicked. Asaph's faith staggers at the sight of the prosperity of the wicked. They get on in the world. Their forgetfulness of God seems no bar to their success. Beholding them, the saint is tempted to exclaim, My pains have been thrown away; verily I have cleansed my heart in vain, and washed my hands in innocency. Indeed, he is only restrained from venting these dark atheistic doubts by the apprehension that he may thereby undermine the dearest hopes of some whom he knows to be the generation of God's children. Such is his temptation. He recovers himself in some measure when, retiring from the din and glitter of the world, he goes into the sanctuary of God, and contemplates things as they appear in the serene light that shines there. He now perceives, what he had before failed to observe, the goal to which the prosperity of the wicked tends; how they are brought into desolation, as in a moment; how their felicity passes away like a dream and gives place to consuming terrors. But the consideration which banishes all envy from his heart is not that of the sad end of the ungodly. It is by a loftier thought that his heart is purged of the perilous stuff with which it is overcharged:

23. Yet as for me, I am continually with thee:
   Thou hast holden me by my right hand.
24. Thou shalt guide me with thy counsel,  
   And afterwards take me to glory.
25. Whom have I in heaven?  
   And besides thee I have no desire upon earth.
26. My flesh and my heart faileth:  
   The strength of my heart and my portion is God for ever.
27. For, lo, they that are far from thee shall perish;  
   Thou hast destroyed every one that goeth a whoring from thee.
28. But as for me—to draw near to God is good for me;  
   I have put my trust in the Lord Jehovah.
   That I may declare all thy works.

What a high estimate of the soul underlies these words with which the saint emerges from the cloud of his temptation! It is as if he had said, "Why should I envy because of the prosperity of the foolish? Why should my faith stagger because a full cup of temporal felicities is occasionally bestowed on them? Wealth, and health, and honour—these are not the objects in which it was ever intended that my soul should find rest and supreme enjoyment. God himself is my soul's fit portion. Seeing then that I have, in the Lord's great mercy, been made heir of that portion, I will make my boast in Him, whatever my earthly lot may be. I shall be satisfied with his likeness." It is thus that God would have us arm ourselves against unbelieving thoughts. It is well to be restrained from uttering unworthy suspicions of God by regard to the peace of our Christian acquaintances; it is better to curb envious thoughts by recollecting that godless prosperity is only a smooth road to hell; but it is best of all, to be raised above the reach of Satan's fiery darts by the assured persuasion that we possess in God's favour a portion that is richer than a thousand worlds.

Much akin to these exercises of Asaph are those of David in the Seventeenth psalm. Here again the theme is the mystery of God's providence. But there is this difference between David's temptation and Asaph's, that while Asaph's was caused merely by the sight of the prosperity of the ungodly, David's came in the sharper form of cruel treatment at their hands. The proud ungodly men of whom he speaks were his "deadly enemies." It is unnecessary here to trace the whole conflict of
his faith under this trial: the closing verses will bring out the truth of which we are in quest:—

13. Arise, O Jehovah, go forth to meet him, cast him down,
Deliver my soul from the wicked, by thy sword,—

14. From men, by thy hand, O Jehovah,—from men of the world,
Whose portion is in this life and whose belly thou fillest with thy treasures,
Who are rich in children and leave their abundance to their babes.

15. As for me, in righteousness I shall behold thy face;
I shall be satisfied, when I awake, with thy likeness.

What a world of meaning lies in these few words! They suggest views respecting God and the soul which have elicited expressions of astonishment even from rationalising critics.*

Let it be observed that David is not here complaining of the prosperity of the wicked which he so graphically describes. He has been lifted up to a height whence he can look on it with calm contempt. It is with commiseration, rather than envy, that he contemplates the gay abundance of his scornful enemies. For, after all, what is their condition? They are "men of the world," "their portion is in this life." Their portion is a lean and hungry one at the best—one that may fill the hand, but cannot fill the soul; and, such as it is, when they die they shall have to part with it for ever. How different the condition of the righteous! They are not "men of the world"; their citizenship lies elsewhere. Their portion is not an earthly and temporal one. It is God himself—the true and only adequate portion of souls created in the divine likeness. They live in the assured hope of beholding his face in righteousness, and of being satisfied with his image when they awake from the sleep of the grave.

The Sixty-third psalm is a sunnier one, although it comes from the darkest period of David's life. It embalms, for the solace of God's people, the sentiments that filled the Psalmist's heart when Absalom's revolt drove him into the wilderness of

Judah. In the day of his distress, his soul turns to God as his true Portion, and he finds ineffable enjoyment in communing with him.

1. O God, thou art my God, early will I seek thee:
   My soul thirsteth for thee, my flesh pineth for thee,
   In a dry and weary land where no water is.
2. So in the sanctuary have I gazed upon thee,
   To see thy power and thy glory.
3. Because thy loving-kindness is better than life,
   My lips shall praise thee.
4. Thus will I bless thee while I live,
   In thy name will I lift up my hands.
5. As with marrow and fatness shall my soul be satisfied,
   And with jubilant lips shall my mouth praise thee,
6. When I remember thee upon my bed,
   (When) I meditate on thee in the night watches.
7. For thou hast been a help unto me;
   And in the shadow of thy wings will I rejoice.
8. My soul followeth hard after thee:
   Thy right hand upholdeth me.

How deeply does the psalmist realise the presence of God—of a personal God—to whom he can speak, whom his heart can trust! How entirely is he persuaded that he may behold, and has often beheld, God's power and glory; and that this beholding of "the beauty of the Lord" is the proper felicity of his soul. It ought not to be thought to derogate from the sincerity or value of this profession of faith, or of the similar professions uttered in the psalms formerly cited, that they were elicited by sharp afflictions and temptations. When we are surrounded with the lights of a city, the stars are unheeded; but when those nearer lights are extinguished, the stars shine out and fill the eye with a superior delight. It is just so with God's people. In a prosperous time, earthly enjoyments are apt so to occupy the thoughts and affections as to turn them aside from God. He is wont, accordingly, to send on his people afflictions and temptations, in order to drive them in upon their proper portion, and thus to fill their souls with the deep and tranquil enjoyment which it alone yields.
It will sometimes happen—such is the perverseness of our nature—that when persons of a contemplative turn of mind ruminate long on these two doctrines which we have found underlying the devotional exercises of the Psalter, they get entangled in the meshes of a mischievous error. It would not be difficult to point to cases in which persons of undoubted piety, ruminating on the truth that the soul was made for God and that he is its proper portion, have dreamt of a kind of mystical absorption in God. It may be well, therefore, to mark the corrective which the divine wisdom has provided against that error. The Psalms, while holding forth God as the soul's portion, never fail to keep us in mind of the truth that he is also the soul's Ruler and Judge. "His eyes behold, his eyelids try, the children of men."* David was not suffered to dream of mystical absorption. He was taught by the Spirit to know and feel his position as a moral subject of the Lord, who had violated his law, and whose only hope was in the mercy of his Judge. "I acknowledge my transgressions: and my sin is ever before me. Against thee, thee only, have I sinned, and done this evil in thy sight: that thou mightest be justified when thou speakest, and be clear when thou judgest."†

* Psalm xi. 4.  
† Psalm li. 3, 4.
CHAPTER V.

THE PROGRESS OF RELIGION IN THE SOUL.

It was not without a purpose that more minds, and of greater diversity with respect to age, and gifts, and experience, were employed in the composition of the Psalter, than were set to work upon any other portion of the divine Word. The people of God were to be furnished with songs expressive of the immense variety of their religious experience;—a variety much greater than was ever embraced in the life of one individual, or even in the collective experience of a generation. And this purpose has been admirably accomplished. It would be difficult to point out any phase of true religion, any crisis or notable passage in the divine life, which has been altogether overlooked. This feature in the Psalter, which has so well fitted it to be the manual of devotion for the universal Church, has made it also a glass in which we can study the whole course of the religious life from its origin to its consummation—the Rise and Progress of Religion in the Soul.

This, accordingly, is what is now to be attempted;—not certainly to collect the whole doctrine of the Psalter on the subject of Personal Religion, for that would require a commentary on something like the half of the Psalms; but to collect and illustrate the principal topics, with the view of bringing out the information respecting them that is furnished by this part of the divine Word. Personal Religion being the specialty of the Psalter—its primary and characteristic element, we may be sure that the materials available under this head will be abundant and diversified.
I. For obvious reasons, it will be convenient to begin with the subject of Sin. It is a topic on which men have seldom wished to hear much said; but on which, for that very reason, God has taken care that all who come within the reach of his Word shall be plainly told the truth. The Law promulgated at Sinai had for its primary and characteristic design the declaration of the mind of God in regard to it. “By the law is the knowledge of sin.”* The oracles and ordinances communicated by Moses; the “Ten Words” spoken in the audience of the whole congregation from the flaming top of Horeb; the forty years’ discipline to which the people were subjected in the wilderness; the terrible office committed to them when they were made the executioners of the divine vengeance on the Canaanites;—all had, for their chief intention, the instruction of the Church in the knowledge of sin. By them God laboured to shew the people, and make them feel, the nature of sin, its sinfulness, its hatefulness to Him, and its certain fatality to them. Now, the Psalms are the Church’s response to God’s revelations. If, in the Law, God teaches the people the knowledge of sin; in the Psalter the people give expression to the convictions and feelings which that teaching has produced in them.

What then do we find on turning to this book? Long familiarity makes it a little difficult to distinguish its most prominent features; but I rather think that one of the earliest to strike a thoughtful person, on first becoming acquainted with it, would be the deep sense of sin which is everywhere perceptible. I am sure that in this respect it presents a marked contrast to all secular literature. Men of the world try to forget that there is such a thing as sin. To be sure, this cannot easily be done. In such a world as ours, the existence of moral evil forces itself on every one’s notice. It confronts us wherever we turn; and no man can help seeing it, or avoid the frequent mention of it. But men of the world, if they cannot overlook it altogether, try to forget it in its relation to God. They will speak of vice—

* Romans iii. 20.
that is, of moral evil considered as a violation of one’s own nature. They will speak of crime—that is, of moral evil considered as an offence against society. Moral evil, in its relation to a man’s self, and in its relation to his fellow-men, or to the society of which he is a member, they are willing enough to contemplate; but moral evil, in its relation to God, they resolutely ignore. The word sin, just because it denotes the God-ward aspect of moral evil, branding it as the transgression of divine law, is excluded from the vocabulary of certain philosophical schools, and is seldom heard from the lips of worldly men.

The depth of feeling that pervades the Bible on this subject comes out in many ways. The student is reminded of it by the very vocabulary of the Hebrew language. It has been remarked by those who have made the science of language their study, that in proportion as any particular set of ideas have laid hold of the mind of a people, the vocabulary will be found to have been enriched with terms expressive of the various aspects in which those ideas present themselves on being carefully considered. Now, it is a fact well known to the Hebrew student, that the vocabulary of the Psalmists is extraordinarily copious in terms relative to sin,—terms expressive of its nature, its turpitude, its folly, its guilt; and that this copiousness extends also to the terms which denote its pardon, its subjugation, and the removal of its stain. Modern translators find it no easy business to discover, even in the most copious languages of Christendom, terms to match those of the Hebrew original. Thus the most modern of the historical sciences is able to read, in the assortment of words that are found marshalled in the Hebrew Dictionary, an unequivocal testimony to the truth that by the Law of Moses the Holy Spirit had effectually convicted the Jewish Church of sin.

A more obvious token of the same thing is seen in the frequency with which the subject comes up. Sin, either as a present burden weighing down the soul, or as a burden that has been removed by the mercy of God, is a theme of perpetual recurrence. We everywhere meet with notes such as these,
"We have sinned with our fathers, we have committed iniquity, we have done wickedly." "Enter not into judgment with thy servant, for in thy sight shall no man living be justified." "Blessed is he whose transgression is forgiven, whose sin is covered; blessed is the man unto whom the Lord imputeth not iniquity, and in whose spirit there is no guile."* And it is to be observed that, while the other aspects of moral evil are by no means forgotten or made light of, its God-ward aspect is so earnestly contemplated that the others seem occasionally lost to the view. Every other consideration is swallowed up in the thought that it is a wrong done to the majesty and loving-kindness of God. What a touching example of this is furnished by the Fifty-first Psalm! In his great transgression, David had sinned against the nation, against his own family, against Uriah and Bathsheba, against his own body; and the thought of those aspects of his great offence would often come up in his mind, and swell the torrent of his anguish; but in the first agony of his repentance, every other thought was swallowed up in this, that he had sinned against God. "Have mercy upon me, O God, according to thy loving-kindness: according unto the multitude of thy tender mercies blot out my transgressions. For I acknowledge my transgressions: and my sin is ever before me. Against thee, thee only, have I sinned, and done this evil in thy sight: that thou mightest be justified when thou speakest, and be clear when thou judgest."† This is the inevitable consequence of that living recognition of a Personal God, which (as we have seen) is such a characteristic feature of the Psalms. When God, instead of being absent from all our thoughts, comes to be feelingly remembered, the effect will assuredly be, that in us, as in the Psalmists, the aspect of moral evil which we are naturally most inclined to forget will so occupy our minds as to cast every other into the shade.

And this, let it be noted by the way, furnishes a criterion by which true repentance may be distinguished from its many counterfeits. There is a certain feeling of remorse or shame

* Psalm cvi. 6; cxliii. 2; xxxii. 1, 2.
† Psalm li. 1, 3, 4.
which detected sin always produces. But there is nothing divine or gracious in that feeling,—nothing that brings with it salvation from the sin. It may be only "the sorrow of the world which worketh death." The saving grace of repentance has always chief respect to God: it is what the apostle* describes as "godly sorrow," "sorrow in relation to God." It utters itself in words like those of the prodigal,† "Father, I have sinned in thy sight."

As a rule, it will be found that it is actual transgressions—these as distinguished from corrupt habits of soul—which the Psalmists have in view when they speak of their sins. Their conscience is principally occupied with the thought that they have, by innumerable transgressions, violated the law, and have thus incurred its just condemnation. But, occasionally, we see them going deeper into the matter, and lamenting the deep corruption of nature out of which their transgressions flow as from a bitter poisonous fountain. They not only confess themselves to be sinners, in the superficial and obvious sense which must be admitted by all in whom the belief in God is not utterly dead; but they distinctly acknowledge that their very nature has been, from their birth, infected with a deep malignity. This doctrine of Original Sin is one that has been as often rejected, and as bitterly reviled, as any in the circle of revealed truth. That human nature has, in all men, become depraved; that this depravity is total, insomuch that men, in a state of nature, are disabled from doing anything spiritually good; above all, that this total depravity has been the common property of the race ever since the day that Adam fell, and that it is a heritage transmitted to all mankind as the penal consequence of their apostacy from God in the person of their progenitor and representative;—these articles, which together make up the orthodox doctrine of Original Sin, have been regarded with special hostility by the enemies of revealed truth, and have generally been among the first to be explained away or repudiated by individuals and Churches, when they begin to

* 2 Cor. vii. 10.  
† Luke xv. 18.
apostatize from the doctrines of apostolical Christianity. It is well known that, among those who admit that "all have sinned and come short of the glory of God,"* there are not a few who look upon sin as consisting only in particular transgressions or, at most, in bad habits that have gradually grown out of those transgressions. Total depravity they will not admit; and as for the doctrine that sin is in the nature, that it is born with us, being an heirloom which the whole human family inherit from their first head, the scorn with which they reject it has no bounds. They rail upon it as a dogma, woven in the loom of the Schoolmen or of the early Fathers, but destitute of solid support in Scripture and repudiated by the enlightened conscience. It would, of course, be too long to set forth the Bible proof of the doctrine in this place; but I think it important to observe that in the Psalter it is quite distinctly acknowledged.

It is not meant, of course, that the doctrine of Original Sin is anywhere formally stated or reasoned out in this book. The Psalms are not doctrinal treatises. There is no express mention either of the first transgression, or of the manner in which the fortunes of the race were affected by that act of apostasy. Curiously enough, the name of Adam is scarcely once mentioned in the Old Testament† after the first five chapters of Genesis, so that we need not marvel at its absence from the Psalms. But the whole substance of the doctrine of inborn depravity finds here an articulate echo. Whatever else God's people failed generally to understand and receive, there was plainly no failure either to perceive the drift of His testimony regarding our fallen state or to acknowledge its truth. It is remarkable that when the apostle sets himself, in the epistle to the Romans, to collect and exhibit the Bible texts which bear witness to the

* Romans iii. 23.
† It is found in our English version in three texts only; Deut. xxxii. 8, Job xxxi. 33, and 1 Chron. i. 1. In the last of these, the name merely stands at the head of the long genealogical table; and, in the two former, it is doubtful whether the word is really the proper name, or ought not rather to be translated "man," as it usually is in the Old Testament. It is certainly surprising that the name and history of the father of the race should not have been oftener mentioned in the Hebrew Scriptures.
lost and helpless condition of mankind, by reason of sin, the
proofs he cites are, with one exception, taken from the Psalms.*
He had a purpose in resorting to this book, in particular, for
arguments in support of the truth in question. No doubt,
texts even more explicit in their testimony might have been
found in other parts of the Old Testament; but the apostle
seems to have felt that a singular importance attached to those
he cites from the Psalmists. Nor is it difficult to discover the
reason of the selection. The psalms are the Voice of the
Church, as well as the Voice of God’s Spirit. Accordingly,
when the subject about which they bear witness happens to be
one that falls under the eye of men’s own consciousness, their
testimony is not one but manifold. Borrowing the language of a
well known text† one might say that in the case supposed, “The
Spirit beareth witness along with the spirit of God’s people.”
Double weight attaches, therefore, to the affirmations made in
the psalms respecting our sinful and lost condition, for in them
the truth is affirmed by the concurrent testimony, first of the
Spirit of inspiration speaking in the holy Psalmists, and then
of the innumerable multitude of the godly who, during so many
generations, have appropriated the confessions of the Psalmists,
and addressed them to God as the expression of their own
heartfelt conviction.

The apostle’s longest quotation is from the Fourteenth
Psalm, which I shall therefore set down here entire:—

1. The fool hath said in his heart, There is no God.
   Corrupt, abominable are they in their work;
   There is none that doeth good.

2. Jehovah looked down from heaven upon the children of men,
   To see if there were any that had understanding that sought
   after God.

3. They have all turned aside, together are they become filthy;
   There is none that doeth good, not even one.

4. Have they no knowledge, all the workers of iniquity,
   Who eat up my people, as they eat bread,
   (And) on Jehovah do not call?

* Romans iii. 10-18; compared with Psalms xiv. 1-3, v. 9, cxl. 3, x. 7,
  xxxvi. 1.
† Romans viii. 16.
5. There were they in great fear;
   For God is in the generation of the righteous.
6. The counsel of the afflicted ye put to shame,
   Yet Jehovah is his refuge.
7. Oh that the salvation of Israel were come out of Zion!
   When Jehovah bringeth back the captivity of his people,
   Jacob shall rejoice, Israel shall be glad.

It is the first three verses that are cited by the apostle in support of the doctrine that "both Jews and Gentiles are all under sin," and that "the whole world is become guilty before God." And certainly the terms applied by the Psalmist are quite as universal in their sweep as those of the apostle. Indeed this very fact, that the terms are so sweeping, has been urged as a reason why some construction less severe should be put on the psalm. "The Psalmist (it is argued) cannot mean that all men, without exception, are such as he describes. For we know that, in fact, they are not so. There is a congregation of the righteous. In the worst times, God has his seven thousand who abide faithful to him. And even beyond that circle there is much virtue to be found, much civil righteousness, much beautiful natural affection." The objection is plausible; nevertheless the apostle's interpretation is the only one that will stand. No doubt there is a congregation of the godly on earth; but they are what they are, not by nature, but by the grace of God; so that their godliness does not avail to mitigate our judgment regarding fallen human nature. And as for the natural virtues that still adorn the world and claim the admiration of men, they are vitiated before God by this, that there is no regard in them to his will. The gravamen of the Psalmist's indictment against natural men is, that "they do not seek after God."

This one passage is sufficient to demonstrate Original Sin. For if all men, everywhere and always turn away from God till his grace recover them, there must be some reason for their doing so. A constant event indicates a law of nature. There must be in mankind a deep malignity of nature, an inborn ungodliness of heart. The existence of this innate depravity is more distinctly asserted in the Fifty-eighth psalm:
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3. The wicked are estranged [turn aside] from the womb: They go astray from their birth, speaking lies.
4. Their poison is the poison of a serpent: They are like a deaf adder that stoppeth her ear;
5. Which will not hearken to the voice of enchanters, Of a charmer, charming never so wisely.

Commentators are not agreed whether these words are spoken of mankind in general, or only of the worse sort of men. But, in either case, their testimony is unequivocal, to the effect that there is such a thing in men as inborn depravity, corruption of nature, a headlong tendency to sin brought from the very womb.

There is a feature, I confess, in the severe testimonies we have quoted which makes it comparatively easy for any one to say Amen to them. They are in the third person. A man may, with a dry cheek and unbroken heart, confess other people's sins, or even the inborn depravity of the race; and there is too much confession of sin that goes no further. But the Psalter contains other and more trying testimonies. Thus the anonymous Hundred and thirtieth Psalm opens with a cry that comes from the very heart: "Out of the depths have I cried unto thee, O LORD. Lord, hear my voice; let thine ears be attentive to the voice of my supplications. If thou, LORD, shouldest mark iniquities, O Lord, who shall stand?" Here the penitent expresses the heart-felt conviction that there is on every soul of man such a burden of sin as only God's mercy can remove; and this he speaks, not in mitigation of his own share in the general sin, but as the aggravation of his dismay. The Fifty-first Psalm is another of the same class, and the most remarkable of them all. We know well both who the writer was and the occasion on which it was composed. Notice has already been taken of the way in which it brands sin as an offence committed against the majesty of God. That was the royal penitent's first thought. From it he was led on to look into his heart—to scrutinize it by the light which his glaring transgression had thrown into its depths. The result of the scrutiny he records, first, in the sorrowful confession, "Behold, I was shapen in iniquity, and in sin did my mother conceive me;" and then, in the prayer that follows:
Create in me a clean heart, O God; and renew a right spirit within me.” He saw that his transgression sprang from the root of a depraved nature. We may well believe that, in some sense, he knew the doctrine of original sin before. He had meditated much on the spiritual import of the rites prescribed in the law, and it is scarcely possible that he could have failed to decipher the testimony to inborn depravity which God had inscribed on some of them. The ordinance of Infant Circumcision was a very plain declaration that children, even the children of God’s people, are, by nature, uncircumcised in heart: and that authoritative declaration was made yet more emphatic by the law which debarred the Hebrew mother from the sanctuary for eight days after her child was born, and prescribed lustrations for the removal of the taint contracted in child-bearing.* However, to discover a doctrine in the Bible is one thing; to find the truth of it in one’s own heart is another. But the Fifty-first psalm shews that David had been enabled to do both. The humbling truth which he had before read in the Law, he now read in his own heart. He got such a sight of human depravity —of depravity inherent in the very nature—born with the man into the world, and so radical as to render necessary the creation of a new heart—that it came upon him with something of the force of a new discovery. And a hundred generations of God’s people have repeated his confession, as a just and sober expression of what they have found to be the truth in their own case.

Before passing from this topic, I am anxious to observe that there is an intimate, although much overlooked, connection between it and the doctrine of the Divine Similitude in Man, elucidated in the preceding chapter. I do not hesitate to say that a principal cause of some men’s unwillingness or inability to accept the plainly revealed doctrine regarding our hereditary and total corruption of nature, is to be found in the wretchedly low idea they have formed respecting the proper dignity of man. I am well aware that this is not their own way of explaining the matter. On the contrary, they imagine themselves peculiarly

* Leviticus xii.
the advocates of human nature, and continually deride the low, unworthy, uncharitable views of it which (as they suppose) characterise those who adhere to the old evangelical opinions. But they have mistaken. The real difference between them and us relates to the answer to be given to the question, What is the Chief End of Man?—that is to say, What is the true idea of human nature? For what did God make man? They do not attempt to answer that question; but their whole way of thinking is based on the assumption that man's chief end is to be found in the practice of the social virtues,—that if a man be just in his dealings, if he be a kind neighbour, a generous friend, warm-hearted and dutiful in the circle of his own family, he is entitled to be looked upon as a good man and is well-pleasing to God. Well, if that idea of human nature were the right one, I own that the doctrine of Original Sin might reasonably enough be discarded. Judged by that standard, human nature is not utterly depraved. There is much civil righteousness amongst natural men, much neighbourly kindness, much domestic affection. But the standard is false, and false because base. It falls infinitely short of the true idea of our nature. The Psalmists believed that man is of kin to God, and that his chief end is to know, and serve, and enjoy God. It was precisely because their minds were possessed with such a lofty conception of God's intention in making man, that they felt so keenly the guilt, the degradation, the helpless impotence of their fallen condition. Let a man once be awakened to a just sense of his chief end, and nothing more will be needed to make him feel, like the Psalmists, that he has sinned and come short of the glory of God, and that alienation from God is rooted in his very nature.

II. Passing from the subject of sin, let us consider what the Psalter teaches respecting the divinely provided remedy.

1. It distinctly, and with assured persuasion, celebrates the truth that "there is mercy with the Lord, and plenteous redemption." These are the words in which one of the Psalmists invites Israel to "hope in the Lord;," and before
giving utterance to them he makes a profession of his own faith in the comfortable truth on which he encourages others to lay hold: "There is forgiveness with thee, that thou mayest be feared."* These are very simple statements; but they are more precious than gold, and sweeter than the honey-comb. Among the heathen there were of old, and there still are, instances of individuals smitten with convictions of sin, fallen into the depths, and crying out for light and deliverance. But they possessed no such revelations of God's willingness to forgive as might have enabled them to find rest in the comfortable persuasion expressed in the Psalmist's words. Being "without Christ, and strangers from the covenants of promise," the heathen "had no hope, and were without God in the world."†

It would be interesting to inquire by what means the Psalmists reached the clear discovery of God's willingness to forgive which they manifestly had made. I believe that the true explanation is the one suggested by the terms used by the apostle, in that description of the heathen world from which I have just quoted. The psalmists belonged to the Commonwealth of Israel, whom God had chosen to be the depositaries of his oracles and ordinances, and therefore, unlike the heathen, they possessed the covenants of promise and were not without Christ. They were familiar with the Name of the Lord, which was proclaimed in the hearing of Moses on the Mount: "The Lord, the Lord God, merciful and gracious, long-suffering, and abundant in goodness and truth, keeping mercy for thousands, forgiving iniquity, and transgression, and sin, and that will by no means clear the guilty."‡ Knowing that joyful sound, they walked in the light of God's countenance. They had diligently weighed the promise respecting the seed of the woman and Redeemer of Israel. Moreover, they witnessed continually those sacrifices and manifold lustrations which went on in the temple,

* Psalm cxxx. 4, 7.
† Eph. ii. 12. The hymns of the Rig Veda, as translated by Max Müller, remarkably illustrate both parts of the above statement. There are touching confessions of sin and a certain "feeling after God," but there is no assured knowledge of pardon.—See the specimens given in Müller's Chips from a German Workshop, I. pp. 39-41.
‡ Exodus xxxiv. 6, 7.
THE PROGRESS OF RELIGION IN THE SOUL.

by God's command; and might well perceive that the intention of them was to represent the making satisfaction for sin, the pro-
pitiating of God's just anger, the forgiving of men's offences, the
purification of their souls from the taint of sin. No doubt, the
purpose of God in the Levitical ordinances was constantly
overlooked or misconstrued by the self-satisfied and impenitent
multitude. The example of the Pharisees and the Sadducees
shows how impenetrable it was to persons of a self-righteous or
a coldly secular spirit; and many seem to think that the
Hebrew Commonwealth was made up of Pharisees and
Sadducees. But the Psalms tell another tale. They show
that, from generation to generation, the Spirit of God went on
convincing men of sin; and that, when men were brought to
repentance, the oracles and ordinances of the Lord were seen to
be radiant with evangelical light. It would have been a
pleasant study (if our space had permitted) to gather up the
covered allusions to Levitical rites or ancient predictions which
are scattered up and down in the Psalms, and to mark the
success with which the Psalmists have been enabled to
penetrate the mind of God in them. The following may serve
for specimens of the kind of allusions I have in view:

"Wash me thoroughly from my guiltiness,
And cleanse me from my sin."

"Purge me with hyssop, and I shall be clean:
Wash me, and I shall be whiter than snow."*

"Iniquities are too strong for me:
As for our transgressions, Thou shalt make atonement for them."†

2. If the Psalter is unfaltering in its recognition of the truth
of redemption, it is no less so in what it teaches respecting the
manner in which the sinner becomes interested in that redemp-
tion. It is told of Luther, that one day being asked which
of all the Psalms were the best, he made answer, "Psalmi
Paulini," and when his friends pressed to know which these
might be, he said, "The 32d, the 51st, the 130th, the 143d.
For they all teach that the forgiveness of our sins comes, without
the law and without works, to the man who believes, and

* Psalm li. 2, 7.  † Psalm lxv. 3.
therefore I call them Pauline Psalms; and when David sings, 'There is forgiveness with thee, that thou mayest be feared,' this is just what Paul says, 'God hath concluded them all in unbelief, that he might have mercy upon all' (Rom. xi. 32). Thus no man may boast of his own righteousness. That word, 'That thou mayest be feared,' dusts away all merit, and teaches us to uncover our heads before God, and confess gratia est, non meritum; remissio, non satisfactio, it is mere forgiveness, not merit at all.'*

This judgment of the great Reformer is just. There are psalms that breathe the very gospel which Paul loved to preach. The term "faith" may hardly be found in the Psalter: one may search in vain for such a formula as that which the great apostle so much delighted to employ, "By grace are ye saved, through faith; not of works, lest any man should boast."† But the sentiment which finds its dogmatic expression in that formula pervades the whole of the psalms, and is especially prominent in those deeply experimental ones which Luther enumerates. It would not be difficult to set forth, in the form of a collect gathered out of them, a complete answer to the question of questions, "What must I do to be saved?" and it would be found to correspond in its whole substance to the answer which the apostles were wont to give.

"Enter not into judgment with thy servant; for in thy sight shall no man living be justified." "Thou desirest not sacrifice, else would I give it; thou delightest not in burnt offering: the sacrifices of God are a broken spirit." "There is forgiveness with Thee." "I acknowledge my sin unto thee, and mine iniquity have I not hid. I said I will confess my transgressions unto the Lord, and thou forgavest the iniquity of my sin." "I wait for the Lord, my soul doth wait, and in his word do I hope."‡ Could words more sweetly express the way of a sinner's acceptance with God? When the sinner, deeply convinced of sin, makes the discovery that there is mercy for sinners in God and plenteous redemption, and is thus moved to repair to him with humble confession and hope in his mercy, his sin is forgiven;

* The Table Talk, as cited by Delitzsch (at Psalm cxxx).
† Eph. ii. 8, 9. ‡ Psalms cxliii. 2; li. 16; cxxx. 4; xxxii. 5; cxxx. 5.
and if the inward assurance of his acceptance be not immediately vouchsafed, he is encouraged to wait for it,—to wait for it with hopeful, ardent expectation, as the watcher waiteth for the morning.

III. It remains that we consider, in the last place, the view which the Psalter gives of THE CONDITION OF THOSE WHO HAVE EMBRACED THE DIVINELY PROVIDED REDEMPTION. In other words, What are the characteristics of God's people, and of what sort is the progress of religion in their souls? It is on this head that the testimony of the Psalms is especially copious. They are the songs of God's redeemed, and furnish the most perfect reflection of the whole life of faith. The rays of light which they reflect on the condition of the soul without God, and even those which they throw on the great spiritual revolution in which the sinner, smitten with repentance and turning to the covenant, embraces the promise, confesses his sin, and finds mercy, are not to be compared to the flood of illustration they shed on the condition and manifold experience of the forgiven and regenerated soul. I can only touch on the more prominent and important particulars.

1. God's people are justified by their faith. The great evangelical doctrine of justification by faith, the central doctrine of the gospel which the apostles preached and the reformers set forth afresh, underlies all those Psalms (and they are many) in which the saints express their tranquil assurance of God's favour. It was because they were justified by faith that they had peace with God and were able to rejoice in hope of his glory. But it is not by implication only that this cardinal truth is taught. When the apostle, in the Epistle to the Romans, collects the testimony of the Old Testament to his doctrine, the second passage he brings forward is taken from one of the Psalms,—and it is one of the four which Luther so felicitously entitled the Psalms Paulini: "To him that worketh not, but believeth in him that justifieth the ungodly; his faith is counted for righteousness. Even as David also describeth the blessedness of the man unto whom God imputeth righteousness without
works, saying, Blessed are they whose iniquities are forgiven."

The quotation is from the Thirty-second Psalm:—

1. Blessed is he whose transgression is taken away, whose sin is covered:
2. Blessed is the man unto whom Jehovah imputeth not iniquity:
   And in whose spirit there is no guile.

The apostle's way of interpreting the text is remarkable. Finding David celebrating the non-imputation of iniquity, he construes this to mean the imputation of righteousness. Some have made bold to challenge the legitimacy of the construction, and have contended that the apostle quotes David's words by way of accommodation. But the interpretation is strictly correct. For what are the sins whose non-imputation is so gratefully celebrated? Are they the man's positive transgressions only? his sins of commission? That cannot be. For in that case the non-imputation would still leave the man under the ban of God's holy law. A sin of omission may sink a soul in perdition as surely as a sin of commission. "Inasmuch as ye did it not," will be the word of condemnation to many in the great day.† It must therefore be the non-imputation of all sins, of either kind, that David celebrates. Now, if God impute to a man neither his transgressions of the law, nor his omissions of duty, he treats him as a man who has fulfilled all righteousness; which is just to say, that he imputes to him righteousness without works.‡

And this brings out very clearly the nature of the benefit which the Scriptures celebrate under the title of Justification. It is forgiveness, and it is something more. When a pardon comes down from the Crown to some condemned felon, it cancels the sentence and opens the prison door; but there its effect ceases. It does not restore the wretch to his former standing in society. He is a marked man for life. Very different is the effect of the pardon God bestows on those who, trusting in his mercy, confess their sins. They are justified by their faith.

* Rom. iv. 5, 6. † Matt. xxv. 45. ‡ Compare Chalmers, Lectures on Romans, at chap. iv. 6.
For Christ's sake they are treated as righteous persons,—as persons who had perfectly obeyed the law. God imputes to them righteousness, even the righteousness of Christ in whom they trust. Well, it is to be observed, that although the ground of justification is not plainly declared in the Psalms,—could not be plainly declared till Christ died,—the truth of justification is distinctly revealed. And, as I said before, this truth, which comes up in the shape of a clear articulate statement in the Thirty-second Psalm, underlies all the rest. The voice which makes itself heard in the songs of God's Israel, is not the voice of a prodigal who has been forgiven merely, and suffered to take a place among the servants of his father's house. It is the voice of a son, rejoicing in all the inexpressible joys of sonship and compassed about with songs of deliverance. Thus David sings in the Hundred and third Psalm:—

1. Bless Jehovah, O my soul,
   And all that is within me, bless his holy name.
2. Bless Jehovah, O my soul,
   And forget not all his benefits.
3. Who forgiveth all thine iniquity;
   Who healeth all thy diseases;
4. Who redeemeth from the pit thy life;
   Who crowneth thee with loving-kindness and tender mercies;
5. Who satisfieth thy mouth with good things,
   So that thy youth is renewed like the eagle's.

10. Not according to our sins hath he done unto us,
    And not according to our iniquities hath he recompensed unto us.
11. For as high as the heaven is above the earth,
    So mighty is his loving-kindness toward them that fear him.
12. As far as the east is from the west,
    So far hath he removed our transgressions from us.
13. Like as a father pitieth his children,
    So Jehovah pitieth them that fear him.

2. God's people are held forth in the Psalms as regenerated also. A clean heart has been created in them, a right spirit has been put within them. The feelings which everywhere find utterance are redolent of holiness as well as peace, and correspond at every point to those of the spiritual man whom the
apostles contrast with the natural man. The reader will recollect the passages in which we have seen the Psalmists spurning the earth, turning with eager expectation heavenwards, and seeking God's face; the passages also in which they abjure the fellowship of the "men of the world, whose portion is in this life," and declare their desire to possess God for their portion. He will remember, moreover, the multitude of places in which the saints are described as "delighting in the law of the Lord, and meditating in his law by day and by night;" as delighting to trace God's hand in the works of nature and the vicissitudes of providence; and as desiring, above all, to dwell in the house of the Lord all the days of their life, to behold his beauty, his loving-kindness and tender mercy, as they are manifested in the appointed ordinances of his worship. From these classes of texts no quotation need be made; they lie on the surface, and are patent to every reader. Their meaning may be summed up in this; that God's people are persons who have come to know what is their chief end, whose minds have been opened to know and feel that their felicity lies in God, and who make it, therefore, their paramount object in life to "seek after God."

Such is the broad distinguishing characteristic of God's redeemed, as their heart is laid open in the Psalms. Some subordinate features claim notice in connection with it.

(1.) The Psalter reminds God's people that they are not yet made perfect. Although God's law is the law of their minds, having been seated securely on the throne of their affections, there remains another law, in their members, warring against that better law. Every day they need both pardon and strength; they need it not only in their days of backsliding and darkness, but in their brightest best days. At the very time when they are filled with the assured persuasion that they are God's servants and that their transgressions are all purged away, they are fain to pray God not to enter into judgment with them, and are constrained to confess that their iniquities are too strong for them.* The life of faith is accordingly held

* Psalm cxliii. 2, lxv. 3.
forth as a militant life, a warfare in which there is no discharge on this side the grave. The sanctity which pours out its heart before God in the Psalms has nothing in common with the sanctity of the Pharisee, who, when he comes into the temple, has no penitent confession to offer, but only proud thanks that he is not as other men are.

(2.) At the same time, the Psalter shews no taint of morbid humility. On the contrary, there is much in it which has seemed to some not unlearned readers to savour of self-righteousness. How boldly does David, for example, in the Seventeenth Psalm, assert his integrity, and call on the Lord to pronounce judgment on his character!

1. Hearken, O Jehovah, to righteousness,
   Attend unto my cry,
   Give ear unto my prayer,
   [That goeth] not out of deceitful lips.
2. From thy presence let my judgment come forth;
   Let thine eyes behold the things that are right.
3. Thou hast proved mine heart,
   Thou hast visited me by night,
   Thou hast tried me, [but] thou shalt find nothing;
   I am purposed that my mouth shall not transgress.
4. As for the doings of men, by the word of thy lips,
   I have kept me from the paths of the destroyer.
5. Hold up my goings in thy paths,
   And my footsteps shall not be moved.

What can be the meaning of this appeal? Has David forgotten how he elsewhere deprecates God's entering into judgment with him? Has the leaven of the Pharisees corrupted his humility? No such thing. The prayer with which the appeal concludes breathes all his customary dependence on God. The explanation must be sought in another quarter. The psalm was written during Saul's shameful persecution of him. David was treated as a malefactor by the rulers of his country; whereas in relation to them he was blameless. Yet the Lord seemed to forget this and leave him in their hand. Hence his appeal to the judgment of the King of kings. The psalm reminds us that from man's oppressions and misconstruc-
tions there always lies an appeal to God, and it certifies us that He is well-pleased to hear his people making the appeal. This kind of appeal and protestation of integrity is quite consistent with a deep sense of sin before God. The same apostle who confessed that in his flesh dwelt no good thing and that sin tainted his best deeds, resolutely asserted his integrity and boasted of his services when his character and apostolical authority were called in question. Job not only made a similar profession of integrity, but exceeded bounds in asserting it; yet the Lord commended him as having spoken better than his friends. God's people, if they are such indeed, can with truth affirm, like David, that they are the same before God when he visits them by night as they are before men by day; and He is not displeased to find them shewing even a keenness and heat of jealousy when their sincerity is called in question.

(3.) The piety delineated in the Psalter, although it soars to heaven and its life is hid in God, never omits the assiduous cultivation of the lowly duties of every day morality. The Church has always been infested with a sort of people whose religion is all expended on the first table of the law; who, along with a great shew of contrition, and faith, and spiritual joy, and delight in God's worship, are ill-natured in the domestic circle, censorious and unfriendly neighbours, unsafe men to deal with in business. It may be questioned whether the duties of common life are always so faithfully inculcated in the evangelical pulpit as they ought to be; but of this I am sure, that the psalms do full justice to the perfect law of God in this matter. Whatever may be the quarter whence the antinomian perversion of the gospel may derive its aliment, certainly it is not from the songs of Zion. What a fine portrait the Fifteenth Psalm, for example, draws of the godly man! He loves God's tabernacle and holy hill;—his heart is there. And when he goes out into the world he does not leave his religion behind. He shews the influence of the fear of God in all he does. "He walketh uprightly, and worketh righteousness, and speaketh the truth in his heart." His tongue utters no malice. When he falls in with a vile person, he contemns him, although his house may be a
palace and his wealth untold; but "he honoureth them that fear the Lord," be they ever so poor. He is a man of his word. He will not make gain of his neighbour's necessity; nor will he, for any consideration, oppose the cause of one whom he knows to be innocent. These are the true fruits of faith unfeigned. "He that doeth these things shall never be moved."

(4.) It remains to be added, that the Psalter shews the sky of God's people brightened with the Hope of the Heavenly Glory. But this opens up an extensive and much agitated question, which deserves and will reward a separate discussion.
CHAPTER VI.

THE DOCTRINE OF THE FUTURE LIFE.

Is it so, that the Personal Religion which finds its undying expression in the Psalter is nourished by the spring of a firm hope of eternal life? that that hope filled and cheered the hearts of God's people from the first age of the church? These are questions to which contradictory answers have been given. Not that the general sentiment of devout readers of the Scriptures has wavered much on the point. On their part it has all along been the prevalent opinion, that the hoary fathers of the church,—the patriarchs, and prophets, and psalmists,—when they came to die, fell asleep in the tranquil expectation of an awakening in glory. The contrary opinion has been pretty much confined to narrow circles of learned men, and may be ranked among the paradoxes of the schools. Still the point is, on many accounts, too important to be passed by. Certainly, if the hope of eternal life be absent from the psalms,—much more, if there be found in them words which exclude or repel that hope,—they are not suited to be the manual of praise for the Christian Church, the church of that Prince of life, who, by his resurrection, has begotten his people to the living expectation of the glory of God.

It is not to be overlooked that there do occur, in certain psalms, words which have the appearance of excluding the hope of eternal life.* And it may be freely admitted that, if nothing could be brought forward on the other side, we should be shut

* Psalms vi. 5, xxx. 9, lxxxviii. 10–12, lxxix. 47, cxv. 17.
up to the darkest conclusions regarding the feelings with which the Old Testament saints looked forward to their dissolution. These psalms, accordingly, are much referred to for the purpose of making out one of two things: either, that the Old Testament saints were utterly ignorant of a future life; or, that their minds were possessed with heathenish notions of it, as a state of darkness and of distance from God. The right interpretation of the texts in question is not without its difficulties. But many considerations can be adduced to shew that we are by no means shut up to conclusions so widely different from those which have found general favour with simple-minded students of the divine Word.

1. It is to be observed that, with one exception, the passages referred to occur in psalms of complaint; and, in fact, are cries of distress lifted up to heaven in seasons of darkness and trouble. A moment's reflection will make it manifest that words spoken in such circumstances are not to be so severely construed as if they occurred in a calm dispassionate confession of a man's faith. Let the Eighty-ninth psalm be taken for example. It was written by Ethan the Ezrahite when he witnessed the sudden collapse of the glory of David's house, and the Lord's seeming breach of covenant with David and with Israel.* The overturn was so complete as to forbid the hope that the psalmist or any of his contemporaries should ever again, while they lived, behold the good of Jerusalem as they had seen it in the golden reigns of Solomon and his father. This was a bitter reflection to one who so dearly loved Zion; and, when he poured out his heart before God, he could not refrain from a passionate complaint because of the brevity of human life. God's promise to David (his faith assured him) would yet be fulfilled; but what comfort was there in that to him, who should be dead long before the fulfilment came? "How long, Lord? Wilt thou hide thyself for ever? Shall thy wrath burn like fire? Remember how short my time is: wherefore hast thou made all men in vain? What man is he that liveth, and shall not see

* Compare Book I. chap. v. (p. 79).
death? shall he deliver his soul from the hand of the grave?"
This undoubtedly sounds like the voice of one who knows no
hereafter. The psalmist speaks as if all his hopes were bounded
by the grave; as if the overthrow of the united kingdom of
Judah and Ephraim had bereft him of all his joy; and as if he
knew no future kingdom to compensate him with its hopes.
But it would be doing cruel injustice to take him thus at his
word. What we hear is the language of passion, not of sedate
conviction. This is well expressed by John Howe in a famous
sermon. "The expostulation (he observes) was somewhat
passionate, and did proceed upon the sudden view of this discon-
solate case, very abstractly considered, and by itself only; and
the psalmist did not, in that instant, look beyond it to a better
and more comfortable scene of things. An eye bleared with
present sorrow sees not so far, nor comprehends so much at one
view, as it would at another time, or as it doth presently when
the tear is wiped out and its own beams have cleared it up."* It
would be unwarrantable, therefore, to infer from Ethan's
expostulation, that the saints who lived under the early kings
were strangers to the hope of everlasting life. I am inclined to
go further, and to point to this very complaint as affording a
presumption that there was in their hearts an irrepressible
sentiment of immortality. The bird that frets and wounds
itself on the bars of its cage shews thereby that its proper home
is the free air. When inveterate sensuality has succeeded in
quenching in a man's heart the hope of a life beyond the grave,
the dreary void which succeeds utterts itself, not in solemn
complaints like Ethan's, but in songs of forced mirth,—dismal
Anacreontic songs: "Let us eat and drink for to-morrow we
die."†

"'Tis time to live if I grow old,
'Tis time short pleasures now to take,
Of little life the best to make,
And manage wisely the last stake."‡

* The Vanity of Man as Mortal, p. 2.
† 1 Cor. xv. 32.
‡ Anacreon's Age, as translated by Cowley.
2. It deserves notice, moreover, that several of the psalms which seem so void of hope were written under deep impressions of sin and an awful sense of God's displeasure. What we hear in them is the cry of hearts smitten with the fear that they are unforgiven, and that God's wrath abides upon them. It is no marvel if, in such a state of mind, the blackest views of death and of the world beyond are seen to predominate. An instance of this occurs in the Sixth psalm, the earliest of the class known by the title of "The Penitential Psalms."* It is David's sorrowful prayer for mercy at a time of deep affliction, —affliction inexpressibly aggravated by the thought that he had brought it upon himself by his crimes, so that he could not but see in it the wrath of an offended God.

1. O Jehovah, not in thine anger do thou rebuke me,  
   Neither in thy hot displeasure do thou chasten me.
4. Return, O Jehovah, deliver my soul;  
   Oh, save me for thy loving-kindness' sake.
5. For in death there is no remembrance of thee:  
   In Hades (the unseen world) who shall give thee thanks?

Dr Delitzsch observes very justly† that the Christian need have no difficulty or scruple about appropriating these words, although he knows very well that in the world to come God is both remembered and praised continually; for we may quite legitimately take what the psalmist has written regarding the invisible world generally, and apply it in our minds to the place of torment. We are sure that in the lake of fire there is no more any thankful commemoration of God, no singing of sweet psalms. I would go a step further, and attribute this meaning to the psalmist himself. At the time when he uttered his cry for mercy, David feared that he was unforgiven, that his afflictions were the rod of an angry Judge, not of a living Father. Is it any wonder if, under that apprehension, he takes a very black view of death? To a man who is unforgiven, death is just what the psalm declares it to be,—the final separation of

* Psalms vi. xxxii. xxxviii. li. cii. cxxx. cxxiii.  
† Commentar vol. ii. 422 (Allgemeiner Bericht, chap. 10).
the soul from God, its banishment into a region where his name is no more recorded. This is the explanation of the psalm given by Luther and Calvin, amongst others; and they knew from experience something of the inward conflict which it unfolds. I will add, that these penitential psalms, dark and full of unbelief as they may at some points appear to be, are not the least precious of the songs we owe to the harp of David. The hopeful exultation with which they usually close admonishes the penitent that, if he will only pour out his complaint at the Throne of Grace, his soul will be disburdened. And surely we may see God's love in the circumstance, that he not only invites us to pour out our hearts before him, but puts into our mouths words in which we may give utterance to our anguish and fear.

3. Yet another consideration is suggested by the fact (it is a very significant one), that in all of the psalms in question, there is an earnest solicitude expressed for the glory of God. If death is deprecated, it is in order that the Lord may not lose the glory, nor his church the service, which a life prolonged might furnish. This is well exemplified in the Hundred and fifteenth, which I the rather cite because, being the sole exception to the rule, that the dark views of death are found in psalms of contrition and deep sorrow; it is the only psalm to which the preceding observations are inapplicable. It is a tranquil hymn of praise:—

17. It is not the dead who praise Jah;
   Neither any that go down into silence,
18. But we* will bless Jah,
   From this time forth and for evermore.
   Hallelujah!

The psalm thus closed, was one of the Songs of the Second Temple. What we hear in it is the voice of the Church, rather

* The pronoun is emphatic in the original. The Authorised Version (and Mr Perowne's) rather fail to bring out the point of the contrast instituted between the dead and the living. It is well brought out in Ewald's, *nicht die todtten loben Jah . . . aber wir—wir sseuuen Jah.* (So Alexander, and all the recent German translators. Comp. Jerome, in his Version from the Hebrew, *Non mortui laudabant Dominum . . . Sed nos benedicemus Domino.*
than of an individual soul. And this may assist us in perceiving its entire harmony with faith in the heavenly glory. It much concerns the honour of God that there be continued, on the earth, a visible Church, in which his name may be recorded from generation to generation. That is a work which cannot be performed by the dead. Since, therefore, the uppermost desire of the Church ought ever to be that God's name may be hallowed, his kingdom advanced, and his will done in the earth; it is her duty to pray for continued subsistence here, in the earth, to witness for God. And it is to be carefully observed, that not only in this passage, but in all the parallel texts in which the psalmists seem to speak doubtfully or disparagingly of the state of the departed, it is in connection with the interest of God's cause on the earth. The thought that is uppermost in their hearts is, that "in death there is no commemoration" of God—no recording of his name for the salvation of men. This single circumstance might, I think, suffice to put the reader on his guard against a precipitate fastening on them of a meaning which would exclude the hope of eternal life. It goes far to shew that what the Psalmists deprecate, is not death simply considered, but premature death. Their prayer is, "O my God, take me not away in the midst of my days."* And I do not hesitate to say, that there are men so placed in stations of eminent usefulness, that it is their duty to make the prayer their own. No one will attribute to the Lord Jesus either darkness or hesitation of mind with respect to the future glory; yet he spoke of his life on the earth as his "day," a day that was soon to be swallowed up in a night wherein there should be no more power to work.† We have every one a day's work appointed us by God; and if we have reason to fear that our day's work is not done, we may well join with the psalmists in deprecating the coming on of "the night when no man can work."

I have thought it right to begin our inquiry into the doctrine of the Psalter respecting the Future Life, with a notice of

* Psalm cii. 24.  
† John ix. 4.
the passages which seem to make most strongly against our affirmation that this part of the divine word glows with the hope of eternal glory. It may be admitted that some of the passages disclose a state of painful darkness, and are hardly of the sort that would command ready entrance into a modern collection of sacred poetry. But they are every way worthy of the place assigned to them by the Spirit. Experience testifies that not seldom the way of God's pilgrims lies through the Valley of the Shadow of Death, as well as over the Delectable Mountains; and if the Psalter lets us hear the lamentable cry of Christian in the Valley, as well as his joyful shout on desiring the walls of the heavenly city, we ought to accept the fact as a new proof, that it is indeed the authentic utterance of the whole heart of God's children in their entire pilgrimage.

The number of psalms in which there is an expression of Faith and Hope regarding the Future Life is very considerable. They may be grouped in three classes.

I. There are some in which the Psalmists profess their hope by appropriating to themselves the confession of the patriarchs, that they were strangers and sojourners on the earth. The history of Abraham, Isaac, and Jacob contains nothing more interesting or affecting than the recital of the circumstances in which they witnessed this good confession. After Abraham and Sarah had dwelt together long, as heirs of the grace of life, it pleased the Lord to take away from the patriarch's side the wife of his youth, the dear partner of his life, and he was fain to solicit from the sons of Heth a sepulchre in which he might bury her dust. He prefaced his request with the words, "I am a stranger and a sojourner with you."* The same confession was made by Jacob, many years after, when he stood before the king of Egypt. Being asked how old he was, he replied, "The days of the years of my pilgrimage are an hundred and thirty years."† Thus he too confessed he was a pilgrim as his fathers had been. The confession thus made by those venerable saints was often repeated by their descendants.‡ It means much more than some hasty

* Gen. xxiii. 4. † Gen. xlvii. 9. ‡ Exodus ii. 22; 1 Chron. xxix. 15.
readers perceive. It is often quoted in a way that shews it is taken to mean no more than that the patriarchs knew and acknowledged that they were mortal men, frail creatures, whose days on earth were a fleeting shadow. But if that had been all, it was a confession that might have come quite as well from the sons of Heth as from Abraham, from Pharaoh as from Jacob. We must seek some worthier interpretation. Jacob's confession was not one that Pharaoh could have made. Pharaoh was not a stranger and sojourner in Egypt. Egypt was his home; the only home he knew or desired. With Jacob it was not so. He had no home in the earth. His home lay in a better country. His eye had never seen it; nevertheless he looked for it, and was content, for its sake, to sojourn as a stranger on the earth. This is the interpretation which the epistle to the Hebrews puts on the patriarchs' confession. "They that say such things, declare plainly that they seek a country. And truly, if they had been mindful of that country from whence they came out, they might have had opportunity to have returned. But now they desire a better country, that is, an heavenly: wherefore God is not ashamed to be called their God; for he hath prepared for them a city."*

Bearing this interpretation in mind (and it is the only one which a serious consideration of the words will allow) how instructive it is to find the Psalmists witnessing the same confession! David does so in the Thirty-ninth Psalm. It is a psalm, we may note in passing, which, but for this profession of faith, one might have been tempted to reckon among those which are lighted up with no ray of hope regarding the future life. "Hear my prayer, O Lord, and give ear unto my cry; hold not thy peace at my tears: for I am a stranger with thee and a sojourner, as all my fathers were." In the literal sense of the words, David was not a stranger and sojourner in the land, as the patriarchs had been. Judah was the place of his nativity; and from the roof of his palace at Jerusalem his eye might daily visit the fields about Bethlehem where his boyhood

* Hebrews xi. 14-16.
had been spent. It is plain, therefore, that in appropriating the patriarchs’ confession, he put the same construction upon it as the writer of the epistle to the Hebrews has done. Walking in the steps of the faith in which his godly progenitors had lived and died, he set his face towards the better country and declared that his true citizenship was in heaven. One of the later psalmists, also, witnesses the same good confession, and urges it as a plea in enforcement of the prayer, that the Lord would vouchsafe him a deeper insight into his law: “I am a stranger in the earth; hide not thy commandments from me.”

As if he had said, “This earth is not my home: I find nothing in it worthy to be my soul’s portion. Thou art my portion, my dwelling-place, my exceeding great reward. O hide not from me, therefore, the knowledge of thy commandments. How, but by their help, can my soul raise itself to thee? It breaketh for the longing it hath unto them at all times.”

II. There are not wanting professions of belief in the future life of a more explicit and obvious kind.

Some of the places where these occur have already come under our notice, especially in connection with what was said in a previous chapter, respecting the doctrine of the Divine Similarity. For it is evident that that doctrine—especially when taken in connection with the relative doctrine of the federal relation of the saints to God,—carries the sure hope of a blessed immortality in its bosom. It implies that men may claim an interest in God of a kind from which the lower creatures are debarred. God has said to the believing soul, “I am thy God:” and the soul may without presumption reply, “Thou art my God.” These are plain words; but who can fathom the thoughts they express? When the Sadducees came to Christ thinking to perplex him with their cavilling objections against the doctrine of eternal life, he silenced them, to the admiration of the multitude, by quoting the text in which the Lord proclaimed himself to be the God of the

* Psalm cxix. 19.
patriarchs. "As touching the resurrection of the dead, have ye not heard that which was spoken unto you by God, saying, I am the God of Abraham, and the God of Isaac, and the God of Jacob? God is not the God of the dead, but of the living."* The Lord would not have described himself to Moses as the God of the patriarchs, if he had done nothing more than cater for their support during the few and evil days of their mortal life. To be ABRAHAM'S GOD is something more than to be Abraham's guide through a few troubled and changeful years.† This argument is stated in the epistle to the Hebrews, with a force that is almost startling: "God is not ashamed to be called their God (the God of Abraham, and of Isaac, and of Jacob); for he hath prepared for them a city."‡ God would have been ashamed to be called their God, if all that remained of them when they departed this life had been the mouldering bones at Machpelah,—if he had not gathered them to their people in a city of habitation. It is incredible that God should have put his grace into men's hearts, and received them into such affectionate intimacy as is implied in his being their God, if he had not prepared for them a city in which they might dwell with him for ever. Who will venture to impugn this way of interpreting the Old Testament? It is Christ's way of interpreting his own Word; the way he practised himself, and which the apostles learned of him; and it is infinitely to be preferred to the lean, and blind, and barren way taught in some arrogant schools. If our eyes were but opened, we should behold many such wondrous things, out of places in God's law which, to our dim sight, seem comparatively meagre.

I have not forgotten that it is the doctrine of the Psalter, and not of the Old Testament as a whole, that is the matter in hand. To look back, as we have been doing, is no digression from our proper task. No man can do justice to the Psalms who does not study them in connection with the earlier Scriptures, and especially with the Law of Moses. The Pentateuch was the Bible of the Psalmists. They studied it

* Matt. xxii. 31, 32. † Comp. Bengel's Gnomon on Matt. xxii. 32. ‡ Hebrews xi. 16.
with inexpressible ardour, and its chimes ring through their songs. The light in which they viewed the texts on which we have just seen Christ and the apostles building the doctrine of eternal life, was the same in which Christ and the apostles have presented them. We see, accordingly, that when their meditations turn upon the privilege of communion with God into which his grace has admitted them, they are insensibly led on to profess a joyful hope with respect to the future world. This is exemplified in almost all the passages that were quoted in illustration of the doctrine of the divine similitude in man. Thus in the Seventy-third Psalm, Asaph, when the sight of prosperous ungodliness leads him to think of the more excellent portion his soul possesses in God, finds pleasure in reflecting that this portion is Eternal.

24. Thou shalt guide me with thy counsel,  
   And afterwards take me to glory.

26. My flesh and my heart faileth:  
   The strength of my heart and my portion is God for ever.

Thus also David, in the Seventeenth Psalm, when his faith is subjected to a trial of the same sort as Asaph’s, finds comfort in the same thought which was so helpful to his friend. Turning away from the “men of the world, whose portion is in this life,” he makes this lofty profession of his hope:

15. As for me, in righteousness I shall behold thy face;  
   I shall be satisfied, when I awake, with thy likeness.

The strength of these testimonies to the faith of the psalmists, does not lie within the scope of a merely grammatical interpretation. The expressions made use of do not by themselves absolutely compel us to reject the lower and temporal meaning; which accordingly is advocated by some commentators of great eminence. But, standing where they stand, they naturally raise the mind to the higher meaning; and have done so in the vast majority of sober unbiassed readers ever since they were penned. Those words of David, for instance, in which he describes his enemies as “men of the world, whose portion is in this life,”—do they not by plain implication hold forth the
godly as men who are not of this world and whose portion is in another life? The words are exactly parallel to those of the apostle in which, contrasting himself with many "whose end is destruction, whose god is their belly, who mind earthly things," he declares that "our conversation (that is, our citizenship, our country, πατὴρ πόλεως) is in heaven."* This being the psalmist's meaning, is it for a moment to be supposed that when he goes on, as he does in the words that follow, to profess his faith in God with respect to the future, the jubilant hope he utters is bounded by the grave? Is it to be supposed, that this soul, conscious of the divine image, of present communion with God, and of an interest in his love as its proper portion,—is it to be supposed, I say, that such a man has no better hope to utter than that, ere he finally quits the world, ere he bids farewell to the sun, and the fair face of nature, and the sweet companionships of the earth, ere he passes to a land of darkness, and silence, and deep forgetfulness, where the light of God's face will never shine, he shall be satisfied with some transient gleams of the divine favour? Can this be all that David means in comforting himself with the hope of a bright awakening, when he shall behold the face and be satisfied with the likeness of God?†

Another passage belonging to this class has been already cited‡ from the Sixteenth psalm. It is much appealed to by the apostles as bearing witness to the resurrection of Christ. Whatever view may be taken of the principle according to which the psalm is to be interpreted,—whether it is regarded as having a direct and exclusive reference to the Lord Jesus, or as expressing in the first instance, the faith of David, and of the Church,—on either supposition it is evident that the hopes so plainly uttered must have been within the reach of Old Testament believers. What, then, are those hopes?

—My flesh shall dwell confidently.

For thou wilt not abandon my soul to Hades (the unseen world),

Neither wilt thou suffer thy favoured One to see the pit.

* Phil. iii. 19, 20.
† Compare the weighty observations of Hupfeld on Psalm xvii. 14. (vol. i. p. 450.)
‡ Page 211, above.
The grave does not terminate either the existence or the felicity of God's people. Beyond it, there is for them a path of life, an entrance into God's presence, where their joy shall be full and their pleasures everlasting. There is, moreover, to be a resurrection of the body, a glorious resurrection, in the hope of which the faithful may well resign their dust to the grave without dismay.

It is certain, therefore, that the Personal Religion set forth in the psalms was pervaded with a steadfast expectation of the glory of God. The holy men who wrote them, although their views of the heavenly state were more obscure than those to which the resurrection of Christ has admitted us, were partakers of a hope that was the same in kind with ours. The hope in God that there shall be a resurrection of the dead, was a hope to which "the twelve tribes instantly serving God day and night" steadfastly looked forward,* even as we do; and it filled them with the kind of exhilaration which the early traveller feels, when he sees the sky before him beginning to brighten with the splendours of the coming day.

Among the psalms which assert the hope of eternal life, an eminent place belongs to the Forty-nine. Both in form and substance, it is a didactic poem; and it deals expressly with the subject in question, from beginning to end. For that reason, and also because the Authorised Version has hardly done justice to some parts, I shall quote it in full.

To the Chief Musician; a Psalm of the Sons of Korah.

1. Hear this, all ye peoples;
   Give ear, all ye inhabitants of the world:
2. Both children of the lowly and children of the great,
   Rich and poor together.
3. My mouth shall speak wisdom;
   And the meditation of my heart shall be understanding.

   * Acts xxvi. 7.
4. I will incline mine ear to a parable;  
   I will open upon the harp my dark saying.

5. Wherefore should I fear in the days of evil,  
   When iniquity compasseth me about my heels?

6. They that trust in their wealth,  
   And in the multitude of their riches make their boast.

7. None [of them] can by any means redeem a brother,  
   Nor give to God a ransom for him;

8. (For costly is the redemption of their soul,  
   And it faileth for ever:)

9. That he should still live for ever,  
   And not see corruption.

10. But he shall see it:  
    Wise men must die;  
    Together shall the fool and the brutish person perish,  
    And shall leave to others their wealth.

11. Their inward thought [is that] their houses [shall continue] for ever,  
    Their dwelling places to all generations;  
    They call their lands after their own names.

12. But man that is in honour hath no continuance;  
    He is become like to the beasts that are destroyed.

13. This their way is their folly,  
    Yet after them men approve their sayings. (Selah).

14. Like sheep they are driven to Hades:*  
    Death shall tend them;†  
    And the upright shall have dominion over them in the morning;  
    And their beauty shall Hades consume,  
    That it have no more a dwelling place.

15. But God will redeem my soul from the power of Hades;  
    For he shall take me. (Selah.)

16. Be not thou afraid when a man becometh rich,  
    When the glory of his house is increased:

17. For when he dieth he shall take nothing away,  
    His glory shall not descend after him.

18. Though, while he liveth, he blesseth his soul;  
    (And men will praise thee when thou doest good to thyself,)

19. He shall go the generation of his fathers;  
    Never more shall they see light.

20. Man that is in honour, and hath no understanding,  
    Is become like to the beasts that are destroyed.

* "Sheol" i.e. the invisible world.
† Or, "Shall be their shepherd." Comp. Jerome's Version, Mors pascet eos.
The psalms which are introduced with a formal preface are very few in number; and they are all psalms of principal note, in their several kinds.* The circumstance that the one before us is thus introduced, may be taken as an intimation that it is of great weight, and claims more than ordinary attention. And this is confirmed by the farther circumstance, that the introduction, instead of being addressed (like that of the Seventy-eighth) to the Hebrew Church, as the audience for whose instruction it was primarily intended, is expressly and emphatically addressed to the Church Catholic, to "all peoples," even "all the inhabitants of the world." It is plain that, in this instance, the Holy Spirit had very specially in view, the ages during which the psalm, instead of being "recited, in one nation, in the synagogue of the Jews, should be recited in all churches, throughout the whole world."* And such being the case, it is certainly a mistake to think that the psalm expresses a type of doctrinal sentiment and religious feeling proper only to the darkness of the preparatory dispensation and now rendered obsolete by the resurrection of the Lord Jesus. Although indited by a Hebrew pen, it is a Christian psalm and was from the first inscribed to the Christian church. The particular exercise of faith which it holds forth will never become obsolete, while the world lasts.

The theme is the same as we have seen elucidated in the Seventeenth and Seventy-third psalms—the mystery of God's providence towards the righteous and the wicked; and the aim of the psalmist is the same, namely, to encourage God's people to take "for an helmet the Hope of Salvation," when they are shaken in mind at the sight of prosperous ungodliness. The grand lesson intended to be inculcated is worked out in each of the two stanzas of which the body of the psalm consists. In the first it is worked out partially, in the second more perfectly. The Psalmist has been himself perplexed by the problem to which he summons the attention of the world. Like Asaph

* See Psalms xlv. 1 and lxxviii. 1–8, and compare the remarks on the former in chap. i., and on the latter in chap. x. of this Book.
† Augustine: Enarratio i. in Psalm xlviii. (xlix.)
in the Seventy-third psalm, he has been shaken in mind by seeing vile men rich, powerful, prosperous. Reflecting on that sight, the first consideration impressed on his mind is the vanity of riches. These men trust in their wealth. They boast themselves as if they were a kind of gods on the earth. Yet after all, how helpless are they! When death knocks at their door and they would fain have him depart, will their wealth bribe him away? When a brother is laid down with sickness, will their wealth avail for a ransom-price? Can they buy him off, so that he shall still live and not see corruption? No, no. Death prevails over all; wise men and brutish fools,—all die; and their wealth passes into other hands. The redemption of a man's life is too precious to be accomplished with silver and gold. The attempt is vain. It must be let alone and cease for ever! Thus the Psalmist is conducted to the sentence with which the first stanza is wound up, "Man that is in honour hath no continuance: he is become like to the beasts that are destroyed." The reflection is a salutary one: fitted to arm the rich against pride and the poor against envy. Death comes to the palaces of the rich as surely as to the cottages of the poor, and all the wealth in the world cannot persuade him to pass by. It is, I repeat, a salutary reflection; but, after all, it is not a bright or consolatory one. There is no glory of heavenly hope upon it. Heathen moralists were as familiar with it as the psalmist. It is useful, so far as it goes; but it does not go far towards enabling the heart to acquiesce cheerfully in the allotments of God's providence. It may enable a man to look with stoical contempt on worldly grandeur: but stoical contempt is a heathenish virtue, and stops far short of the soul-tranquilising thought that God doeth all things well.

The verses that follow lift us up beyond these clouds into a serener air. The sentence into which the argument of the first stanza was gathered up is set down a second time at the close of the psalm. But this time with an important variation. Here it is the man "that hath no understanding," who is compared to the beasts that are destroyed. We are thus reminded that there are some who, by God's grace, have understanding:
men who "fear the Lord, which is wisdom; and depart from evil, which is understanding." Their case is not to be confounded with that of the ungodly. Death does not separate them from their soul's portion, does not extinguish their felicity. They do not perish like beasts, but are taken up into God's presence to dwell there like angels. What is thus taught by implication, in the refrain, is set forth explicitly in the body of the stanza. Two declarations claim special attention. After describing the death of the ungodly as their being "driven by the stern shepherd, Death, into the unseen world," as sheep are driven unwillingly into a pen, he declares his belief that a day is coming,—a bright Morning,—in which the saints shall have dominion (ver. 14);—an announcement which carries forward the mind to the morning of the resurrection, when "the saints shall judge the world."* Then, coming home to his own case, he makes profession of his hope in words of strong assurance: "God will redeem my soul from the power of the unseen world; for he shall take me." The former part of this profession of the psalmist's faith is best illustrated by the parallel text in Hosea, "I will ransom them from the power of the grave" (i.e. "I will redeem them from the power of the unseen world;"—the words in the original are the same as in the psalm); "I will redeem them from death: O death, I will be thy plagues; O grave, I will be thy destruction."† The believer has faith in God that, when he dies, he shall not be shut up in darkness, but shall be received into the presence of God, and be raised up in glory at the last day. That victory over death, which the worldling's wealth cannot purchase for his dearest friend, is made sure to every one who puts his trust in God. The words that follow, "for he shall take me," correspond to those of Asaph, "thou shalt guide me with thy counsel, and afterwards take me to glory"; and, in both places, there is an allusion to the language of the sacred history in relating the translation of Enoch: "he was not, for God took him."‡ Not that Asaph or the writer of the Forty-ninth psalm expected to

* 1 Cor. vi. 2. † Hos. xiii. 14. ‡ Gen. v. 24.
be translated like Enoch; but the "taking up" of the antediluvian saint suggested to their minds a world of precious truth respecting the future life, and strengthened in their hearts the hope of eternal glory in the presence of God. We have before us, therefore, a clear and strong declaration of hope; for, after all, what more can the believer say even now? The highest attainment our faith can reach, in the prospect of dissolution, is to lay hold on the promise of Christ and say, "Thou wilt come and receive me to thyself, so that where thou art, there I shall be also"; and what is this but to repeat the profession of hope that is embedded in the Forty-ninth psalm?

Hitherto the testimonies cited have been such as must have been understood by the Old Testament saints in the sense contended for. In order to complete our view of the subject it is right to add, that there are other texts, many in number, which, although it may be impossible to prove that they were penned with a conscious reference to the future life, have been carefully framed by the Spirit to admit and suggest the thought of that life.* Thus, when it is said† that "the ungodly shall not stand in the judgment, nor sinners in the congregation of the righteous," the words may not have carried the mind of the Old Testament reader beyond the thought that the Lord will, by sifting dispensations of his providence, bring to light the worthlessness of the ungodly and separate them from the company of his true people. It is just possible that this may be all that the words signified to Hebrew readers. But they are obviously capable of a much higher reference; and I do not doubt that they were purposely framed by the Spirit to be the vehicle in which we, who live under the New Testament, may give expression to our belief, that a time is coming when the Lord will "sever the wicked from among the just," and "gather out of his kingdom all things that offend, and them which do iniquity."‡ The closing verse of the Twenty-third psalm furnishes another example of the same sort. There may be

* Delitzsche has some judicious remarks on this aspect of the subject in the Appendix to his Commentary (vol. ii. 422).

† Psalm i. 5.

‡ Matt. xiii. 41-49.
room for difference of opinion as to the thought that was in 
David's mind when he wrote, "Surely goodness and mercy shall 
follow me all the days of my life, and I will dwell in the house 
of the Lord for ever." If any choose to maintain that the 
hope with which he solaces himself is that of enjoying com-
munion with God through a long serene evening of life, I will 
not contend with them; but I am sure that it is no arbitrary 
accommodation of the words when we, in singing them, think 
of the heavenly temple, and cheer ourselves with the hope of 
dwelling there for ever. This is a sense the words easily 
admit; which they inevitably suggest; and of which, I do not 
doubt, they were framed to be the vehicle. And the number 
of such passages in the Psalms is very considerable.

The Psalter, then, is certainly misinterpreted by those who 
represent it as destitute of clear intimations respecting the 
Future Life. It would have been a strange thing, indeed, if 
intimations of the kind had been wanting in such a Book. We 
should have been shut up to the inference that the thoughts of 
the chosen people were tied down to the earth and this temporal 
life by tighter bonds than were laid on any of their heathen 
neighbours.* It is well known that the Egyptians, in whose 
wisdom Moses was educated and whose civilisation was the 
cradle in which the Hebrew Church was nursed, were a people 
who speculated much about the world beyond the grave, and 
that the thought of it penetrated their religious system and 
their social life. It would have been singular if a church which, 
in addition to the wisdom of the Egyptians, possessed the un-
corrupted heritage of primitive revelation and a whole body of 
Mosaic oracles, had been so chained to the present world that 
its sacred poetry uttered no bright or joyous hope of future glory.

* Max Müller observes, that in the Vedas,—the Sacred Books of the 
Ancient Hindoos,—"we find what is really the sine qua non of all real 
religion, a belief in immortality, and in personal immortality." And he 
adds that, "without a belief in personal immortality, religion surely is like 
an arch resting on one pillar, like a bridge ending in an abyss."—(Chips from a 
German Workshop, p. 45.)
But in truth there is, as we have ascertained, no ground whatever for such a paradoxical conclusion. The Psalter glows everywhere with a brightness which nothing could have imparted except a deep presentiment of eternal life; and in several places that presentiment shapes itself into a strong articulate hope.

Another side of the question claims a short notice before we pass on. Admitting that the hope of the heavenly glory is found in this portion of Scripture, it may be asked, how we are to explain the comparative paucity of the instances in which it is expressed. The texts that are perfectly clear and explicit are few in number. It would be difficult to muster so many as half-a-dozen. In the rest of the psalms the hope is present, at the best, in the shape of a diffused brightness. This, and the reason of it, have been well brought out by the late Isaac Taylor. After referring to the fact that there are some psalms which "contain allusions, not obscure, to that better world,—that 'more enduring substance,'—that 'inheritance unfailing,' upon which the pious in all times have kept the eye of faith steadily fixed," he calls special attention to the Hundred and nineteenth, and remarks:—

"But now in all the 176 couplets of this Psalm, there are not more than two or three phrases, and these of ambiguous meaning, which can be understood as having reference to the Future Life, and its blessedness; and so it is in other psalms of this same class. One such expression, susceptible of an extended meaning, there is in the 23d Psalm; none in the 25th, nor in the 30th, where it might naturally be looked for, nor in the 32d, the 42d, the 63d, the 84th, the 103d; and these are the Psalms which might be singled out from the class which they belong to, as samples of the deepest utterings, the most intense yearnings, of individual devotion—the loving communion of the soul with God. Can any explanation be given of this apparent defectiveness, in the instances adduced, which seem to demand the very element that is not found in them?

"We are not called to seek for an explication of this difficulty among groundless conjectures concerning what might be the Divine intention, in thus holding back from these devotional
odes the element which might seem the most eminently proper to find a place among them: what we have before us is the incontestible fact, that these Psalms—and these by preference—have actually fed the piety of the pious—have sufficed for giving utterance to the deepest and most animated religious emotions, throughout all time, since their first promulgation; and it has been as much so since the time of the Christian announcement of immortality, as before it; we might say, much more so. During all these ages, these many generations of men who have sought and found their happiness in communion with God, there has been in use, by the Divine appointment, a liturgy of the individual spiritual life, which, abstinent of all the excitements of immortal hope—unmindful of, almost, [as if ignorant of, the bright future, takes its circuit, and finds its occasions, in and among the sad and changeful and transient experiences of the present life. Here is before us a daily ritual of fervent, impassioned devotion, which, far from being of an abstracted or mystical sort, is acutely sensitive towards all things of the passing moment. This metrical service of daily prayer, praise, intercession, trust, hope, contrition, revolves within the circle of the every-day pains, fears, and solaces of the religious man's earthly pilgrimage. Pilgrimage it is, for the devout man calls himself 'a stranger, a sojourner on earth;' and yet the land whereunto he is tending, does not in any such manner fill a place in his thoughts, as that it shall find a place in the language of his devotions!

"What is the inference that is properly derivable from these facts? Is it not this, that the training or discipline of the soul in the spiritual life—the forming and strengthening of those habits of trust, confidence, love, penitence, which are the preparations of the soul for its futurity in a brighter world—demands a concentration of the affections upon the Infinite Excellence—undisturbed by objects of another order? If this be a proper conclusion, then we find in it a correspondent principle in the abstinence, throughout the Christian Scriptures, of descriptive exhibitions of the 'inheritance' that is promised. The eternal life is, indeed, authentically propounded; but the
promise is not opened out in any such manner as shall make meditation upon it easy. Pious earnestness presses forward on a path that is well assured; but on this path the imagination is not invited to follow. The same purpose here again presents itself to notice—a purpose of culture, not of excitement.

"There can be little risk of error in affirming that the New Testament itself furnishes no liturgy of devotion, for this reason, that a liturgy, divinely originated, had already been granted to the universal Church; and it was such in its subjects, and in its tone, and in its modes of expression, as fully to satisfy its destined purposes. Devout spirits, from age to age of these later times, since 'life and immortality were brought to light,' have known how to blend with the liturgy of David the promises of Christ: these latter distinguished from those long before granted to Patriarchs and Prophets, more by their authoritative style and their explicit brevity, than by any amplifications that might satisfy religious curiosity."*

This explanation is as just as it is eloquently propounded; and if so, we must recognise the wise hand of God in the comparative abstinence of the Psalmists from those detailed contemplations of the promised glory which have always abounded in the devotional poetry of uninspired writers. There is a way of dilating on the heavenly felicities which tends to foster the anthonomian perversion of the gospel; and which is scarcely less mischievous in its effects than the Romish practice of annexing the hope of heaven, and of a speedy admission into its joys, to services which are obviously compatible with habitual ungodliness of mind. What our hearts need to be taught is, not so much that heaven is a very glorious place, and exceedingly to be desired, as that without holiness no man can enter into it. This is what the Holy Spirit seems to have designed in impressing on the Psalms the feature under consideration. The future glory is never held forth except with accompaniments which compel the reader to associate with it the thought of God and of holiness. We have seen that the delineations of the heavenly state

are so framed, that it has been possible to interpret them, not without plausibility, as merely descriptive of the life of faith on the earth. The design, I have no doubt, was—as the effect certainly is—to render it impossible for any to employ the Psalms for nourishing in their minds the hope of the heavenly glory, unless they are willing to use them also for assistance in a course of present communion with God.
CHAPTER VII.

THE IMPELOCATIONS.

Of all the features which characterise the style of Personal Religion unfolded in the Psalms, there is none that has given rise to so much unfavourable comment as the vindictive spirit which some of them appear to breathe. It is not to be denied, that in a very considerable number of places the desire is expressed, in one form or another, that God would pour out the vials of his wrath on the enemies of the Psalmist or of Zion; that he would not forgive their iniquity, but give them the due reward of their deeds. Besides isolated and minor examples of prayers of this kind, there are, in at least three psalms,—the 35th, the 69th, and the 109th,—long and terrible imprecations of evil. Thus in the Sixty-ninth we read:—

21. They gave me also gall for my food;  
And in my thirst they gave me vinegar to drink.
22. Let their table before them become a snare;  
And when they are in peace let it become a trap.
23. Let their eyes be darkened, that they see not,  
And make their loins continually to shake.
24. Pour out upon them thine indignation,  
And let the heat of thy wrath overtake them.
25. Let their home be desolate;  
In their tents let there be no dweller.
26. For him whom thou, even thou, hast smitten do they persecute;  
And of the grief of thy wounded ones they talk.
27. Add iniquity unto their iniquity;  
And let them not come into thy righteousness.
28. Let them be blotted out of the book of the living;  
And with the righteous let them not be written.
This class of passages have been subjected, especially in modern times, to unmeasured condemnation as the offspring of a "savage spirit."* Not only have the enemies of divine revelation made a handle of them for their purposes, but some Christian interpreters have been betrayed into a style of remark which, if it could be justified, would necessitate the removal of the Psalter from the place which it has hitherto occupied in the worship of the Church. On this account, therefore, as well as on account of the embarrassment sometimes felt by persons the most devout and loyal in their allegiance to God's Word, the subject claims attention here.

In a question of this kind, it is expedient to begin by making a careful survey of the facts bearing on the case. Much of the offence that has been taken can be traced to sheer misunderstanding. Even in regard to those points which remain obscure and difficult after the most careful survey, the labour will not be lost; for an intelligent and circumspect consideration of the whole matter will effectually exclude the presumptuous dogmatism into which some of the critics have fallen. What then are the facts?

The first circumstance that claims notice is the rather significant one that, with a few unimportant exceptions, the psalms in question come from the pen of David. This is significant in several respects. For one thing, David was about as devoid of vindictiveness as any public character who can well be named. His conduct in relation to Saul, from first to last, displayed a singularly noble spirit, far removed from anything like the lust of vengeance; and the meekness with which he endured the bitter reproaches of Shimei, bore witness to the same spirit after his accession to the throne. His dying charge to Solomon with regard to Joab and Shimei has been cited as a set-off against the better passages of his life. But this is hardly just. Regarding Shimei our information is scanty; but there can be little doubt that the motive which dictated the king's bequest in the other case was something very different from the cruel,

* Stanley, Lectures on the Jewish Church, ii. 153.
implacable, and cowardly remembrance of personal affronts, which is all that some are willing to see in it. Joab had repeatedly “shed the blood of war in peace,” and the dying king felt that he ought to have been put to death long ago. But he was a formidable person. As the king’s nephew, the captain of the host, and one of the ablest men in the nation, he was not so much David’s subject, as his partner and rival in the sovereign power,—a man too high for the sword of justice to reach during David’s unsettled reign. In the well founded anticipation that Solomon’s throne would be firmer than his own had ever been, the king bequeathed to him the duty of executing vengeance for an offence which would otherwise be a stain on the government, and might bring down the judgment of God on the royal house. When David’s whole career is intelligently and fairly reviewed, it leaves on the mind the impression of a man possessed of as meek and placable a temper as was ever associated with so great strength of will and such strong passions. Even in the heats of sudden resentment, he was not apt to be hurried into deeds of revenge. Such being the case, it would certainly have been a strange and unaccountable thing if he had shewn himself less the master of his own spirit in poems composed in seasons of retirement and communion with God; especially since these very poems express a keen sense of the heinousness of the sin that has been laid to his charge. He can affirm regarding his implacable enemies, “As for me, when they were sick, my clothing was sackcloth: I humbled my soul with fasting, and my prayer returned into mine own bosom. I behaved myself as though he had been my friend or brother: I bowed down heavily as one that mourneth for his mother.”* “O Lord, my God, if I have done this; if there be iniquity in my hands; if I have rewarded evil unto him that was at peace with me; (yea, I have delivered him that without cause was mine enemy); let the enemy persecute my soul, and take it; yea, let him tread down my life upon the earth.”† Surely one ought to think twice before putting on the imprecations an

* Psalm xxxv. 13, 14, one of the “Imprecatory Psalms.”
† Psalm vii. 4, 5.
interpretation which would make them utterly incongruous with these appeals, uttered almost in the same breath.

This suggests a second circumstance of great importance. Examination shews that the imprecations are not the utterance of resentment for private injuries, or of a base desire to see personal enemies laid low. Sometimes, as in the wish expressed for the destruction of Edom and Babylon in the Hundred and thirty-seventh psalm, the objects of the imprecation are the nations which have cruelly wronged the people of God. At other times, if the psalmist seems to call down the divine vengeance on personal foes, it will be found that the person who speaks is always David, and that he speaks in his public character, as the chosen servant of the Lord and Anointed King of Israel; and that he has in view, not his own particular foes, but the enemies of the cause of which he is the representative,—the cause of God, and of truth and righteousness in Israel. To forget David's singular position in the nation, and to read these psalms of his as if they were the utterances of some private individual in reference to neighbours who had done him a private wrong, is to leave out of the account the principal element in the case. It was not David who sought the throne, but the Lord who "set him apart for himself,* and he chose and anointed him to the kingdom, in order that, in his reign, the seed of Jacob might exhibit the most perfect representation the world had yet seen of the predestined kingdom of the Lord Jesus Christ. His enemies,—whether the unprincipled servants of Saul, like Doeg, who plotted his destruction before he obtained the crown; or the conspirators who, like Ahithophel, sought to pluck the crown from his head by the hands of his own children,—were men whose hatred of David arose out of, and derived its peculiar character from, a hatred of the cause of which David, with all his faults, was the champion and embodiment. We see, accordingly, that the evil deprecated by the psalmist is not so much the reproach and wrong which he and his people suffer, as the dishonour done to the name of the Lord.

* Psalm iv. 3.
So long as his enemies prosper in their wicked counsels, they can plausibly say "God hath forgotten; He hideth his face; He will never see it."* They can even please themselves with the thought that "there is no God."† What he desires is that God would no longer sleep,—that he would arise and lift up his hand, so that all should be constrained to take notice of it. His fear is that if God give him over to the power of his enemies, a fatal wound will be inflicted on the faith of afflicted saints in ages to come. They will be tempted to say: David was raised up by God to be his servant; yet He forsook him, so that he sunk beneath the malice of those who hated him for the sake of his piety. Hence his prayer is, "Let not them that wait on thee, O Lord God of hosts, be ashamed for my sake: let not those that seek thee be confounded for my sake, O God of Israel."‡ The motive of the imprecations is not to be sought in a sense of private wrong or of wounded honour, not in personal selfish vindictiveness, but in a holy regard to the glory of God, trodden in the dust and given over to contemptuous blasphemy. If the psalmist startles us with the vehement exultation with which he looks forward to the hour (which, his faith tells him, will soon arrive) when God will come forth from his place, and shew himself to his affrighted enemies, it is not to be forgotten that the thing which yields him so much joy is not the vengeance itself, or the fearful destruction of his enemies, but the public vindication of the divine justice, the unequivocal demonstration of the reality and power of the divine government. "The righteous shall rejoice 'that he hath beheld the vengeance;' he shall wash his feet in the blood of the wicked. So that a man shall say, Verily there is a reward for the righteous; verily, 'there is a God' that judgeth in the earth."§

Yet another fact must be mentioned. The frequency with

* Psalm x. 11. † Psalm xiv. 1. ‡ Psalm lxix. 6.
§ Psalm lvi. 10, 11. Comp. Kurtz, Zur Theologie der Psalmen (Dorpat, 1865), p. 169. The whole chapter devoted to the Imprecatory Psalms is thoughtful and valuable; much superior to the rest of the little Treatise, which, as a whole, is scarcely worthy of the learned author. Comp. also the note in his Lehrbuch der heiligen Geschichte, sec. 84.
which the Old Testament scriptures are cited by our blessed Lord and the writers of the New Testament, and the marked deference with which the citations are made, have always and justly been regarded as a strong testimony to the plenary authority of the ancient scriptures. Well, it is remarkable that the psalms under discussion have been counted worthy of an eminent share in this honour. The Sixty-ninth, for example, which bears more of the imprecatory character than any other except the Hundred and ninth, is expressly quoted in five separate places, besides being alluded to in several places more. Among all the psalms, there are only some three or four others that have been so largely quoted by Christ and the apostles; and they are all great Messianic hymns.* The nature of the quotations is even more significant than their number. It would seem that our Lord appropriated the psalm to himself, and that we are to take it as a disclosure of thoughts and feelings which found a place in his heart during the period of his ministry on the earth. In the Guest Chamber, he quoted the words of the fourth verse: "They hated me without a cause;" and represented them as a prediction of the people’s hatred of the Father and of himself.† When he drove the traffickers from the temple, John informs us that "his disciples remembered that it was written, The zeal of thine house hath eaten me up;"‡ which implies that those words of the psalm expressed the very mind that was in Christ. When Peter, after mentioning the crime and perdition of Judas, suggested to the company of the Hundred and twenty disciples that they ought to take measures for the appointment of a new apostle to fill the vacant place, he enforced the suggestion by a quotation: “For it is written in the Book of Psalms, Let his habitation be desolate, and let no man dwell therein, and his bishoprick let another take;”§—manifestly on the supposition that this psalm and the Hundred and ninth (for the quotation is from them both) were written with reference to Judas. In the Epistle to the Romans, the duty of pleasing, every one of

* Psalms ii. xxii. cxviii. are the four most frequently quoted in the New Testament. † John xv. 25. ‡ John ii. 17. § Acts i. 20.
us, our neighbour for his good, is enforced by the apostle with
the argument that "even Christ pleased not himself, but as it is
written, The reproaches of them that reproached thee, fell on
me:"¹* an argument which has no weight if David alone is the
speaker in the psalm,—if Christ be not, in some real sense, the
speaker in it also. Finally; we are taught in the same epistle
to recognise a fulfilment of the psalmist's most terrible impre-
cations, in the judicial blindness which befell the body of the
Jewish nation after the crucifixion of Christ.* All this proves
that, if we are not to reject the authority of the apostles and of
Christ himself, we must take this imprecatory psalm as having
been spoken by David as the ancestor and type of Christ. I do not
say, that the circumstance that these psalms are so unequivocally
endorsed and appropriated by our blessed Lord explains the
difficulty they involve. But I am sure, that the simple state-
ment of it will constrain disciples of Christ to touch them with
a reverent hand; and rather to distrust their own judgment,
than to brand such scriptures as the products of an unsanctified
and unchristian temper.

Coming now to the great question brought up by these
Imprecatory Psalms, are we in a condition to throw any light
upon it? It is the undoubted law of Christ that we should
love our enemies, bless them that curse us, do good to them
that hate us, pray for them that despitefully use us and
persecute us. Can we explain how the language of the
psalmists can be reconciled with the sentiments and conduct
enjoined in that command?

In some instances, the reconciliation is easy. Take, for
example, the prayer with which the Hundred and fourth Psalm
concludes, "Let the sinners be consumed out of the earth, and
let the wicked be no more." The psalm is a meditation on
God's works in nature, and has excited the admiration of the
historians of Natural Science as the fullest and brightest
expression of that sympathy with nature, and appreciation of its
unity, in which the sacred poets so remarkably excelled all the

¹* Verses 22 and 23, compared with Rom. xi. 9, 10.
pagan writers.* At first sight it seems unaccountable that such a sunny joyous ode should be wound up with a petition for the rooting out of wicked men; it seems a jarring note in the song with which the church expresses her participation in the joy of her Lord over this fair world, the product of his beneficent wisdom. But, in truth, the prayer is both in harmony with the song and necessary to its completeness. An anecdote will explain my meaning. It fell to my lot some years ago, to undertake a walk of some miles, on a summer morning, along a sea-shore of surpassing beauty. It was the Lord's Day and the language of the Hundred and fourth Psalm rose spontaneously in my mind as one scene after another unfolded itself before the eye. About half way to my destination the road lay through a dirty hamlet, and my meditations were rudely interrupted by the brawling of some people, who looked as if they had been spending the night in a drunken debauch. Well, I thought, the psalmist must have had some such unpleasant experience. He must have fallen in with people, located in some scene of natural beauty, who, instead of being a holy priesthood to give voice to nature in praise of her Creator,—instead of being, in the pure and holy tenor of their lives, the heavenliest note of the general song,—filled it with a harsh discord. His prayer is the vehement expression of a desire that the earth may no longer be marred by the presence of wicked men,—that they may be utterly consumed, and may give place to men animated with the fear of God, just and holy men, men that shall be a crown of beauty on the head of this fair creation. If this be the right explanation of the Psalmist's prayer, it is not only justifiable, but there is something wrong in our meditations on nature, if we are not disposed to join in it.

With respect to the more difficult imprecations, there is an explanation which has found a good deal of acceptance among recent critics. It lays great stress on the difference between the Old Testament and the New; on the "defects of the Jewish system," and the alleged "vindictive spirit of the ancient

* Humboldt's Kosmos vol. ii. 413 (Bohn's Ed.)
dispensation."* Sometimes this explanation is urged in a spirit of undisguised hostility to the Old Testament, by persons who, although they do not venture to make a direct assault on apostolical Christianity, hope to wound it through the prior dispensation. But it is sometimes urged also in good faith, by divines who desire to hold fast their allegiance to the whole Word of God. Deeming it vain to justify the imprecations, they endeavour to save the divine authority of the scriptures by insisting on the inferiority of the Jewish economy. They call attention to the obvious and admitted facts, that many things not consistent with the rule of eternal justice were "suffered" to the Jews "because of the hardness of their hearts;" and that the Advent of Christ, and the Mission of the Comforter, have ministered assistance to Christ's people in the cultivation of a higher style of holiness than prevailed under the former dispensation: and they argue that it is no disparagement to the Scriptures, if some of the ancient psalmists are occasionally betrayed into the utterance of vindictive feelings, irreconcilable with the Christian temper.† Of the explanation, as urged in this guarded way, I would speak with all respect. It is most certain that faith in the divine authority of the whole Bible does not oblige us to defend all that the ancient saints said or did: and there is no doubt that the people of God have been elevated, under the gospel, to a general level of religious attainment, higher than was reached by the faithful under the law. Nevertheless, the explanation must be rejected, even in its most guarded and qualified form. Prayers which were not only offered by particular saints, but which God by his prophets taught the Church to offer in the perpetual service of song, are not to be charged with any taint of sinful passion.

Besides, it occurs to ask, what foundation there is for attributing to the Old Testament the "vindictive spirit" of which it is the fashion to speak so confidently; whether there is really any

† Perowne, *Book of Psalms*, vol. i. pp. 73–75; also on Psalm xxxv. (vol. i. 159).
ground for making such a difference between the two dispensations with respect to this particular sentiment. Certainly, there is no lack in the Law of Moses of precepts identical in their tenor with the much quoted words of our Lord in the Sermon on the Mount; precepts which, for the charitable spirit they breathe, are not surpassed by anything in the Christian Scriptures. "If thou meet thine enemy's ox or his ass going astray, thou shalt surely bring it back to him again. If thou see the ass of him that hateth thee lying under his burden and wouldest forbear to help him, thou shalt surely help with him."* "Thou shalt not hate thy brother in thine heart: thou shalt in any wise rebuke thy neighbour, and not suffer sin upon him. Thou shalt not avenge, nor bear any grudge against the children of thy people, but shalt love thy neighbour as thyself. I am the LORD."† The defensive attitude which the church had to assume towards the nations, prior to the giving of the Holy Spirit at Pentecost, rendered necessary a line of action, in some respects, which may be plausibly represented as expressing "a hateful particularism;" but, from the first, the kindly and charitable spirit enjoined in the Mosaic precepts was known and felt to be obligatory on the people of God, toward their neighbours foreign and domestic. Thus Job in his eloquent protestation of integrity does not forget this point of duty: "If I rejoiced at the destruction of him that hated me, or lifted up myself when evil found him: neither have I suffered my mouth to sin by wishing a curse to his soul."‡

It is to be observed, that the New Testament does not profess to add one jot to our knowledge of the will of God in this matter. When Christ, in the Sermon on the Mount, commands us to love our enemies and to pray for them which despitefully use us and persecute us, he does this, not in the way of setting forth a new commandment, as so many have strangely supposed, but rather in the way of rescuing the old commandment from the perverse glosses of the scribes, and setting it forth anew with his endorsement. We find accordingly that the apostle,

* Exod. xxiii. 4, 5. † Lev. :ix. 17, 18. ‡ Job xxxi. 23, 30.
in dissuading his readers from taking vengeance, sends them back to the law of Moses, and fetches from it his decisive argument: "Dearly beloved, avenge not yourselves, but rather give place unto wrath; for it is written, Vengeance is mine, I will repay, saith the Lord."

If the explanation under review thus grievously errs in depreciating the Old Testament, it errs no less in the one-sided view it presents of the mind of Christ, the genuine Christian temper. That temper has another aspect besides the one presented in the Sermon on the Mount. The New Testament may not contain any imprecations so awfully emphatic, reiterated, and specific as those which are to be found in two or three psalms; but imprecations are by no means lacking. The apostles of Christ occasionally used language with reference to their opponents which, in point of principle, cannot be distinguished from that of David. Thus Peter, in rebuking Simon Magus for his heartless hypocrisy, expressed the wish that he might perish, he and his money along with him.† This, it may be argued, was spoken in great heat,—the heat of what was doubtless a just anger,—but in heat nevertheless; and ought therefore to be taken with some abatement. But what shall we say to the imprecation of Paul against Alexander the coppersmith, that "the Lord might reward him according to his works?"‡ The wish was uttered by the apostle in the heavenliest of all his writings, the serene epistle in which he sent his farewell to his own son in the faith. Nor is it only on this side the grave that Christ's saintly servants have uttered such words. There is a vision in the Revelation which plainly warrants us to attribute similar imprecations to the saints who, having "come out of the great tribulation," are now before the throne: "I saw under the altar the souls of them that were slain for the word of God. . . . And they cried with a loud voice, saying, How long, O Lord, holy and true, dost thou not judge and avenge our blood on them that dwell on the earth?"§

Whether we can account for such sentiments or not, one thing

* Rom. xii. 19; Deut. xxxii. 35. † Acts viii. 20.
‡ 2 Tim. iv. 14. § Rev. vi. 9, 10.
is clear, that the difficulty raised by the imprecations is by no means peculiar to the Psalms. If the psalmists are condemned, the condemnation must be extended also to the apostles of Christ. And this, in fact, is done by the abler and more candid of the rationalising divines.*

It is sufficiently plain, therefore, that even if the Imprecatory Psalms had not received a special endorsement from Christ and the apostles, it would have been idle to seek the explanation of them in the diverse characters of the Old and New Testaments. To curse an enemy is just as severely forbidden in the Old Testament as in the New; and passages are to be found in the New Testament which bear the imprecatory character quite as distinctly as any in the Old. In this matter, both Testaments stand or fall together; and we must look to some other quarter than their differences (whatever these may be) for the explanation of the difficulty under review.

In looking round for light on a question of this kind, it is always well to bear in mind that there are dark places in God's Word, the perfect elucidation of which is not to be hoped for in the present life. From the circumstance that the imprecations, after all that has been written about them these many centuries, still give rise to much embarrassment and anxious discussion, it is sufficiently evident that they present a real difficulty. And it is no evidence of strong faith in the divine inspiration of the Scriptures, but the very reverse, to be afraid to acknowledge the existence of such a difficulty. If there had been no difficulties in the Bible, it would not have been like its Author. If, in its teachings, there had been nothing too deep for my understanding to fathom, and nothing embarrassing to the feelings of my heart, I might well have presumed that it was of merely human origin, and that the thoughts unfolded in it were only the thoughts of fallible men like myself. The revelation that God has made of himself in Providence is certainly not devoid of difficulties. On the contrary, we may say of it what the Apostle Peter remarked of the epistles of his beloved brother

Paul, that in it "are some things hard to be understood, which they that are unlearned and unstable wrest . . . unto their own destruction."* God's way is often in the sea, and his path in the great waters, and his footsteps are not known. A sense of this made one of the psalmists exclaim, "O LORD, how great are thy works! And thy thoughts are very deep."† The "thoughts" thus reverently adored are those to which God has given expression in his works; for every act of God's Providence is the embodiment of a thought of his heart. God's Providence, I repeat, shews many a dark passage. We often find it hard to discover what his meaning is; too often, moreover, when he makes his meaning plain enough, our hearts rebel against it. It ought not therefore to be thought surprising, if we find in the Imprecations of the Psalter some things which remain hard to be understood, and liable to be wrested by men to their own destruction.

But, without professing to be able to dissipate every shadow, or to set the whole matter in a clear light, I think it will be possible to indicate the direction in which a satisfactory solution of the main difficulty is to be found.

Let these two considerations be duly weighed:—(1.) However dreadful the evils may be which the psalmists imprecate,—and they are dreadful beyond expression,—there is not one of them which God does not, in fact, send on wicked men. Let them be translated into the language of History, and the truth of the imprecations will at once be recognised. "Destruction cometh upon the wicked at unawares; his net that he hath hid catcheth himself; into that very destruction he falleth. . . . Their eyes are darkened that they see not, and their bones are made continually to shake. The Lord poureth out upon them his indignation, and the heat of his wrath over taketh them. The Lord addeth iniquity unto their iniquity, and suffereth them not to come into his righteousness. They are blotted out of the book of the living; and with the righteous they are not written." I suppose no person ever reads the Sixty-ninth and

* 2 Peter iii. 16.  † Psalm xcii. 5.
Hundred and ninth Psalms, attentively, without being reminded of Judas Iscariot and the Jewish people who crucified the Lord of glory; indeed the latter psalm used to be denominated, in the ancient church, "the Ischariote Psalm."* When the apostle, in the epistle to the Romans, describes that judicial hardening of his Jewish kinsmen which was going on before his eyes, and in which he saw the sure token of their impending destruction, he brings in the words of the Sixty-ninth Psalm as the fittest for his purpose: "David saith, Let their table be made a snare, and a trap, and a stumbling-block, and a recompense unto them. Let their eyes be darkened that they may not see, and bow down their back alway."† It is worthy of notice that the apostle's account of what God at that time did to the Jews, calling some of them by his grace and hardening others;—his simple statement of the facts as they had taken place before his eyes,—has given rise to the very same sort of hostile criticism as we are familiar with in regard to the imprecation of them by the psalmist. This goes far to show that the real difficulty lies in the facts themselves, rather than in the language of the sacred writers with reference to them. Such things as David imprecates, and Paul records, are not to be spoken of but with fear and trembling. Nevertheless, it is certain that they take place, and that they enter into the plan of the divine government; and who will dare affirm that God is unrighteous, who thus taketh vengeance on bold presumptuous sinners? Vengeance belongs to his prerogative, and his terrible acts in executing it are not to be challenged by any creature.

(2.) Not only are these dreadful judgments, in fact, poured out by God on those who proudly reject his grace and persecute his people; but we are bound to take notice of his hand in such dispensations, and even to rejoice—not indeed with levity, but rather with solemnity and tears, nevertheless to rejoice—in what God has done. When John saw in the Apocalypse the vials of God's wrath poured on the earth, and the sea, and the

* Psalmus Ischarioticus. See Delitzsch (at Psalm cix.).
† Chap. xi. 9, 10.
rivers and fountains of water, he "heard the angel of the waters say, Thou art righteous, O Lord, because thou hast judged thus: for they have shed the blood of saints and prophets, and thou hast given them blood to drink: for they are worthy."* In like manner when he saw Babylon fall, he heard this exhortation, "Rejoice over her thou heaven, and ye holy apostles and prophets; for God hath avenged you on her."†

Thus far, there can hardly be any difference of opinion among those who receive the Scriptures as the Word of God. And the considerations adduced indicate the light in which the Imprecatory Psalms are to be read. The commentators, such as Horne and Henry, whose sole object is the edification of their readers, have been used to say that David's words are to be understood not as expressing desire, but as predicting the doom of the enemies of God. If this explanation be offered as the strict interpretation of the words, it must be rejected. It is certain that the psalmist speaks in the imperative, not in the future merely. Nevertheless, I as little doubt that the explanation contains much truth, and comes nearer the mark than those that are offered by some more ambitious interpreters, who see nothing in the words but private vindictiveness. Imprecations which were uttered in the Spirit, by one whom God had constituted the living representative of the cause of truth in the world, were, in effect, predictive denunciations of the doom of those against whom they were spoken, and are to be read as such.‡ This view of the matter, in itself most

* Rev. xvi. 5, 6.  
† Rev. xviii. 20.  
‡ This is well brought out by Augustine in a Sermon on Psalm lxviii. 2 (Serm. 22, Ed. Bened.), "In the words (of the Psalmist) there is indeed the expressing of desire; but the language is figurative, and is to be understood as denoting the presence of one who foretells. For, as it is the manner of prophetical scripture, in predicting things yet future, to narrate them as if they were already past; even so, certain things are spoken, as it were by way of prayer, while yet they who rightly understand the words perceive in them rather the intuition of a prophet. Thus the prophet, speaking of Judas the traitor, as it were desires for him the doom which he foretells as awaiting him. Nor is it without reason that things future are spoken of as if already accomplished. For to God they are so certain, that they may be esteemed already done; and the prophet seems to express, by way of desire, that which he foresees
reasonable, is powerfully enforced by the circumstance already adverted to, that Christ is in these psalms as well as David, and that they were spoken with a prophetic reference to his betrayers and murderers. They were applied by Christ to the unbelieving Jews, when (with evident allusion to the Sixty-ninth Psalm) he foretold that their house was about to be left unto them desolate!* They were expressly applied, as we have seen, by one apostle to Judas Iscariot, and by another to the general body of the nation.† Many divines of great eminence, like Augustine and Luther, apply these psalms (especially the Sixty-ninth and Hundred and ninth) exclusively to Christ and his betrayers. That is probably an extreme view: they seem plainly to express the sentiments of David, in the first instance. Nevertheless, I do not doubt that the remoter interpretation indicated by the New Testament quotations, is the one on which the mind ought principally to rest in the devotional use of the psalms; and that our aim ought to be to avail ourselves of their assistance in reverently adoring the justice of God when He takes vengeance on the incorrigible enemies of Christ.

One other point has yet to be noticed. It cannot be denied that, like the imprecations of the apostles and of the souls under the altar, the language of the psalmist has reference not only to judgments already executed, but to judgments which are viewed as still future and in suspense. It brings up the question, What ought to be our sentiment with respect to such judgments?

In reply to this question, I do not hesitate to say that, as a rule, our duty is to depurate them, and not to imprecatre them. Even although we see reason to conclude that they are surely coming, we ought to cry aloud for mercy to be shewn to the transgressors. The Lord Jesus prayed for his murderers; and we ought to do likewise. To make the imprecatory psalms the vehicle of maledictions against personal enemies is a frightful

will certainly come to pass; the intention, so far as I can see, being nothing else but to teach us that the counsel of God, his fixed and immoveable determination, ought not to be displeasing to us."* Matt. xxiii. 33. Comp. Psalm lxix. 25. † Acts i. 20; Rom. xi. 9.
abuse of God's holy word. Calvin states, as a thing notorious in his time, that certain monks,—the Franciscans especially,—made a trade of this detestable sacrilege. If any one had a mortal enemy and wished him destroyed, he would hire one of those wretches to curse him day by day in the words of the Hundred and ninth psalm. The reformer adds, that he himself knew a lady of rank in France who hired certain Franciscans to imprecate perdition in this way on her only son.* Matthew Henry, after mentioning these shameful facts, makes this reflection, that "greater impiety can scarcely be imagined, than to vent a devilish passion in the language of sacred writ; to kindle strife with coals snatched from God's altar; and to call for fire from heaven with a tongue set on fire of hell." Those who are capable of such daring profanity (one may well believe it has never shewn its head in any Protestant church) would not be dissuaded by any argument of ours: but it is not unnecessary to observe that it would be a dangerous and over-bold use of these psalms, to recite them even against those who are our enemies in some good and holy work. When James and John proposed to imitate Elijah by commanding fire to come down from heaven and consume certain Samaritans who opposed their passage to Jerusalem, the Lord "turned, and rebuked them, and said, Ye know not what manner of spirit ye are of; for the Son of man is not come to destroy men's lives, but to save them."† This, I repeat, is the rule by which we are to walk. We are to bless them that curse us, and to pray for them that despitefully use us, and persecute us. But there are exceptions even to this rule. One of these is pointed out by the loving disciple, in a quarter where, but for his intimation, we might well have deemed the rule absolute. "If any man see his brother sin a sin which is not unto death, he shall ask and he shall give him life for them that sin not unto death. There is a sin unto death: I do not say that he shall pray for it."‡ There are other exceptions. It is plain that Civil Communities and their Officers are not to walk by the letter of Christ's commandment. The

* Commentary on Psalm cix. 6. † Luke ix. 55, 56. ‡ 1 John v. 16.
Vengeance on Criminals.

Civil magistrate is neither obliged, nor at liberty, to forgive those who trespass against him. "He is the minister of God, a revenger to execute wrath upon him that doeth evil."* It is at his peril if he refuse or neglect to perform this office; and all private persons whose minds have not been corrupted by a false sentimentality will concur with him in the execution of his stern duty. When a foul crime has been perpetrated, tender-hearted Christian women, who would not touch a hair of their enemy's head, but would rather feed him, will express a keen resentment, and will be disquieted in mind till they hear that the perpetrator has been convicted and duly punished. They will imprecate civil justice on the offender. It is their hearty desire and prayer that the violated majesty of the law may not remain unrevenge[d]. I do believe that facts like these, if they were fairly considered, would be felt to throw much light on the Bible imprecations. If we had more of the psalmist's consuming zeal for the cause of God; if we were as much concerned for the honour of the divine government as every virtuous citizen is for the honour of the national laws, the imprecations would sound less strange and harsh in our ears. This has been well pointed out by an eminent foreign divine. "David is the Old Testament type of the inviolable majesty of Christ; and therefore his imprecations are prophetic of the final doom of all the hardened enemies of Christ and his church: and in this sense the Christian appropriates them in prayer. Thus turned to account they are a wholesome antidote to the religious sentimentality of our time, which shuts its eyes to the truth that God's wrath against impenitent despisers of his grace is at once necessary and salutary;—necessary, because demanded by the divine justice; salutary, because conducing to the victory and consummation of the kingdom of God. As such, they are simply an expansion of the prayer, Thy kingdom come. For the kingdom of God comes not only by the shewing of mercy to the penitent, but also by the executing of judgment on the impenitent."†

* Rom. xiii. 4.
I will not maintain that the Imprecatory Psalms are to be the Christian's constant song. Many godly persons, who would be the last to charge them with sin, are accustomed to omit them, for the most part, in the regular consecutive singing of the Psalms. Certainly, they ought never to be sung but with fear and trembling. Nevertheless, at fit seasons, they may and ought to find a place in our service of praise. It has been justly said, that "in a deep sense of moral evil, more perhaps than in anything else, abides a saving knowledge of God."* There is "a hatred of them that hate God," which is the invariable accompaniment and indispensable token of the love of God in the heart.† And sin is to be looked upon not only as a disease to be loathed, but as a violation of law which calls for punishment. As powerful witnesses for the truth that sin is hateful to God and deserving of his wrath and everlasting curse,—a truth which the world would fain forget,—the Imprecatory Psalms must be accounted worthy of their place in the Divine Manual of Praise.

* Dr Arnold's life, p. 602.  † Psalm cxxxix. 21, 22.
CHAPTER VIII.

THE CHURCH, OR ISRAEL OF GOD.

The voice which rises to the throne of God in the Psalms is the voice of the Church rather than of the individual believer. Not that the individual is suffered to lose himself in the community of the faithful. We are never suffered to forget that we are each to hold personal and particular communion with God, even as we are each to give in an account at the final judgment. The Church is composed of individuals who have, every one, a distinct history; who have been brought to God one by one; and to whom it is of vital necessity that they be each sanctified, and guided, and guarded, and received into glory. When the Church assembles for the worship of God, if all its heart is to be poured out in song, the hymns that are used must deal much with the Personal aspects of religion; and this is done so abundantly in the Psalms, that we have found it possible to collect from them alone something like a complete view of the history of religion in the soul.

Nevertheless, there can be no doubt that the Psalter is properly the Book of Church Song. In this respect it differs remarkably from most collections of religious poetry. In them, the personal aspects of religion are the almost exclusive theme; whereas in it, although the personal aspects receive their due place, the social aspects are equally prominent. There is something remarkable in the equal balancing of these two elements. Let the 150 psalms be distributed into two columns,—the one containing those in which the personal element predominates, the other those that are characteristically social,—and it will be found that the two columns are, as nearly as may be, of equal
length. An accurate distribution is, indeed, impossible. There is not a psalm that can be called private or personal, in such a sense as to preclude its use in public worship; and there are few, if any, that are social in such a sense as to preclude their use in private devotion. There are not a few which might equally well be set down in either class. In some instances, moreover, it is hard to distinguish between the voice of the individual and the voice of the community. The use of the singular pronoun does not determine the point; for, not seldom, the person who speaks in the singular is the collective Church, the Daughter of Sion. Besides, it is instructive to mark how *private prayers*—prayers which are meant to make the individual worshipper feel himself, as it were, alone with God—will occur, as by way of interjection, in the midst of *public psalms*; and how, conversely, *private psalms* will rise into intercession for the public cause. An example, in either kind, will illustrate this. The Hundred and sixth is one of the great Historical Psalms; it is a lyrical commemoration of "the mighty acts of the Lord" performed in behalf of his rebellious people, during the long centuries of their history, from the exodus till the captivity; yet, ere it is well begun, the Psalmist throws in the prayer,—

4. Remember me, O Jehovah, with the favour that thou bearest unto thy people;
O visit me with thy salvation:
5. That I may see the good of thy chosen,
That I may be glad in the gladness of thy nation,
That I may make my boast with thine inheritance.*

The other example is furnished by the Fifty-first Psalm,† "Do good in thy good pleasure unto Sion; build thou the walls of Jerusalem." There is no ambiguity about this psalm; it is the most intensely personal that was ever penned. David has sinned. The Lord has convinced him of his sin; and he now cries for mercy, pleading with the importunity of a man who knows he is pleading for his life. If ever there was a time

* There is another example in Psalm xlviii. 14; compare the remarks on it above, at p. 84.
† Ver. 18.
when a person might have been expected to be absorbed in the thought of his own salvation, and utterly oblivious of the general interests of religion and the Church, surely it was the time when David passed through the crisis of which this psalm is the memorial. How instructive then to see that, even in this hour of his anguish, he is led to look beyond himself! First, the thought arises in his heart, "Oh, if God would but shew me mercy, what a preacher of his grace I should be! I would declare his Name with tongue and pen; and in me there should be seen such a monument of mercy as might waken hope in the heart of Despair itself. Let God restore unto me the joy of his salvation; then will I teach transgressors his ways, and sinners shall be converted unto Him?" Then, as light begins to dawn upon him, solicitude for the welfare of God's Israel,—the Church, which has been so deeply wounded by his sin,—stirs his soul again, and he utters the prayer that the Lord would, in his good pleasure, do good unto Sion and build the walls of Jerusalem.

This blending of the personal and social elements of religion is very instructive. It admonishes us that a similar blending ought to find place in our devotions. And this inference is remarkably corroborated by the circumstance, that the Lord's Prayer exhibits the same careful conjunction and balancing of the two diverse elements. In three of the petitions of that divine form of prayer, we are taught to set forth our personal wants; in the other three we are admonished to look, not on our own things only, but every one also on the things of others, especially on the general interests of God's glory in the earth; and the three public petitions are set down first. We are thus admonished, that in our more solemn and stated prayers we ought to seek first that God's name may be hallowed, that His kingdom may come, and His will be done in the earth: petitions with respect to our personal concerns are to come after. It is not meant, of course, that this order is to be uniformly observed, or that God's children may never resort to Him with petitions that wholly relate to their private and personal affairs. The rule is simply intended to indicate, in a general way, the kind of things that are to be
craved of God, and the relative prominence they ought to receive in our more stated prayers. The coincidence in this matter between the Psalter and the Lord's Prayer is, I think, profoundly significant.* True religion is always personal; but it is something more. It begins at home; but it does not end there. It embraces in its solicitude the whole interest of God's glory and the good of men.

When we pass from the personal to the social aspects of religion, as these are delineated by the pencil of the Holy Spirit in the psalms, the society which first and chiefly calls for notice is the CHURCH OF GOD. The Psalter everywhere gives it a large and prominent place; expatiating on its past History, its contemporary Fortunes, and the bright Future which awaits it in the latter days. These are great and far-reaching subjects; and ought to possess a deep interest for every one who has been admitted into the Household of God.

A word or two must be said, in the first place, regarding the view which the Psalmists take of the Nature and Constitution of the Church. Not that we are to expect to gather from them very precise information on these much controverted topics. Detailed and exact definitions would be out of place in poetry, and especially in lyrical poetry. Nevertheless, I believe that even from the psalms some valuable suggestions may be gathered, particularly with respect to the more fundamental and vital points.

If one were asked to explain who they were that constituted the Church which is so affectionately celebrated by the psalmists, it would be a fair reply to say that it consisted of "the seed of Jacob,"—the children of Abraham in the line of Isaac and Israel. For wise reasons the Lord was pleased, under the Old Testament, to make a Nation the depository of his oracles and ordinances;

* I do not know whether Luther had observed this interesting coincidence between the tenor of the Lord's Prayer and the Psalter. Probably not. For, indeed, I do not remember to have seen it taken notice of anywhere. It is all the more interesting to remark how sensible he was of a certain deep and most pleasant harmony between these two divine Manuals of Devotion. See his Preface to the Psalter of 1545 inserted below (Book III., Chap. 3).
the covenant society within which He chose to dispense the benefits of salvation. This is just to say, in other words, that under the Law the Church of God was Jewish, not Catholic. This, I repeat, is a true account of the matter, so far as it goes. However, it is important to observe that, even under the Old Testament, and while the Church was still in its national form, God's people were carefully guarded against the Romish or Hierarchical notion of the Church,—the wretched notion which, identifying the visible and invisible Church, and making a particular form of polity the one essential note of the true Church, would exclude from the ordinary ministration of God's saving grace all who are outside the charmed circle of a particular community; and would teach those who chance to be within that circle, that they are certainly the people of God, the vessels of his mercy, and heirs of his kingdom. Considering that the Law of Moses set up many ordinances which were so strictly national that they could not be celebrated except by a people living under one external government, it is plain that the narrow theory of the hierarchists might very plausibly have been maintained under the Old Testament. In fact, the Pharisees and all the carnal-minded Jews held what was in substance that very theory; and the error was, in their circumstances, far more excusable than it is now, under the gospel, when all the local and national rites have been abrogated, and the ordinances of Christian worship are so framed that every one of them can be observed in a hundred distinct and independent communities.* It is, therefore, all the more remarkable that the theory is tacitly repudiated by the psalmists. One fact, of great interest in this connection, came under our notice in tracing the history of Psalmody under the later Kings, when the children of Israel were divided into two rival kingdoms. In psalms written long after the division of the kingdom, Ephraim and Manasseh are named with fraternal affection and commended to the grace of God.† It is plain that the faithful in Judah recognised their northern brethren as

* Amesius, Medulla Theologiae, chap. xxxviii. sects. 36, 37.
still embraced in the covenant society, and that they perceived
the significance of the fact that the Lord had continued to
raise up within the two tribes a succession of great and faithful
prophets. With regard to that part of the hierarchical theory
which makes membership in the visible Church identical, for
all practical purposes, with membership in the Church invisible,
it is tacitly refuted in places without number. The true citizen
of Zion is not the man who can merely shew his descent from
Jacob, but "the man that walketh uprightly, and worketh
righteousness, and speaketh the truth in his heart." "He that
hath clean hands, and a pure heart; who hath not lifted up his
soul unto vanity, nor sworn deceitfully; he shall receive the
blessing from the Lord. This is the generation of them that
seek Him."* What do texts like these teach but the protestant
and apostolical doctrine, that there is an all-important distinc-
tion between the visible and invisible Church; that the true
Church and the professing Church, although they are so closely
connected that the eye of man cannot draw a line of separation
between them, are by no means coincident:—that "he is not a
Jew which is one outwardly; neither is that circumcision which
is outward in the flesh: but he is a Jew which is one inwardly;
and circumcision is that of the heart, in the spirit, and not in
the letter; whose praise is not of men, but of God?"†

We must beware, indeed, of running into the anarchical
extreme of treating matters of external Church Order as if
they were of no account. There is a right and a wrong even in
them. Under the Old Testament, for example, it was the will
of God that His people should subsist in the form of an
organised and visible Unity, resorting annually to the solemn

* Psalm xv. 2; xxiv. 3-6.
† Rom. ii. 28, 29. Comp. Koenig, Theologie der Psalmen, pp. 79-81. This
Roman Catholic writer,—Professor of Theology in Freiburg,—although he
avoids the terms "visible and invisible church," clearly teaches the doctrine
they express. He does full justice to the distinction made by the psalmists
between the natural posterity of Jacob, and the true people of God who are to
inherit his salvation. Quoting Rom. ix. 6, "They are not all Israel which are
of Israel," he justly remarks that it is simply a restatement of "an Old Testa-
ment doctrine."
feasts in the one sanctuary at Jerusalem. The tribes were commanded to go up to Jerusalem: “the tribes of the Lord, unto the testimony of Israel, to give thanks unto the name of the Lord.”* The will of God in this matter could not be disobeyed without sin and loss. But, even under the Old Testament, the breach of organic unity did not necessarily separate either party from the covenant society. Nothing can be more certain than that Elijah and Elisha, although they had no external fellowship with the altar of the Lord in Zion, were not therefore strangers to the covenants of promise. They did not live and die beyond the pale of the true church. If, even under the Old Testament, subjection to a divinely appointed frame of Church order was neither essential to connection with the true Church of God, nor a thing which necessarily inferred that connection, much less are the two things to be identified under the more spiritual and universal economy. There is no one frame of Church order that includes all, or nearly all, God’s true people. And, on the other hand, valuable as connection with a well-ordered society may be, something more is necessary in order to prove a man to be a true member of the general assembly and Church of the first-born whose names are written in heaven, the company of the true people of God who shall inherit the kingdom. Not Gentiles only, like Hobab or Rahab or Ruth, but born Israelites were taught to pray that they might have an inheritance in the true Israel,—that the Lord would “remember them with the favour he beareth to his people, so that they might see the good of his elect, and be glad in the gladness of his nation;”† and there is not a member of any Church on earth this day but has, at least, equal need to offer often that admirable prayer.

The ends for which God has instituted his Church (besides the godly nurture of the children of his people—a subject which will come before us at a later stage) are mainly these two: the Edification of the faithful by joint attendance on

* Psalm cxxii. 4.  † Psalm cxi. 4, 5.
religious ordinances, and the holding forth of a Testimony for God in the view of the world.

1. With regard to the former, it is to be observed that the psalms lay great stress on Social Worship. It would have been strange had they not done so; for the social principle is strong in our nature, and it might well have been expected that the Author of our nature would take care to turn the principle to account in promoting his people's edification. It is an old and just remark that Christ taught us to say, not My Father but Our Father, in order that we might be admonished to pray with and for one another. With like reason it is to be inferred from the social quality of the psalms, that we ought deeply to feel the relation which binds us to "the Congregation of the Lord," and to take delight in being associated with his people in his worship. We are admonished that the ideas expressed in such phrases as these:—"the seed of Abraham," "the Israel of God," "the Congregation of the righteous," "Mount Zion," "the daughter of Jerusalem," ought to receive a large place in our hearts. The more we imbibe the spirit of the psalms, the less shall we be inclined to fall into a cold, selfish, unbrotherly isolation. We shall more and more rejoice in the thought on which the apostle of the Gentiles so much delighted to expatiate, that God has, even in the earth, a numerous people, who have all been, by one Spirit, baptised into one body; and that if we are believers indeed, we are members of that body and united in the fellowship of life to all other believers. That Pauline doctrine is not expressly laid down in the psalms, but it underlies them. Hence the delight with which the faithful are seen resorting to the public worship of God. They "serve the Lord with gladness and come into his presence with singing."* They sing with David†:—

1. Behold how good and how pleasant it is
   For brethren to dwell together in unity!

* Psalm c. 2.  † Psalm cxxxiii.; comp. p. 44, above.
LOVE OF GOD'S HOUSE.

3. It is like the dew of Hermon,
That floweth down upon the mountains of Zion;
For there hath Jehovah commanded the blessing,
Even life for evermore.

The ordinances that were celebrated in the temple were, for the most part, of a kind little fitted in themselves to convey spiritual refreshment to the worshippers,—"weak and beggarly elements," soon to be removed, that they might give place to better means of grace. Nevertheless, *inasmuch as they had been appointed by God*, the faithful knew that so long as the divine appointment remained in force, the ministration of the Holy Spirit would accompany and fructify even those barren rites, and that the place where the congregation waited on them would thus become all that its name imported,—a true "Tabernacle of Meeting." The Lord had promised that in every place where he recorded his name he would come to his people and bless them.† The ancient believers came expecting the fulfilment of the promise; and so far was their expectation from being disappointed that the psalms in which they expressed the holy satisfaction they experienced in attending on God's worship, remain to this hour the truest and most adequate expression of the feelings awakened in the souls of Christian worshippers, when their hearts are made to burn within them by the tokens of Christ's presence in their assemblies.

1. How amiable are thy tabernacles, O Jehovah of hosts!  
2. My soul longeth, yea even fainteth for the courts of Jehovah;  
   My heart and my flesh cry aloud to the living God.  
4. Blessed are they that dwell in thy house!  
   They will be still praising thee.  
10. For a day in thy courts is better than a thousand:  
   I had rather be a doorkeeper in the house of my God,  
   Than dwell in the tents of wickedness.‡

2. The Church was instituted not only for the comfort of the faithful, but to maintain a Testimony for God in the view of

* Gal. iv. 9.  
† Exod. xx. 24.  
‡ Psalm lxxxiv.
the world, from age to age. We find, accordingly, that the piety unfolded in the psalms is largely imbued with what may be called in modern phrase, a Public Spirit. It is assumed that, wherever true religion has found entertainment in the heart, there will be a lively interest in the cause of God. There are people, called by the Christian name, who take no interest in the Churches of Christ. However deeply religion may be wounded in their sight, they feel no wound in their heart; and the prosperity of Zion sends them no thrill of joy. Certainly such persons are strangers to the mind of Christ; for he could say that "the zeal of God's house had eaten him up," and I am sure they can neither pray the Lord's Prayer nor sing the Psalms. The spirit which utters itself in the songs of Zion is the very opposite of theirs. It is that which the exiles expressed* when they hung their harps on the willows of Babylon: "If I forget thee, O Jerusalem, let my right hand forget her cunning. If I do not remember thee, let my tongue cleave to the roof of my mouth; if I prefer not Jerusalem above my chief joy."

This consuming zeal for the house of God is common to all the psalms. So many of them are either lamentations over the reverses of Zion or songs of thanksgiving because of her prosperity, and so distinctly do they thus reflect her contemporary fortunes, that the careful student of the national history finds little difficulty in affixing to many of them the date at which they were composed and first sung. One consequence is, that God has thus provided songs adapted to every variety of condition in which the Church can be placed. Another scarcely less important is, that the faithful are admonished to raise themselves out of that selfish isolation,—that entire absorption in the concerns of their own personal well-being,—into which even good men are apt to fall. I believe that the lesson just named is one which very many God-fearing people have sadly failed to lay to heart. They can sing that half of the Psalter which expresses the various

* Psalm cxxxvii. 5, 6.
exercises of personal piety; but the other half, which summons them to remember Zion, calls forth little of warm sympathy from their hearts. Even in the interest of personal piety itself, this is to be lamented. Job's captivity was turned when he prayed for his friends: and it has many a time been found that believers who before were troubled with weakness and perpetual fears, have been lifted up into a higher and brighter and serener region, when, looking no more on their own things only, they have become absorbed in labours and prayers in behalf of some grand Christian enterprise. The Lord will not fail to "remember his Davids and all their afflictions,"—their anxious labours for his house and kingdom. David's own faith in this matter was strong; and in one of the songs of degrees he encourages God's people to pray for the peace of Jerusalem, by reminding them that "they shall prosper that love her."*

While manifesting such a lively interest in the varying fortunes of Zion in their own time, the psalmists do not confine their view to one generation. They dwell much on the History of the Church in former times. They look back, as well as around; and live much in communion with the generations that have long passed away. I have not attempted to compute the relative space given to the historical element in the Psalter, but it must be very considerable. Several of the longest of the psalms are historical from beginning to end. The Sixty-eighth, although it is brightened with an ultimate reference to Christ and the gospel times, is, in the first instance, a glowing recital of the march out of Egypt and the conquest of Canaan. The Seventy-eighth, the Hundred and fifth, and the Hundred and sixth, all traverse the same field. In the Seventy-eighth, Asaph, taking up his Parable, teaches the people to read the dangers and the duties of their own time in the light of the history of the nation between the Exodus and the reign of Solomon. In the Hundred and fifth, one of the later psalmists taking the materials furnished by the same history, builds them up into a

* Psalm cxxii. 6.
lofty Ode of thanksgiving, that so the Lord's name may be hallowed in the continual commemoration of his mighty acts. The Hundred and sixth, which is also from the later period of Old Testament psalmody, partakes of a quite different character: it is a sorrowful confession of the sins by which the nation had brought dishonour on the name of the Lord and provoked him to anger, in every period of its long history. These are the most prominent of the Historical Psalms. Others of less note will occur to the reader's memory; and there are, besides, historical allusions in very many of the non-historical psalms.

This historical quality of the Psalter deserves more consideration than it has commonly received. It proceeds upon the great principle of the unity of the Church in its successive generations. The events of the past are celebrated, not as matters foreign to the men of the present generation, but as matters in which they are vitally interested. They are summoned to humble themselves in the retrospect of sins long past, and to say with Daniel, "O Lord to us belongeth confusion of face, to our kings, to our princes, and to our fathers, because we have sinned against thee."* They are invited also to commemorate, with thanksgiving, the years of the right hand of the Most High,—the times when the Lord revived his people and prospered the work of their hands. By a curious coincidence it happens that each of the three longest of the Historical Psalms is introduced with certain prefatory stanzas: and these are worth looking into, not only for their own sakes, but as indications of the scope of the respective psalms. The following are the Prefaces to the Hundred and sixth and the Hundred and fifth, respectively:—

Hallelujah!

1. O give thanks unto Jehovah, for he is good:
   For his loving-kindness endureth for ever.
2. Who can utter the mighty acts of Jehovah?
   Who can show forth all his praise?
3. Blessed are they that keep judgment,
   [Even every one] that doeth righteousness at all times.

* Daniel ix. 8.
4. Remember me, O Jehovah, with the favour that thou bearest unto thy people;
   O visit me with thy salvation:

5. That I may see the good of thy chosen,
   That I may be glad in the gladness of thy nation,
   That I may make my boast with thine inheritance.

6. We have sinned with our fathers,
   We have committed iniquity,
   We have done wickedly.

1. O give thanks unto Jehovah, proclaim his name: *
   Make known among the peoples his doings.

2. Sing unto him, sing psalms unto him;
   Talk ye of all his wondrous works.

3. Glory ye in his holy name;
   Let the heart of them rejoice that seek Jehovah.

4. Inquire ye after Jehovah and his strength;
   Seek his face evermore.

5. Remember his wondrous works that he hath done;
   His tokens and the judgments of his mouth;

6. O ye seed of Abraham his servant,
   Ye children of Jacob, his chosen.

Running through these and many other passages there is a sentiment of national continuity, analogous to that of personal identity. I know I am the same person I was twenty years ago: and, believing as I do, that all the events of my life are governed by the provident wisdom of God, I feel it to be my duty carefully to keep in memory and often to meditate upon, the way he has led me and tended me from my youth. I know it would be both a dereliction of duty and a forfeiture of inestimable benefits, were I to forget the errors of my youth or the dispensations of God’s providence in ordering my lot. How often in times of perplexity or sorrow has the believer found the strongest comfort in calling to remembrance instances in which God heard his prayer and sent him help, in years gone

* This, rather than “call upon his name,” is the correct rendering, both here and in Gen. iv. 26, xii. 8, &c. Comp. Exod. xxxiii. 19; xxxiv. 5, where the correct rendering is given in the Authorised Version. So Luther, predigt seinen Namen; and Ainsworth, proclaim, i.e. preach his name.
THE CHURCH.

by! Well, the Psalmists recognise a similar identity,—a corporate identity,—as pertaining to the Church, and linking together its successive generations. Accordingly, they represent the Church of any given time as having very much the same interest in its prior history which any individual has in his infancy or childhood: and, in their hands, the principle is wonderfully fruitful both of admonition and comfort. How admirably is it applied, for example, in the Seventy-seventh Psalm! In a time of deep distress, a dark and cloudy day, the daughter of Zion is at the point of despair: "Will the Lord cast off for ever? and will he be favourable no more? Is his mercy clean gone for ever? doth his promise fail for evermore? Hath God forgotten to be gracious? Hath he in anger shut up his tender mercies?" How does her faith obtain the victory in this conflict? It is by reverting to her own history in better days, and calling to remembrance God's doings of old. "I said, this is my infirmity: but I will remember the years of the right hand of the Most High. I will remember the works of the Lord; surely I will remember thy wonders of old. I will meditate also of all thy work, and talk of thy doings." This, accordingly, is what she proceeds to do throughout the verses that follow. She meditates on the mighty acts of the Lord in the redemption of Israel from Egypt, till the clouds pass away and her confidence is restored.

The principle involved in all this is set forth in a remarkable way in the Preface to the Seventy-eighth Psalm:—

1. Give ear, O my people, to my law:
   Incline your ear to the words of my mouth.
2. I will open my mouth in a parable;
   I will utter dark sayings from the ancient time:
3. Which we have heard and known,
   And our fathers have told us.
4. We will not hide them from their children,
   Telling to the after generation the praises of Jehovah,
   And his strength, and the wonderful works that he hath done.

The announcement with which Asaph opens his song, calls for a word or two of explanation. He promises that he will
set forth "a parable" and "dark sayings:" yet when we look into the psalm it seems to be just a poetical rehearsal of the marvellous story of the Exodus, the Forty Years' sojourn in the wilderness, and the stormy period of the Judges. Where then, it may be asked, are the parable and the dark sayings that were promised? The truth is, that the facts of the history are viewed, not as mere events—things that fell out in those old times—but rather as divine dispensations, the judgments of the Most High, each of which, since it embodied a thought of God's heart, was full of instruction for the generations following. This is the view which the apostle teaches us to take of the history of God's ancient people; for the things which befell them, he writes, "happened unto them for ensamples: and they are written for our admonition, upon whom the ends of the world are come."* As Christ during his personal ministry instructed the Church with spoken parables, so during the long centuries of the Old Testament he instructed it with acted parables. It is impossible to estimate the profit, in the shape both of doctrine, and reproof, and correction, and instruction in righteousness, which serious persons have derived from the events of the history of which so large a portion of the Psalter is the lyrical memorial.

Rationalists will, of course, sneer at this account of the Historical Psalms. They see in them nothing but national songs. If there be any lyrical faculty in a nation, it naturally applies itself to the celebration of the national heroes and the most memorable passages of the national history: and what more reasonable than to attribute to this source the historical poems of the Bible? The explanation can be dressed so as to captivate the unwary. But it will not stand. Not to dwell upon the fact that all the psalmists are careful to testify, either explicitly or by clear implication, that, in their judgment, the national history is a "Parable," that it is everywhere replete with religious significance, and that their design, in making it the burden of their song, is to spread abroad the lessons it was meant to teach;—not to dwell, I say, on that fact, it is enough to remark,

* 1 Cor. x. 11.
that there is no glorifying, either of the nation itself or of its great men. This is quite fatal to the notion that these psalms are national songs and nothing more. That the lyrical genius of the Hebrew bards was quite capable of celebrating great men and chivalrous deeds, is sufficiently proved by David's lament for Saul and Jonathan. Yet the Psalter does not contain one song of that order. There is not a single ode in praise of any national hero, Abraham or Joseph, Moses or Joshua or Samson. If David seems to be an exception, it is to be remembered that he occupies a singular place in the history, as the ancestor and type of Christ. When the Psalter extols him, it is not as a national hero, but as the Anointed of the God of Jacob; and the praise is intended for the royal office and the Divine Antitype. When David, in his individual person, comes before us, it is not as a hero at all, but in the totally different character of a sinner saved by grace. As for that glorifying of the nation, which is the habit of every other lyrical literature, there is no trace of it in the Scriptures. On the contrary, the ordinary drift of the Historical Psalms is to inculcate on the people the remembrance of their sins, and to make them feel that in no respect were they intrinsically better than their neighbours. Let any one who doubts this read the Hundred and sixth Psalm. The key-note is that sorrowful confession with which, as we have seen, it begins: "We have sinned with our fathers, we have committed iniquity, we have done wickedly," and that penitential tone is maintained to the close. The poets of the nations have never written in this humbling strain. The world does not contain another instance of a collection of national lyrics so totally devoid of everything that could inflame national vanity, so redolent of a sense of the unworthiness of the nation, and of the marvellous grace of the Most High.

One other remark before quitting this topic. The characteristic of the Bible Psalmody on which I have been commenting,—the large space occupied by odes which invite the godly to feel and expatiate upon the intimate relation which unites them,
a fellowship of life, to the whole people of God on the earth, and to the Church of all preceding times,—does it not impressively teach us, that the humblest believer is crowned with a dignity which casts the honours of the earth into the shade? He is a citizen of no mean city. God has enrolled him in the citizenship of the heavenly Jerusalem, in the general assembly and congregation of the first-born. On one occasion, when John Knox had expressed somewhat freely his judgment respecting certain affairs of state, the Queen scornfully asked, what he had to do with such matters: "What are ye within this commonwealth?" "A subject born within the same, Madam," was the Reformer's intrepid reply.* Those noble words have sometimes been recalled to my mind by the bold and public-spirited way in which the psalmists offer petitions and remonstrances respecting the high affairs of the kingdom of God, and invite us to do the same. To a secular mind it seems a vain thing, when some believer, it may chance a person in a very humble station, not only manifests a zealous interest in great public questions vitally affecting church and commonwealth, but ventures to offer prayer respecting them, in the hope of thus contributing something towards the promotion of divine truth and public justice. I suppose that among those who have made conscience of labouring in prayer that God's kingdom may come and his will be done in the earth, there are few who have not been troubled with misgivings and doubts respecting the likelihood of their being able to accomplish anything by their prayers. I can well imagine that when Daniel set apart a day for special supplication with respect to the captive church and its predicted return, even he might be momentarily shaken in mind by sceptical doubts insinuating themselves in the guise of humility. "What am I in this great kingdom of God, that I should attempt to make my voice heard in its high affairs? Would it not better consist with modesty were I, when I pray, to confine myself to my own personal concerns;—my sins, my necessities, the mercies I have received, the hopes I cherish?

* Knox's History of the Reformation, ii. 388 (Edin. 1848).
Am I not overbold thus to deal with God for my people, confessing their sins and seeking their good? Is it not a fond conceit to imagine that my prayers shall avail anything in bringing about those great imperial revolutions which are to break the fetters of my people and restore them to the place of our fathers' sepulchres?" Misgivings like these will arise in the hearts of God's people and weaken their faith when they pray for the coming of Christ's kingdom;—or might have done so, if God had not himself filled their mouths with songs which invite them to cherish a self-forgetting zeal for his glory, to realize their rights and responsibilities as the citizens of his Jerusalem, and to cherish the steadfast hope that when the Lord shall build up Zion and appear in his glory, "he will regard the prayer of the destitute, and not despise their prayer."*

* Psalm cii. 16, 17.
CHAPTER IX.

THE FUTURE GLORIES OF THE CHURCH.

In common with the whole Scriptures, the Psalms predict the ultimate extension of the Church of Christ over all the earth, and a universal prevalence of truth and holiness, of justice and peace. They invite us, accordingly, to launch into the unvisited seas of the future; that, by the help of that faith "which is the substance of things hoped for, and the evidence of things not seen," we may expatiate on the glorious things that have been spoken concerning the City of God.

Nothing can well exceed the plainness, directness, and precision with which the conversion of the nations is announced. "All nations whom thou hast made shall come and worship before thee, O Lord; and shall glorify thy name. For thou art great, and doest wondrous things: thou art God alone."* "All the ends of the world shall remember, and turn unto the Lord; and all the kindreds of the nations shall worship before thee."† There is no mistaking the meaning of these announcements. They are as unambiguous as anything that can be spoken by the most sanguine advocate of Christian missions in this nineteenth century. Yet they come from the age and the pen of David. By him the Holy Spirit has, for eight and twenty centuries, been bearing witness that God's visible church is destined to embrace all the nations whom God has created on the face of the whole earth. A day is coming when they shall all resort to the Lord's throne, and bow themselves down before

* Psalm lxxxvi. 9, 10.  
† Psalm xxii. 27.
him. They have long forgotten him; but they shall one day call to remembrance his claims upon them and will turn to him again, even in the uttermost parts of the earth.

The presence of announcements like these in the Bible, and specially in the Psalter, which is the throbbing heart of the Bible, is a fact worthy of being pondered. It imparts to the Scriptures, and has, from the first, imparted to the piety which has been kindled and nourished from the Scriptures, a character of hopefulness and consequent moral strength nowhere else to be found. It has often been remarked that, in regard to this, there is a wonderful contrast between the Holy Scriptures and scriptural piety on the one hand, and the literatures and religions of paganism on the other. Amongst all nations, the poets have sung much of a golden age; for even the light of nature discloses enough to suggest that the world, as we now see it,—so full of confusion and darkness,—comes far short of ideal perfection; and to awaken a certain sentiment of a better and nobler order of things.* But, while the poetry of the nations that have not enjoyed divine revelation places the golden age in the past, when the human race was young, the poetry of the Bible places it in the future, under the millennial reign of Christ. When a thoughtful heathen looked wistfully into the future, he was filled with gloomy forebodings; for it seemed to him as if the world ever grew the longer the worse. God's people, on the contrary, although in forecasting the future, they have been no strangers to the sorrowful apprehension of coming judgments, have been enabled to desery a serene heaven beyond the clouds, so that the immediate prospect has not reduced them to despair. They have been able to sing with the captive Church, "Thou shalt arise and have mercy on Zion;"—we may not see the happy time, but its advent is sure, and our children

* According to Bacon a sentiment of this sort is one of the chief fountains of grave and lofty song. "If the matter be thoroughly considered, a strong argument may be drawn from Poesy, that a more stately greatness of things, a more perfect order, and a more beautiful variety delights the soul of man than any way can be found in nature, since the fall."—Advancement of Learning, Book II. chap. xiii.
shall see it; "this shall be written for the generation to come, and the people which shall be created shall praise the Lord."* This sentiment pervades the whole Psalter. Its songs are the most hopeful in the world; hopeful and, because hopeful, wholesome and helpful also. They comfort the heart with the sure persuasion that they who fight on the Lord's side,—whose sword, like Christ's, is drawn "in behalf of truth, and meekness, and righteousness,"†—fight on the winning side, and may well be steadfast and unmoveable, always abounding in the work of the Lord, for as much as they know that their labour and conflict shall not be in vain in the Lord.

The tide of hope which runs so strong in this portion of the divine Word is significant in many ways. It bears witness to a catholicity of feeling scarcely to be expected under the Mosaic institutions. Whatever judgment may be formed regarding the matter in controversy between Augustine and the Donatists, he was undoubtedly right in maintaining; as he so often does, that men whose sympathies are restrained within the limits of some provincial sect are in no condition to sing the Psalms of David. The catholicity of these songs is so wide, that it not only embraces in its sympathy God's people all the world over, but refuses to give him rest till he have filled the whole earth with the knowledge of his way. This, I repeat, is very significant. It gives an interesting glimpse into the state of men's minds under the Old Testament, with respect to the Gentiles. If a people's heart burn with desire to embrace some neighbouring community in the loving fellowship of a common faith, it may hate the ways of that community, and may shun their familiar society so long as they remain unconverted but it will not regard them with scornful disdain. Now, it is to be remembered that the psalms were the utterance, not only of individual feeling, but of the common mind of the Hebrew Church. As Church songs, they were in perpetual use in public worship. They must be accepted, therefore, as indubitably attesting the existence in Israel of a tone of sentiment in relation to the

* Psalm cii. 13, 18.  
† Psalm xlv. 4.
Gentiles very different from what has often been imagined. It has long been the fashion in some quarters to speak disparagingly of the religion of the Old Testament; ascribing to it a certain "hateful particularism" (such is the favourite phrase),—a bitter, scornful antipathy to the Gentiles. It is not difficult to understand how some learned persons have been led into this estimate of the Hebrew Church. If men will take the Pharisees for the genuine representatives of Old Testament piety, and confine their attention to those parts of the law which the Pharisees (reading them apart from the general drift of the Scripture) abused to the encouragement of their unholy pride, they can reach no other conclusion. The estimate, nevertheless, is just about as unrighteous a one as ever men of learning fell into. No doubt, God separated the seed of Abraham to be a peculiar people to himself, and imposed on them a system of rigid and exclusive ordinances, to be a wall of separation between them and the Gentiles. But it would be easy to shew that this barrier was reared for defensive purposes alone. Its use was to ensure the conservation of the true faith and the pure worship of the living God in the bosom of the chosen people; not to debar the surrounding nations from participating in the benign influences which that faith and worship never fail to diffuse. The wall of partition was built to shut out heathenism, not to shut in the truth. The purpose it was meant to serve may be compared to the one served by the glass walls of a conservatory; which are set up, not to make the rest of the garden cold, but to protect the border where tender flowers and herbs are to be cherished till the summer comes round. Nothing can be plainer than that the Hebrew Church was taught to look forth from Zion upon the blinded heathen, not with pride and scorn, but with commiseration and earnest desire that the Lord would make known to them also his salvation.*

* Some observations on the subject here touched upon will be found in a singularly eloquent sermon, The Mission Hymn of the Hebrew Church, by my honoured friend, the Rev. Dr Goold (Edinburgh, 1866.) Speaking of the 67th psalm, he remarks, that "it was not some rare and sudden elevation to which our poet rose on the wing of a special inspiration. It was
Since it is certain that, as a rule, the Psalms are not so much the vehicle of new revelations as the authentic response of the Church to revelations elsewhere delivered, it becomes an interesting question, Where are the revelations which we may suppose the Holy Spirit to have employed in filling the psalmists with the hopes they utter respecting the conversion of the nations? So far as the later psalmists are concerned, the explanation is obvious. They had listened to the predictions of Isaiah; and we can distinctly hear his tones ringing through their songs. But how shall we account for the equally explicit language of David and his contemporaries?

The question admits of a satisfactory answer. The Pentateuch contains several distinct intimations of God’s purpose to manifest himself to the Gentiles; as when he promised to Moses,* "As truly as I live, all the earth shall be filled with the glory of the Lord." What is more remarkable,—it can be shewn that the earlier Scriptures are framed according to a plan which must have been intended to awaken, in thoughtful readers, just those catholic hopes which animated the heart of the Hebrew Church. Thus the opening chapters of Genesis announce the truth with which the apostle startled the Athenians, that "God hath made of one blood all nations of men for to dwell on all the face of the earth."† I will not affirm of that single truth (so generally forgotten by the heathen) that it would of itself have sufficed to awaken hopes respecting the nations; yet when David writes, "all nations whom thou hast made shall come and worship designed to embody the hope, the desire, the prayers of the whole Hebrew Church; that Church which some delight to paint as so rigid in its forms, so narrow in its beliefs, so morose in its spirit, that our relation to it is only that of contrast, if not positive antagonism. . . . We would have hailed it, had it been some tiny stream of holy music issuing from the tower of David in his night watches, when the moon was sleeping on Olivet, and no other voice joined him in the rapt minstrelsy expressive of his individual and peculiar hope. But it comes to us a Church’s Hope, a Nation’s Song, in one swelling volume of glorious melody, ringing from every arch of the temple, and reverberating to this hour in echoes that will never die, ‘Let the peoples praise thee, O God; let all the peoples praise thee’" (pp. 2, 3).


X
before thee;"* and when another psalmist, addressing the
Gentiles, exclaims, "Make a joyful noise unto the Lord, all ye
lands: it is He that made us, and not we ourselves:"† it is plain
that they have perceived great prophetical significance in the
history of the creation. They felt that the existing condition
of things, in which the vast majority of God's rational creatures
on the earth live and die in utter oblivion of their Maker, is
monstrous and intolerable; so that if, in spite of it, God's
Providence preserves and blesses the world, the reason must be
that He desires to fill it yet with his glory.

And what shall we say of the Calling of Abraham as it is
recorded a little farther on in Genesis? Doubtless, it had an
aspect of wrath towards the Gentiles. They were to be left to
their own ways; which was, in effect, to deliver them over to
unbelief and dishonour. Accordingly, the carnal-minded Jews
afterwards took occasion from it to justify their proud exclusive-
ness. Were they not Abraham's seed, and therefore entitled to
look with scorn upon "sinners of the Gentiles?" But it is to be
observed, that the same record which commemorates the call of
Abraham intimates that, in electing him, the Lord had a loving
eye to the ultimate salvation of the Gentiles. The oracle which
conveyed to Abraham the intimation that the Lord had chosen
him and his seed to be the covenant society, spoke of a time
when the Gentiles should be likewise blessed; and intimated
that the blessing in store for them should arise from the seed
now promised to him. The sacred historian relates, that on
four different occasions the patriarchs received the promise, that
"in their seed all the nations of the earth should be blessed."‡

In the covenant with Abraham there was therefore an intima-
tion of goodwill to the Gentiles. If he and his seed were
separated from the nations and peculiarly blessed, it was in
order that, in God's good time, all the families of the earth
might share in the blessing. The Hebrew Church carried the
hope of the Gentiles; so that when our Lord declared that

* Psalm lxxvi. 9; and comp. Hengstenberg in loc.
† Psalm c. 1-3.
‡ Genesis xii. 3, xviii. 18, xxii. 18, xxvi. 4.
many should come from the east and west, and should sit down with the patriarchs in the gospel kingdom, it was not a novel announcement he made. He simply recalled attention to an announcement coeval with Abraham.

One who would do full justice to this promise of a blessing to be given to the Gentiles, in the latter days, by the mediation of the seed of Abraham, must read it in connection with the remarkable Table of the Nations which fills the Tenth chapter of Genesis. It is, I think, a most significant circumstance that, immediately before proceeding to relate how God turned his back on the nations and made choice of the seed of Abraham to be his peculiar people, the sacred historian carefully engrosses in his narrative a catalogue of the families by which the whole earth began to be peopled after the flood. May we not discern in this an intimation that, although the nations were to be suffered to walk in their own ways, it was to be only for a season? Their names are solemnly inscribed in the forefront of the sacred Scriptures, in token that, although they may forget the Lord, his purpose is to keep his eye on them and one day to reassert his right to the homage of their hearts. The Table of the Nations, we may be sure, was not set down in the Tenth of Genesis merely to guide the researches, and gratify the thirst, of our modern Archaeologists. It shone from the first with a deep religious significance for the people of God, and kept them from forgetting the Lord's interest in the nations.

These intimations of mercy in store for the Gentiles do not lie quite on the surface of the early Scriptures; and it is likely that, in every generation, there would be many among the children of Israel who overlooked them, and, like the Pharisees, took occasion from the covenant with Abraham to despise the Gentiles, instead of learning from it to take an affectionate and prayerful interest in them, and to look forward in hope to a time when they should be enrolled among the fearers of the Lord. But we must not do the Old Testament Church the injustice of supposing that all its members were so blind and carnal. Here, as in so many other particulars, the Psalms enable us to vindicate the faith of the ancient saints.
They shew that the intimations we have pointed out were neither overlooked nor forgotten. The Church's missionary work, it is true, did not begin under the former dispensation; nor indeed did it begin till the day of Pentecost; for even Christ himself was not sent save to the house of Israel. Till the full time came for the great Sacrifice to be offered up and the Comforter to be sent forth, there was no commission given to the Church to go into all nations, preaching repentance and the remission of sins. The Hebrew Church was neither called nor qualified to be a missionary society. But it never ceased to desire and hope for the conversion of the nations. This is seen in those passages in which the Psalmists betray a consciousness that they shall one day have all the world for auditors. How boldly does David exclaim, "I will praise thee, O Lord, among the peoples; I will sing unto thee among the nations."* In the same spirit a later psalmist summons the Church to lift up her voice, so that all the nations may hear her recital of the Lord's mighty acts: "O give thanks unto the Lord; call upon his name: make known his deeds among the peoples."† The full import of this class of texts is often hidden from the English reader by the circumstance that our Translators have hardly ever used the word people in its plural form. Twice, in the Revelation, they venture to write peoples; everywhere else the singular form has to do duty for both numbers; so that in not a few passages the sense is greatly obscured to those who have no access either to the original or to other versions. In the Psalms, in particular, the mention of the Gentiles is more frequent than the English reader is made aware of. It is to be observed, moreover, that in addition to this strain of indirect prediction, the conversion of the world is articulately celebrated in many glorious psalms.‡ Indeed, so numerous are these, and so generally distributed over the centuries between David and Ezra, that it would seem that, at no

* Psalm lvii. 9.
† Psalm cv. 1.
‡ Psalms ii. xviii. xxii. xlvi. xlvii. lxvi. lxvii. lxxii. lxxxvii. xcvi. xcviii. c. cxvii. Compare Psalms lxv. 2, lxxxii. 8, lxxxix. 25, cx. 2, cxlvi. 11, &c.
time during the long history of inspired Psalmody, did the Spirit cease to indite new songs in which the children of Zion might give utterance to their world-embracing hopes.

It does not fall within the scope of this work to go into the detailed exposition of particular Psalms; and the number of those which, in one way or another, celebrate the Church's future glory, is so great, as to make any attempt at such exposition peculiarly inadmissible in the present instance. It may, nevertheless, be both possible and useful to call attention to some of the more characteristic features of the Church's future, as it is celebrated in these divine songs. The general fact that there is an element of millennial prophecy in the Psalter, can hardly have escaped the notice of any who read the Bible at all; but few are aware how rich and various is its teaching on this fascinating subject.

1. The point which claims notice in the first place, is the care with which the ultimate glorious extension and prosperity of the Church are connected with the Person and Office of Christ. We are thus put on our guard against a prevalent and injurious misconstruction of the predicted progress of the kingdom of God. It requires little faith, now, in this nineteenth century of the Christian era, to affirm confidently that Christianity is destined to embrace the world, and that all the nations whom God has made shall come and bow themselves down before him. Even the dull eye of the worldling can perceive that things are now tending to this issue all the world over. Christendom already embraces the whole living civilisation of the globe. But, if the matter be carefully looked into, it will be found that, not seldom, when men speak about the spread of Christianity, God and his Christ are not in all their thoughts. It is the natural growth of a Reign of Justice they are dreaming of: as if the truth could prevail and make conquest of the world by its own natural force, apart altogether from the supernatural power and grace of Christ. The Psalter presents the matter in a different light. The progress of Christianity is held forth as the progress of a kingdom—the widening reign or domination of a Mighty
Prince, who, having girded his sword upon his thigh, rides prosperously forth, because of truth and meekness and righteousness. It assumes, indeed, that the Truth is the grand instrument by which men's minds are brought into willing subjection. The conversion of "the peoples" is to be accomplished by the "proclamation of God's name, the declaration of his mighty acts."* The Word of God is the sword of the Spirit. But a sword does not wound unless it be grasped by a strong hand. Our blessed Lord, when he went away, left with the disciples not only the Gospel message, but the promise of the Spirit; the promise that he would himself be with them, in the power of the Spirit, even to the end of the world. The real presence of Christ in the midst of his people is that which alone can secure the victory of the truth. The Psalter, accordingly, does not permit us to dissociate the progress of the Church from the Person of her ever-present Lord.

1. Thus saith Jehovah to my Lord,
   "Sit thou at my right hand,
   Until I lay thy foes, as a footstool, at thy feet."
2. The rod of thy strength shall Jehovah send forth from Zion:
   Rule thou in the midst of thine enemies.†

7. I will declare a decree,
   Jehovah hath said unto me, "Thou art my Son;
   This day have I begotten thee."
8. Ask of me and I will give thee the heathen for thine inheritance,
   And for thy possession, the ends of the earth.
9. Thou shalt break them with a rod of iron,
   Like a potter's vessel thou shalt dash them in pieces.‡

In like manner, the Seventy-second Psalm holds forth the felicities of the millennial period as the benign fruits of the reign of the Prince of Peace; and it is quite unwarrantable to explain this away, as if it meant no more than that the blessing is to be wrought out by the doctrine and the institutions with which Christ endowed the Church eighteen centuries ago.

* Psalm cv. 1. † Psalm cx. ‡ Psalm ii.
Invaluable as these are, they could of themselves accomplish among men nothing more than a superficial amelioration of manners. It is the real presence of Christ and the supernatural power of his Spirit which makes them mighty to the conquest of souls. The Church spreads because her "God is in the midst of her."* When at any time she has forgotten her dependence on the invisible intercession of her Head, and the gracious energy of his Spirit, she has found herself shorn of the locks of her great strength, and has become the laughing-stock of the Philistines.

Nor is it only on the intercession and almighty reign of Christ that the psalmists teach us to ground our hope of the conversion of the world. They teach us to seek its ultimate foundation in the Sacrifice he offered on the Cross. The connection between Christ's Cross and his universal mediatorial dominion is wonderfully exhibited in the Twenty-second Psalm. Of the two strongly contrasted parts of which the long poem is composed, the first has the Cross for its theme, the second the Crown. This is the only explanation that can be given of the fact that a Psalm which opens with the cry, Elî, Elî, lâma sabachthâni, ends with a shout of triumph over a world won to God.

27. All the ends of the earth shall remember and turn unto Jehovah:
   All the families of the nations shall bow themselves down before thee.
28. For the kingdom is Jehovah's;
   And he is the Governor among the nations.
29. All the fat ones of the earth shall eat and shall bow themselves down;
   All they that go down to the dust shall bend the knee before him,
   And he that cannot keep alive his soul.
30. A seed shall serve him;
   It shall be reckoned to the Lord for a generation.
31. They shall come, and they shall declare his righteousness
   To a people that shall be born, that he hath performed it.

* Psalm xlvi. 5.
2. The Psalter indicates the means by which the universal prevalence of Christ's kingdom is to be brought about. It would be unreasonable to look to it, or indeed to any other portion of the Old Testament, for detailed instructions with respect to the missionary enterprises of the Church. For instructions of that kind, one must turn to the New Testament; especially to the Acts of the Apostles and the epistles of Paul. It is in the apostolical Scriptures that the Holy Spirit has laid up all necessary information respecting those institutions, and precedents, and counsels by which the Christian Missionary must be guided in his operations. They are the parts of Scripture which are meant to be to him what the "working plans" of an edifice are to the builder. But the builders of God's Zion need something more than "working plans" of the edifice. The Church needs something more than matter-of-fact directions regarding the fit manner of carrying on her Lord's work in the earth. In their own place these are, doubtless, both indispensable and invaluable. But although they yield guidance, they furnish little motive power. We stand in need, therefore, of such general views of the enterprise and its results as will fire the imagination and warm the heart; and for these we are chiefly indebted to the prophets and the psalmists. Missionary sermons are generally preached from Old Testament texts. The best missionary hymns that ever were written,—those which have the power of keeping abreast of every new generation,—are the Hymns in the Psalter. It is certainly a remarkable fact, that although the Old Testament Church was not a Missionary Church, the flame of its piety was fed with missionary hymns; and that the Psalter anticipated, by much more than the space of two thousand years, that efflorescence of evangelistic song which has of late shed a new glory on our modern poetry.

What then do the Missionary psalms teach or suggest, with regard to the means by which the conversion of the Gentiles and the millennial glory are to be brought about? We have already ascertained that the principal instrument is to be the declaration of the truth,—"the truth as it is in Jesus." That
is the rod of Christ's strength, sent out of Zion, whereby he shall rule in the midst of his enemies. Gold also has a place assigned it. Because of his temple at Jerusalem, kings are to bring presents to the Lord, and of the peoples every one is to submit itself with pieces of silver. To the Prince of Peace there is to be "given of the gold of Sheba," while prayer is made for him continually. These two—gifts and prayers—must go together. The gold is not likely to avail much that has not been dedicated with prayer; and, on the other hand, there is something wrong about the prayers which are unaccompanied with gifts proportioned to the suppliant's ability,—something wrong about that man's professed submission to Christ, who does not find his heart moved to give of his substance to promote Christ's work. However, the principle most earnestly inculcated is, that the world is to be brought to God by means of the prayers and labours of a revived church. There must be preaching, and praying, and the giving of men's substance; but the Lord's effectual blessing will not attend these, if they be the constrained offerings and mechanical services of a dead church. The blessing will be sent to crown the hearty services of a church whose heart is fired with love to God, with zeal for his house, with gratitude for his mercy, with Christ-like compassion for souls. Prayer for revival at home and prayer for a blessing abroad ought ever, therefore, to go hand in hand. This is brought out in the Sixty seventh psalm;—the Missionary Hymn of the Hebrew church:—

1. God be gracious unto us, and bless us;  
   [And] cause his face to shine amongst us: (Selah)

2. That thy way may be known upon earth,  
   Among all the heathen, thy salvation.

3. Let the peoples give thanks unto thee, O God;  
   Let all the peoples give thanks unto thee.

4. O let the nations be glad and shout for joy;  
   For thou shalt judge the peoples uprightly,  
   And the nations upon earth shalt thou guide. (Selah.)

* Psalm lviii. 29, 30.  
† Psalm lxxii. 15.
5. Let the peoples give thanks unto thee, O God; 
Let all the peoples give thanks unto thee.

6. The earth shall yield her increase:* 
God, even our own God, shall bless us.

7. God shall bless us: 
And all the ends of the earth shall fear him.

How admirably balanced are the parts of this Missionary song! The people of God long to see all the nations participating in their privileges, "visited with God's salvation, and gladdened with the gladness of his nation."† They long to hear all the nationalities giving thanks to the Lord, and hallowing his name; to see the face of the whole earth, which sin has darkened so long, smiling with the brightness of a second Eden. This is not a vapid sentiment. The desire is so expressed as to connect with it the thought of duty and responsibility. For how do they expect that the happy times are to be reached? They trust, in the first instance, to the general diffusion of the knowledge of God's way, the spreading abroad of the truth regarding the way of salvation. With a view to that, they cry for a time of quickening from the presence of the Lord, and take encouragement in this prayer from the terms of the divinely-appointed benediction. As if they had said, "Hast thou not commanded the sons of Aaron to put thy name upon us and to say, The Lord bless thee and keep thee; the Lord cause his face to shine on thee and be gracious to thee? Remember that sure word of thine! God be gracious unto us, and bless us, and cause his face to shine

* The recent critics, Hengstenberg, Hupfeld, Delitzsch, Alexander, Perowne, following the LXX, Vulgate, and Jerome translate this line in the preterite, "the earth hath yielded her increase;" and are thus led to make the psalm a thanksgiving for the harvest as well as a missionary hymn. I have ventured, nevertheless, to retain the future. The psalmist here, as in verse 1, is carefully taking up into his song the words of the Law; and in Leviticus xxvi. 4, which is the text he has in view, there is the same use of the preterite, although the sense is undoubtedly future. Compare Psalm lxxxv. 12. The scripture constantly represents the millennial time as blessed with plenty. The strophic arrangement given above is the one preferred by Jebb and Delitzsch. † Psalm cvi. 5.
upon us. Let us be thus blessed, and we shall, in our turn, become a blessing. All the families of the earth shall, through us, become acquainted with thy salvation.” Such is the Church’s expectation. And who shall say it is unreasonable? If the little company of a hundred and twenty disciples who met in the upper chamber at Jerusalem, all of them persons of humble station and inconspicuous talents, were endued with such power by the baptism of the Holy Ghost, that within three hundred years the paganism of the Empire was overthrown, one need not fear to affirm that, in order to the evangelisation of the world, nothing more is required than that the churches of Christendom be baptised with a fresh effusion of the same Spirit of power.

3. In celebrating the happy age that awaits the church, the Psalmists use language which implies that the old distinction between Jew and Gentile is to be finally done away.

In three remarkable chapters of the Epistle to the Romans, the series of the divine dispensations toward Jew and Gentile, from first to last, is sketched with a wonderful sweep and comprehensiveness of vision;—the apostle being careful to describe both the dispensations themselves, and the real design of God in them. After the primitive ages, during which the distinction between Jew and Gentile did not exist, there came the Abrahamic or Old Testament period, during which the literal seed of the patriarch constituted the covenant society, and the Gentiles were excluded. The arrangement, no doubt, admitted some exceptions; a few Gentiles, like Rahab and Ruth, being called, and some Israelites suffered to fall away into heathenism; but the rule was as I have described. The Abrahamic period has been succeeded by the pre-millennial Christian period, during which the body of the Jewish people are excluded from the covenant society, and their place is occupied by the first-fruits of the Gentiles. Lastly, there is to come the period of the Church’s millennial glory; when the Jews are to be grafted again into their own olive tree, and to flourish in it side by side with the Gentiles. This plain didactic exposition of the successive periods furnishes the key by which we are to
open the obscurer oracles of the poetical Scriptures; and it enables us to interpret them with a precision beyond the reach of Old Testament readers. Applying it to the Psalms, what do we find?

It is the ordinary manner of the prophets, when they predict the conversion of the Gentiles, to describe it figuratively as their subjugation to the sceptre of the house of David, or as their being moved to resort to Jerusalem to pray before the Lord of Hosts. This manner of representation abounds in the Psalms, and occasionally is found in a shape which might suggest to the unwary the idea of carnal conquests. Thus, in one place, the church is taught to say, "The Lord Most High is terrible; he is a great king over all the earth: he shall subdue the peoples under us, and the nations under our feet."* Let us note, however, by the way, that in the verse immediately preceding, the Gentiles themselves are thus addressed, "O clap your hands all ye peoples; shout unto God with the voice of triumph;"—an invitation which plainly implies that the subjugation announced is not a carnal one at all, but the gaining of men's minds and hearts for God. However, it is evident that the psalms of this class, excellent as they are and perfectly in harmony with what we now know to be the true nature of the gospel Church, did not press the true conception of Messiah's kingdom on the attention of the Hebrew reader. They did not furnish him with a more adequate conception of the gospel dispensation than the one which so long clung to Christ's disciples; and they (we know) looked upon it as a continuation of the Jewish system, with merely a larger resort of Gentile proselytes to Jerusalem than ever was seen of old in the Temple of Solomon.

There are other Psalms which hold forth a very different conception of the Gospel Church. Let the reader mark how the Eighty-seventh, for example, dilates on the glorious things that are spoken concerning the City of God:—

4. I will make mention of Rahab and Babel among them that know me:

* Psalm xlvii. 2.
"NEITHER JEW NOR GREEK."

Behold Philistia, and Tyre, with Ethiopia,—
"This (man) is born there!"

5. And of Zion it shall be said,
"One after another is born in her!"
And the Most High himself shall establish her.
6. Jehovah shall count when he writeth up the peoples,
"This man was born there."

Thus the Gentiles, far and near, are to be incorporated with the Jews into the one commonwealth of the Israel of God, and are, equally with the seed of Abraham, to have their names inserted in the register of the born citizens of Zion. It is a picture in which the Spirit of prophecy foreshadows the truth taught by the apostle of the Gentiles when he writes, that "by one Spirit are we all baptized into one body, whether we be Jews or Gentiles, whether we be bond or free."* In the Church of Christ there is no distinction to be made between Jew and Gentile; any more than between rich and poor, or between bond-men and free-men. The middle wall of partition is now thrown down. All the kindreds of the peoples are to come into the courts of the Lord and take part without fear in the most solemn duties of his worship, on the same terms as the children of Israel. Trophimus the Ephesian has the same right to tread the Temple courts as Barnabas the Levite, or Saul of Tarsus the Hebrew of the Hebrews. How spiritedly is this declared in the Ninety-sixth Psalm!

1. O sing unto Jehovah a new song:
   Sing unto Jehovah all the earth.

2. Sing unto Jehovah, bless his name:
   Publish his salvation from day to day.

3. Declare his glory among the heathen,
   His wonders among all the peoples.

4. For greatly is Jehovah, and greatly to be praised:
   To be feared is he above all gods.

5. For all the gods of the peoples are idols:
   But Jehovah made the heavens.

6. Honour and majesty are before him:
   Strength and beauty are in his sanctuary.

* 1 Cor. xii. 13.
7. Give unto Jehovah, O ye kindreds of the peoples:
   Give unto Jehovah glory and strength.
8. Give unto Jehovah the glory due unto his name:
   Bring an offering and come into his courts.
9. O worship Jehovah in holy vesture:
   Tremble before him, all the earth.

This joyous psalm belongs in part to a class which carry the mind forward to a time that still lies in the future; inasmuch as they not only predict the millennial glory, but are themselves Songs of the Millennium. Not content with hailing the happy time from afar, they transport us into the midst of it, and celebrate its joys as present realities. It is a striking fact, and worthy to be pondered by those who are haunted with the notion that the Psalms are somehow antiquated, and that we must part company with them if we would keep abreast of the age;—it is, I say, a striking fact, that there are found in the Psalter hymns which are far in advance of any time the world has yet seen; insomuch that the faithful will never be able to sing them with an entire appropriation and unqualified delight, till the earth is covered with the knowledge of the Lord. The Hundredth Psalm is an example of this class; as are also the Hundred and seventeenth and the Ninety-eighth. The two former were cited in an earlier chapter. The Ninety-eighth bears so directly on the point in hand that it must be quoted here. The scope of it is well indicated in our Translators' note, "The Psalmist exhorteth the Jews, the Gentiles, and all the creatures, to praise God." It belongs to the last and brightest of the three Periods delineated in the epistle to the Romans, and is properly a song of the mighty congregation of the Lord which will fill the earth with the voice of rejoicing and salvation, when all Israel shall have been recovered to the faith of Abraham, and the fulness of the Gentiles shall have been brought in.

A PSALM.

1. Sing unto Jehovah a new song,
   For he hath done marvellous things;
   His right hand and his holy arm hath wrought salvation for him.
2. Jehovah hath made known his salvation; In the sight of the heathen hath he revealed his righteousness.

3. He hath remembered his lovingkindness and his faithfulness toward the house of Israel: All the ends of the earth have seen the salvation of our God.

4. Make a joyful noise unto Jehovah, all the earth; Break forth, and rejoice, and sing praise.

5. Sing praise unto Jehovah with the harp; With the harp and the voice of a psalm.

6. With trumpets and sound of cornet, Make a joyful noise before Jehovah, the King.

7. Let the sea roar and the fulness thereof; The world and they that dwell therein.

8. Let the rivers clap their hands, Together let the hills rejoice,

9. Before Jehovah, for he cometh to judge the earth, He shall judge the world with righteousness, And the peoples with uprightness.

Is not this indeed a Millennial Anthem? "It accords with the condition of the world when Christ shall sit enthroned in the willing loyalty of our race. The nations join in an acclaim of praise to Him as their rightful Judge and King. There is a unanimity in the song, as if it ascended from a world purged into a temple of holiness, and whose inhabitants were indeed a royal priesthood, with one heart to make Jesus King, with one voice to sound forth one peal of melody in praise of the name above every name."

4. From the quotations now made, it will be seen that the Psalter is much occupied in celebrating the benign fruits which Christ's reign is to yield in all the earth. It will be a reign of Holiness. This is its proper and distinctive nature. Under it, the ends of the earth will fear God, and rejoice in his salvation. It will be a reign of Justice. Under it, the wars and oppressions and cruelties, the unequal laws and iniquitous institutions that have so long vexed and cursed the world, shall find a place no more. This happy reformation is usually foretold (as in the Psalm just quoted) in the form of a proclamation

* Dr Goold's Mission Hymn of the Heb. Church, p. 5.
that the Lord is coming “to judge the earth.” It is important, therefore, to keep in mind the true sense and intention of that oft-repeated proclamation. It does not refer, as an unwary reader might suppose, to the Judgment of the Great Day. There is no terror in it. The Psalms that have it for their principal burden are jubilant in the highest degree. The design of the proclamation is to announce Christ in the character of a Peaceful Prince coming to administer equal laws with an impartial hand, and so to cause wrong and contention to cease in the earth. This is Christ’s manner of judging the earth. What he has already done in this direction enables us to form a clear conception of what he will yet set himself to do. When he designs to accomplish great and salutary reforms in the political and social institutions of a people, he begins by dislodging bad principles from men’s minds and planting Scriptural principles in their stead; by purging evil passions from men’s hearts, and baptising them with the Spirit of truth and justice, godliness and loving-kindness. A sure foundation having been thus laid for a better order of things, he will by some storm of controversy or of revolution sweep away the institutions in which injustice has entrenched itself, and will thus make it possible for righteousness to have free course. Oh what a store of comfort for the downtrodden, the enslaved, the needy, is laid up in the announcement that the Lord is coming to be the avenger of all such! Well may all the creatures be invited to clap their hands for joy at the thought that He has taken this work in hand; that He sitteth upon the floods; and that the storms that agitate the nations are the chariot in which he rides to take possession of the earth, and make it an abode of righteousness and peace! What a pleasant scene unfolds its tranquil beauty on the canvas of the peaceful son of David, when he delineates in the Seventy-second psalm the justice and sovereignty with which David’s greater Son, the true Prince of Peace, will reign over the whole earth in the latter days!

6. He shall come down like rain on the mown meadow;
   As showers that water the earth.
7. In his days shall the righteous flourish;  
   And abundance of peace till the moon be no more.
8. And He shall have dominion from sea to sea,  
   And from the river to the ends of the earth.
9. Before him shall the inhabitants of the wilderness bow;  
   And his enemies shall lick the dust.
10. The kings of Tarshish and the isles shall render gifts:  
    The kings of Sheba and Seba shall offer presents.
11. And all kings shall bow themselves down before him;  
    All nations shall serve him.
12. For he shall deliver the needy when he crieth;  
    The afflicted also, and him that hath no helper.
13. He shall spare the poor and needy,  
    And the souls of the needy he shall save.
14. From oppression and from violence he shall ransom their soul:  
    And precious shall their blood be in his sight.
15. And they shall live, and give him of the gold of Sheba,  
    And pray for him continually:  
    All the day long shall they bless him.
16. There shall be abundance of corn in the land upon the top of the mountains;  
    The fruit thereof shall rustle like Lebanon:  
    And they shall spring forth from the city like the herbs of the earth.
17. His name shall endure for ever:  
    In presence of the sun shall his name be continued:  
    Men shall bless themselves in him;  
    All nations shall call him happy.

Such being the fruits with which Christ's reign will bless the world, who would not join in the prayer of Asaph and say, "Arise, O God, judge the earth: for thou shalt inherit all nations."* Even so, come Lord Jesus! come quickly!

* Psalm Ixxxii. 8.
CHAPTER X.

THE FAMILY AND THE COMMONWEALTH.

WITH a divine comprehensiveness, the Psalter touches human life at every point. As there are psalms for the Individual, and psalms for the Church; so there are psalms for the Home, and psalms for the Commonwealth. If either the Family or the State had been overlooked in the Book of Praise, the omission would have been painfully felt; for they are the primitive forms of society, divinely ordained, and all-pervading in their influence. They differ from the Church in this respect, that, having their foundation in nature, they derive their authority from the law of nature; whereas the Church is wholly founded in grace, and derives its authority from the supernatural institution and law of Christ. The distinction is very important; but we ought to be careful not to exaggerate its importance. It is not to be imagined that, because the Family and the State spring out of the primitive constitution of human nature and are competent to the heathen, they are therefore to be set down either as institutions which owe no allegiance to God's law, or as institutions upon which the dominion and law of Christ have no claim. Families and nations, if they are to answer the ends of their existence, must be in intelligent and willing subjection to the Most High, and must take his law for their rule. Now it is certain that, in our fallen world, this loyal subjection to God cannot be realised except in connection with the redemptive work of our Lord Jesus Christ. It was his design, in undertaking our redemption, that the effects of his mediation should extend to all the
relations that had been debased by sin; and the all-important relations of the Family and the State were certainly not overlooked.

In this doctrine, of Christ's relation to the two primary forms of human society, lies the explanation of the place they occupy in the Psalter. There are schools of political philosophy which prate of what they style "the atheism of the State"; but the Psalms reject that doctrine, and recognise both Family and State as institutions which ought to be neither without God nor without Christ. While giving the foremost place to the Church,—as it was fit in lyrics of the spiritual life,—they look with a kindly eye on the two more primitive institutions. The significance of this was long overlooked even by wise and holy men. The early Fathers were haunted with the notion (since so prevalent in the Church of Rome) that Domestic and Political life are essentially profane; insomuch, that one who would lead a religious life must come out and be separate from them. "Nor was it till the Reformation, and its assertion of the dignity of the family and the nation against the Papacy, which made war upon them both, that the letter of the Old Testament, with its record of an elect family and chosen nation, came to its full rights and honour, in this matter."*

The Romish disparagement of the family and nation has now (one would think) been finally banished from the minds of intelligent Christians. It is not necessary, therefore, to expend words in elucidating the testimony to their dignity that can be gathered from the psalms. But there are other and still more important purposes that will be served by a careful study of those views of Domestic and National Life which pervade the Psalter.

I. I begin with the Family; which is the Nation in embryo. Of the fifteen Songs of Degrees, two are pictures of domestic life; and they are both replete with instruction on the point in hand. One of them, the Hundred and twenty-eighth Psalm, is

* Archbishop Trench, *Augustine as an Interpreter of Scripture*, p. 64.
anonymous; and we have no materials for forming so much as a probable conjecture regarding its author and date.

1. Happy is every one that feareth Jehovah,
   That walketh in his ways.
2. For the labour of thine hands thou shalt eat:
   Happy shalt thou be, and it shall be well with thee.
3. Thy wife shall be like a fruitful vine
   Within thy house:
   Thy children like olive plants
   Round about thy table.
4. Behold that thus shall the man be blessed
   That feareth Jehovah.
5. Jehovah shall bless thee out of Zion;
   And [thou shalt] see the good of Jerusalem all the days of thy life.
   [And] peace upon Israel.

The domestic institution had its birth in Eden, before sin had tainted our nature with its foul breath. In sparing to the world this relic of Paradise, the Lord meant not only that it should mitigate the evils which have come in by sin, but that, in the hands of God-fearing men and women, it should import into this life something that might recall the memory of the Paradise that has been lost; and both stir up yearnings after, and minister to our preparation for, the Paradise that is regained by the Son of God. All this crowds in upon the mind in thoughtfully listening to the music of the psalmist. His ode is like a Zephyr laden with the fragrance of the garden of God. It is the picture of a happy home. God has blessed the land with peace. The husband goes forth to his toils, not sparing himself, that he may provide for his house. And God is pleased to bless his industry. He eats the labour of his hands. When the sun declines to the western sea, he intermits his toil, and returns to enjoy the solace of a home made bright and musical with the presence and the voices of wife and children. The domestic pieties run in a full stream, for they are fed from heaven. It is not a scene of mere earthly or secular enjoyment that the prophet delineates. Above this
home heaven is open and the angels of God ascend and descend. Never a day passes over the family but they lift up their eyes to Him that dwelleth in the heavens, and wait on the Lord their God until that he have mercy upon them. They love Zion. When the great Congregation praises the Lord, they take part in the song, "both young men and maidens, old men and children": and out of Zion the Lord sendeth them his salvation from day to day. Their mutual love and their table mercies are made the sweeter to them, by being enjoyed in the fear of the Lord.

The psalmist's description is a very bright one, and it has been often realised in the homes of the godly. But there is another side to the picture. Domestic life has its share of the sorrows and anxieties that belong to this sin-stricken world. The birth of children not seldom is itself the occasion of anxiety: for how are they to be fed and clothed? Another Family Song has been provided to meet this case. The Hundred and twenty-seventh Psalm is the complement of the Hundred and twenty-eighth. It was cited before, in our notice of Solomon's psalms,* but may well be introduced again in this place.

1. Except Jehovah build the house,
   In vain they labour that build it:
   Except Jehovah keep the city,
   In vain the watchman waketh.

2. It is vain for you to rise up early, to sit up late,
   To eat the bread of sorrowful toils:
   Even so he giveth to his beloved [in] sleep.

3. Lo, an heritage of Jehovah are children;
   A reward is the fruit of the womb.

4. As arrows in the hand of a mighty man,
   So are the children of [a man's] youth.

5. Happy the man who hath filled his quiver with them;
   They shall not be ashamed,
   But they shall speak with the enemies in the gate.

Children are the Lord's gift; and parents may trust in Him that, if they do their duty, He who sends mouths will send meat

* See pp. 75, 76, above.
to fill them. Prudent industry ought not to be suffered to degenerate into unbelieving anxiety about the future.

These domestic psalms caused sore perplexity to the early fathers. For the notion already referred to, that conjugal life is essentially earthly in its spirit and incompatible with a high style of godliness, early came to prevail, and engendered that false and dangerous estimation of celibacy which ultimately brought forth its fruit in the monastic institutions of the Greek and Latin churches. Even Chrysostom and Augustine were not superior to this weakness. The latter divine, in expounding the psalms before us, explains away the obvious and natural sense of the words and turns them into an allegory. The *wife* is the church;—for is not the spouse of Christ a vine from Egypt—a fruitful vine? The *olive plants* are the children of Zion: and so forth. Much can be said, no doubt, in extenuation of the error of these great men. It was an error, nevertheless, and wrought much mischief. Of the many services which the world owes to the Reformers, not the least valuable was their reinstating of the Family in its long-forgotten honours. Luther, in particular, vindicated the truth of God on this subject with incomparable power. His marriage was intended to be a protest against the doctrine which attributed a peculiar sanctity to single life, and a solemn declaration of his belief that the wedded life of Christians is holy; and the testimony was not thrown away. Of the four bas-reliefs that adorn the great Luther-Monument just erected at Worms, one perpetuates the memory of the day on which the Reformer gave his hand to Catherine von Bora, and expresses the sense entertained by the German nation of the value of the example which he then set to his countrymen and to all Christendom. It deserves to be mentioned that Luther's high estimate of the dignity of the Family was mightily fortified by the psalms; especially by the two which we have quoted. He wrote separate commentaries on them, in which he did ample justice to their natural and obvious sense. Thus men's minds were opened to perceive that the monastic idea of a religious life is a very different one indeed from that of the holy prophets and psalmists.
The Family is honoured in the psalms, because it has a very honourable place assigned it in God's economy of salvation. Christian families are ordained to be nurseries for heaven. Not that the grace of God can be made an heirloom in any line of natural descent. It is not transmissible by man. Every child of Adam who is saved must have been the subject, in his own person, of a radical change, by the special grace of the Holy Spirit. But it is plain that we are not left altogether without information with regard to the quarters in which the ministration of the Spirit is ordinarily vouchsafed; and both Scripture and experience bear witness that God is wont to pour out his quickening Spirit especially on the seed of true believers. "The promise is to them and to their children."* Under the Old Testament, as under the New, the initial Sacrament, which was the "seal of the righteousness of faith,"† was appointed to be administered to believers and to their seed along with them; and thus the Lord intimated that the children of those who are members of the covenant society are members along with them. That this is the principle underlying the domestic element which receives such honourable prominence in the Psalter, is plain from such passages as the following in the Hundred and third Psalm:

15. As for man, his days are as grass,
   As a flower of the field so he flourisheth.
16. For the wind passeth over it, and it is gone,
   And the place thereof knoweth it no more.
17. But the loving-kindness of Jehovah is from everlasting to everlasting
   upon them that fear him,
   And his righteousness unto children's children;
18. To such as keep his covenant,
   And to those that remember his statutes to do them.

This promise respecting children and children's children, is intended, like every other, to be a stimulus to duty, not a pillow for sloth. The duty resting on parents and the special function God devolves on them, in nurturing for him those

* Acts ii. 39; compare Isa. xliv. 2-4.
† Romans iv. 11.
whom he claims as, in a peculiar sense, his own children,* has never been more instructively set forth than in the prologue to the Seventy-eighth Psalm. The people of God (for it is in their name that Asaph writes), calling to remembrance the precious heritage of truth they had received from the ancient times, vow that they will not suffer it to fall into oblivion in their hands. The things "which we have heard and known, and our fathers have told us, we will not hide them from their children; shewing to the generation to come the praises of the LORD, and his strength, and his wonderful works that he hath done." Let the reader take notice of that phrase, "their children." It is as much as to say, "Our children are our fathers' children. In the battles they fought, in the institutions they reared, they had an eye to the good of their children's children in all coming time. God forbid that the inheritance they laboured to entail in their families should be forfeited in our hands. Our children are our fathers' children; duty to our fathers obliges us to train their children for God; and, if we neglect the task, they will rise up against us in the judgment." This is not a mere stroke of the poet's fancy: it is a point in regard to which the Lord has confirmed the dictate of nature by a positive command; and it is upon that command that the Psalmist builds the doctrine he labours to enforce. Having spoken of the "dark sayings from the ancient time," he adds:—

4. We will not hide them from their children,
   Telling to the after generation the praises of Jehovah,
   And his strength and the wonderful works that he hath done.

5. For he established a testimony in Jacob,
   And appointed a law in Israel,
   Which he commanded our fathers,†
   That they should make them known to their children;

* Ezek. xvi. 20, 21.
† The Testimony and Law commanded by God was, that the fathers should teach the children the wonderful works of God. The point of the passage is missed by most of the commentators. It was correctly indicated by Muis and others of the older critics (see Poli Synopsis); who are followed by Delitzsch and Hupfeld.
6. To the end that the after generation might know them;
   Even the children which should be born,
   Who should arise and tell them to their children:

7. That they might place in God their trust,
   And not forget the works of God,
   But keep his commandments:

8. And might not be as their fathers,
   A stubborn and rebellious generation,
   A generation that prepared not their heart,
   And whose spirit was not faithful towards God.

The ordinance of domestic instruction which Asaph and the
congregation so affectionately call to rememberance is delivered,
with emphatic reiteration, in the Law of Moses, especially in
Deuteronomy.* In its whole substance, it is an ordinance of
perpetual obligation, and well illustrates the dignity and im-
portance of the Family in relation to the salvation of souls.
While it is the bounden duty of the Church to make aggression
on the world, her principal dependence, under God, must ever
be on the godly nurture of her own children. The vast majority
of those who prove pillars in the Churches of Christ come forth
from Christian families: and it is in the warm bosom of such
families that the sweetest graces of the Christian life are
fostered. The Family is therefore invested with the halo of a
real sanctity, when it is ruled in the fear of God. It is the
congenial home of the serenest and purest forms of Christian
piety.

II. It will probably surprise those who have not considered
the subject, to be told that the State and National Religion
occupy a place in the Psalter greater even than that which is
assigned to the Family and its duties. A very considerable
number of Psalms are strictly political or national in their scope.
There are Psalms for the Kings and Judges of the earth, to
admonish them what manner of men they ought to be, and
what God expects of them in their official stations: there are
Psalms for the People, directing them how to offer prayer for

* Deut. iv. 9, vi. 7, 21, xi. 19.
their rulers, and admonishing them to do homage and service to God and his Christ: there are Psalms for the whole Body Politic, both rulers and people, directing them how to ask of God those temporal blessings which civil society was instituted to procure, and warning them of the peril incurred by casting off Christ’s yoke.*

There is something instructive in the circumstance that the harp of Zion so often descants on political themes. It may be taken as an intimation from God that national affairs—the moral and material interests of the commonwealth where God has appointed us our lot—deserve a large place in our thoughts and prayers. The Christian is not at liberty to close his ears to the questions that are discussed around him and by which the minds of the community are agitated. Political life, especially in free countries, has an honourable part to play in the providential government of God; and his people ought to contemplate it with prayerful interest, considering the operation of his almighty hand. Nor does devout contemplation exhaust their duty. The large place given to National affairs in the Psalter intimates that political life, in the highest and best sense of the phrase, as embracing all kinds of ministration in the state, is an occupation well befitting a Christian man. “There is not a perfecter life in this world, both to the honour of God and profit of his neighbour, nor yet a greater cross, than to rule Christianly,”† i.e. to discharge the office of a Christian magistrate. In these words, Tyndale, the martyr and venerable translator of the Bible, expressed the mind of all the Reformers: and their judgment respecting the dignity of political life is simply a reflection of that which God himself has delivered in his Word. The Psalter implies something more than that political life is compatible with a high style of godliness. It implies that as, in times past, bad laws and unprincipled magistrates have been a principal rod in God’s hand to scourge the nations for their sins; even so, good laws and God-fearing

* Psalms ii. lxxii. xciv. ci. cxliv. xx. xxii., &c.
† Tyndale: Prologue to Exodus (a.d. 1530), Doctrinal Treatises, p. 412.
magistrates will play a great part in the happy time with which the earth is to be blessed. The millennial period will be a Reign of Justice.

Of the political psalms, the Eighty-second is, on several accounts, worthy of special notice. It is from the pen of Asaph, and partakes of the admonitory character which runs through all the psalms that bear his name.

1. God standeth in the Congregation of the mighty;
   In the midst of the gods doth he judge.

2. How long will ye judge wrongfully,
   And accept the persons of the wicked? (Selah.)

3. Judge the poor and fatherless;
   Do justice to the afflicted and needy.

4. Deliver the poor and needy:
   Rid them out of the hand of the wicked.

5. They know not, neither do they understand;
   They walk in darkness:
   All the foundations of the earth are moved.

6. As for me, I have said, Ye are gods,
   And sons of the Most High are ye all.

7. Nevertheless, like men shall ye die,
   And like one of the princes shall ye fall.

8. Arise, O God, judge thou the earth,
   For thou hast all the nations for thine inheritance.

It is, of course, to civil governors, especially those entrusted with the administration of justice, that the prophet addresses this stern admonition. He calls them "the gods," and "the sons of the Most High." To the people of Israel this kind of appellation would not seem over bold: for it was applied to judges in well-known texts of the Law of Moses. Thus, in the code of civil statutes delivered at Sinai,* it is said, "Thou shalt not revile the gods, nor curse the ruler of thy people." Nor is that the only instance of the kind. In two other passages† of the same code, the word which our Translators have rendered

* Exodus xxii. 28.  † Exodus xxi. 6, and xxii. 8, 9.
"the judges," is in the Hebrew, "the gods," or "God." Since the ordinary Hebrew word for God (Elohim) is almost always used in the plural form, it is hard to say whether it ought to be rendered in these passages in the singular or plural. The meaning is the same either way. It is a matter of indifference, for example, whether the law in Exodus xxi. 6. be rendered thus, "his (the bondman's) master shall bring him to the gods;" or, with the Septuagint, "his master shall bring him to the judgment-seat of God."* In either case, the terms used are plainly meant to imply that the Majesty of God is present in the place of judgment. As it is said of Solomon that he "sat on the throne of the LORD as King;"† so it may be said of every magistrate that he sits in God's seat. God has put upon him a portion of his own dominion and authority; and has ordained that he is to be obeyed, not for wrath's sake only, but for conscience sake. The civil magistrate, in discharging his high function, may justly claim to govern with a divine right.

No one needs to be told that this old doctrine of the divine right of rulers has been wofully abused. Sycophantic divines have often made of it a flattering unction for the ears of princes; teaching them that they owed no obedience to the laws; that they were responsible to none but God for their administration; that any attempt on the part of the people to curb their tyranny, or to depose them from their seats when milder measures failed, was rebellion against God whose Vicegerents they were. Even now, the same doctrine occasionally makes itself heard from the pulpit and the press; and thus men attempt to subject the consciences of the people to the caprice of tyrants. Let it be carefully observed that the harp of Asaph lends no sanction to this "right divine of kings to govern wrong." If the prophet testifies that princes are gods, he includes in the honour the humblest magistrate. The elders administering justice in the gate of Bethlehem, though their town be little among the thousands of Judah, sit in God's seat as truly as

* ἀφίς τῷ κριτήριῳ τοῦ Θεοῦ.  
† 1 Chron. xxix. 23.
King Solomon on his ivory throne in the porch of judgment at Jerusalem. The common saying that "the divine right of kings is the divine right of constables," is a rough way of expressing a Bible truth. Let this be borne in mind, and no one will allege Scripture in defence of royal claims to indefeasible and irresponsible authority, or claim for such authority the sanction of a divine right.*

But while care ought to be taken to guard the divine right of civil government from abuse, the right itself is not to be forgotten. The state is an ordinance of God, having, like the family, its foundation in the very constitution of human nature. The officers of the State, whether supreme or subordinate, have a divine right to administer justice in the community over which Providence has placed them. They who resort to the civil magistrate for judgment, resort to the judgment-seat of God; just as they who resort to the Ministry of the Word resort to the Great Prophet of the Church. Unless the magistrate had received a commission from God, he could not lawfully bear the sword. To take the life of an unarmed fellow-man, without a commission from the Most High warranting the act, would be to commit murder.

It is plainly one design of the Spirit of God in the Eighty-Second Psalm, to keep the body of the people from forgetting the "divinity that doth hedge" the officers of justice. At the same time, there is significance in the circumstance that this proclamation of the divine right of the civil magistrate, so far from being made subservient to the pride of princes, is made the vehicle of a stern rebuke against those who profane the judicial function by wrongful or partial judgments. They are reminded that, by reason of their crime, the fabric of human society trembles to its foundation. It is not the least remarkable feature of this psalm, that, although addressed in the first instance to Jewish rulers, it bears no trace of limitation to the Hebrew commonwealth—no trace of narrow provincialism. On

the contrary, we see that, in the last verse, Asaph's eye sweeps
the whole horizon of the world. Commiserating the condition
of the nations,—bereft of the benefits which equal laws, adminis-
tered by God-fearing rulers would have secured, and made the
prey of craft and avarice and violence,—he calls to remembrance
the promise respecting the Son of David, "the Ruler over men,
the Just One, ruling in the fear of God," whose dominion is yet
to rise on the world like a morning without clouds;* and he
prays that His kingdom may come speedily. As if he had said,
"Awake, O Mighty One; hast thou not received of the Father
the nations for thine inheritance? Arise, then, and be their
judge. Expel from men's hearts the selfish passions that have
bred injustice; cast down all unrighteous institutions; and
bless the nations with rulers according to thine own heart."

This fervent prayer of the Church for a Reign of Justice in
the earth receives illustration from some parallel passages, which
indicate, more distinctly and fully, the manner in which the
happy consummation is to be reached. In places without
number throughout the Scriptures, and particularly in the
Psalter, it is held forth in connection with the mediation of
Christ. Christ's proper work is the salvation of souls: but
while his right hand distributes the blessings of everlasting
salvation, his left hand showers on men innumerable blessings
pertaining to the present life. Christian piety is a leaven that
is to pervade all human institutions, and especially the mighty
institution of civil government. In proportion as it spreads,
throned iniquities will be cast down, unjust laws will be repealed,
and right will prevail. Christ is the true Prince of Peace, just
because he is the Prince of Truth and Righteousness: and it is
instructive to remark how the Psalmists anticipate from his
dominion the establishment of peace, not only in the invisible
and spiritual domain of men's consciences, but likewise in the
wide and public domain of civil society and international
relations. This is well illustrated in the Seventy-second
Psalm:—

* 2 Sam. xxiii. 3, 4.
4. He shall judge the afflicted of the people;  
He shall save the children of the needy;  
And shall break in pieces the oppressor.

11. And all kings shall bow themselves down before him;  
All nations shall serve him.

12. For he shall deliver the needy that crieth;  
The afflicted also, and him that hath no helper.

13. He shall spare the poor and needy,  
And the souls of the needy he shall save.

14. From oppression and from violence he shall ransom their souls,  
And precious shall their blood be in his sight.

It was a true instinct that made ruthless Herod tremble when he heard that Christ was born at Bethlehem. Christ never yet entered into a kingdom but the Herods in it were troubled. Unjust rulers and slave-masters know well that Christ's truth, if it be suffered to enter, will sooner or later overthrow their tyranny.

It would be doing less than justice to the teaching of the Psalter on the subject of National Religion, if we limited the duty of civil rulers merely to the executing of justice between man and man, and the extending to the Church of Christ of that protection which is the ordinary right of all peaceable societies. The doctrine that the civil magistrate ought to be neutral in the great conflict between truth and error is not the doctrine of the Psalms. There is, doubtless, much room for the exercise of a wise discretion with respect to the precise ways in which national authorities are to express their allegiance to Christ, and give support to his cause. But the general principle that they ought to acknowledge Christ,—that they ought to be in subjection to him and to further his cause, is not to be called in question. When the apostle* teaches that Christ, the Church's Head, is also "the Head of all principality and power," he simply repeats a doctrine that the harp of David often descants upon. There is an obvious analogy, in this respect, between the Family and the State. The Family is an institution founded

* Col. ii. 10.
in nature, not in grace. Yet, in this sin-stained world, it can never reach its proper dignity or bring forth its best and ripest fruits, unless it be in willing subjection to the Lord Jesus Christ. Christians are to marry only "in the Lord;" they are to dedicate their households to Christ; to write His law on the posts of the door; to sanctify His day; to worship Him, and call him Lord. The case of Nations is substantially the same. Civil society is founded in nature and is competent to heathens. Nevertheless, Christ has received of the Father a moral dominion over nations and their rulers. They are bound accordingly to acknowledge and serve him; and there are many ways in which they may do so without intruding on the ecclesiastical domain, or infringing liberty of conscience in the case of any member of the community. This duty is urged in many places, and is held forth as the article of a standing or a falling nation. Thus, in the Second Psalm, the prophet having, in the first stanza, remonstrated with the peoples and their rulers on account of their rejection of Christ's yoke, devotes the concluding stanza to an earnest inculcation of the duty of doing him homage and service.

1. Why have the heathen raged?
   And why do the peoples meditate a vain thing?
2. The kings of the earth set themselves up,
   And the princes have taken counsel together,
   Against Jehovah and against his Anointed.
3. "Let us break their bands asunder,
   And cast away their cords from us."
10. And now, O ye kings, learn wisdom:
   Be instructed ye judges of the earth.
11. Serve Jehovah in fear,
   And exult with trembling.
12. Kiss the Son lest he be angry and ye perish from the way;
   For his wrath shall be kindled speedily.
   Blessed are all they that put their trust in him.

The more personal aspects of the magistrate's duty are not forgotten. The Hundred and first psalm, formerly quoted,* may

* See p. 45, above.
be profitably sung by any householder, but is principally meant to be a "Mirror for Magistrates,"—a prayer and oath of fidelity, to be used by them on entering on their high and onerous function. The Hundred and forty-fourth is another Psalm of the same class. It is the prayer of King David for the nation committed to his care, and must be quoted entire.

1. Blessed be Jehovah, my rock,
   Who hath taught my hands for the encounter,
   My fingers for the fight.

2. My mercy and my fortress,
   My high tower and my deliverer,
   My shield, and he in whom I trust,
   Who subdueth my people under me.

3. O Jehovah, what is man that thou takest knowledge of him!
   The son of [frail] man that thou makest account of him!

4. Man is like to vanity;
   His days are as a shadow that passeth away.

5. O Jehovah, bow thy heavens and come down;
   Touch the mountains and they shall smoke.

6. Lighten with thy lightning, and scatter them,
   Send forth thine arrows and confound them.

7. Send forth thine hand from on high;
   *Rid me and deliver me out of great waters,*
   *Out of the hand of the sons of the foreigner:*

8. Whose mouth speaketh fraud,
   *And their right hand is a right hand of falsehood.*

9. O God, a new song will I sing unto thee:
   On a psaltery of ten strings will I sing praises unto thee;

10. Who giveth salvation unto kings;
    Who delivereth David his servant from the hurtful sword.

11. *Rid me and deliver me out of great waters,*
    *Out of the hand of the sons of the foreigner:*
    Whose mouth speaketh fraud,
    *And their right hand is a right hand of falsehood.*

12. That our sons may be as plants,
    Grown up in their youth:
    Our daughters as corner-stones,
    Carved after the manner of a palace.
13. That our garners may be full,
   Affording all manner of store;
   That our sheep may bring forth thousands,
   [Even] ten thousands in our streets.
14. That our oxen may be strong to labour;
   No breaking in and no going out;
   And no cry of complaint in our streets.
15. Happy the people that is in such a case!
   Happy the people whose God is Jehovah!

What God has taught us to ask, he is minded to give. The peaceful, happy scene King David paints has seldom been witnessed among the nations: but when the nations submit themselves to Christ it will be no longer rare. "Of the increase of peace there shall be no end," under the sceptre of the Prince of Peace.* The Lord hasten it in his time!

* Isaiah ix. 7.
THE characteristic ordinances of the Hebrew Church were those included in the Temple Service; and amongst them an honourable place was, from the first, assigned to the singing of the Psalms. Some facts relating to this use of the sacred lyrics were noticed in our survey of the history of inspired psalmody. Thus the Twenty-fourth Psalm was sung at the bringing up of the ark into the city of David; and, from that time forward, Levitical choirs ministered before the Lord in a perpetual service of song. The frequent mention of the “Precentor” or “Chief Musician,” in the titles of the earlier psalms, reminds us that a large proportion of them were originally composed with an eye to the Service of the Temple, and were delivered to the leader of the psalmody for the public use of the Congregation.

Soon after the Second Temple rose on the brow of Zion, the Psalter was completed; and the Service of Song settled into the form which it thenceforward retained. It would seem that the anthem which is set down at full length in the 16th chapter of first Chronicles constituted the unvarying basis of this service,
day by day. It is a kind of lyrical Mosaic,* composed of a series
of passages culled from the Psalter, and including the whole of
the Ninety-sixth psalm.

Besides this stated song (which finds its parallel in the
constant use of the Ninety-fifth and Ninety-eighth psalms in
the Daily Service of the Church of England) there were psalms
appropriated to particular days and seasons.† Every day of the
week had its proper psalm. A trace of this is seen in the
superscription of the Ninety-second: "a song for the Sabbath-
day;" and the ancient traditions of the Jews enable us to com-
plete the list. It is thus given by Dr Lightfoot:—"The con-
stant and ordinary psalms that they sang were these:

"On the first day of the week, the Four and twentieth
psalm, The earth is the Lord's, and the fulness thereof, &c.

"On the second day of the week, the Forty-eighth psalm,
Great is the Lord, and greatly to be praised in the city of
God, &c.

"On the third day, the Eighty-second psalm, God standeth in
the congregation of the mighty, and judgeth among the
gods, &c.

"On the fourth day, the Ninety-fourth psalm, O Lord God,
to whom vengeance belongeth, &c.

"On the fifth day, the Eighty-first psalm, Sing aloud unto
God our strength, make a joyful noise unto the God of
Jacob, &c.

"On the sixth day of the week, the Ninety-third psalm, The
Lord reigneth, he is clothed with majesty, &c.

"On the Sabbath-day they sang the Ninety-second psalm,

* Compare pp. 60-62, above.

† According to Ewald, Delitzsch, and others, the superscription of Psalm c.,
which they render literally, A Psalm for the Thanksgiving, was sung while
the "sacrifice of thanksgiving" was being offered. A similar liturgical refer-
ence is attributed to the superscription of Psalms xxxviii. and lxx. : "to bring
to remembrance." Referring to Isa. lxvi. 3, "he that burneth (literally,
maketh a memorial of) incense," Ewald thinks these two psalms were sung at
the burning of incense; Delitzsch prefers the interpretation which connects
them with the "memorial" mentioned Levit. ii. 9. It cannot be said that
any of these interpretations has been conclusively established.
which bears the title of *A Psalm or song for the Sabbath-day.*

"These were the known and constant and fixed psalms that the singers sang, and the music played to, on the several days of the week."*

At the great solemnities certain other psalms were sung. More particularly, it was the custom to sing the *Hallel, or Egyptian Hallel,* as it was called,—that is to say, the cycle of psalms, from the 113th to the 118th inclusive,—on occasion of the great annual Festivals. "This saying over of the *Hallel* is acknowledged by the Jews to be an institution of the scribes; and the reason of the picking out of these psalms for that purpose, was because of their beginning or ending with *Hallelujah,* and partly because they contain, not only so high and eminent memorials of God's goodness and deliverance unto Israel . . . but also several other things of high and important matter and consideration; for the *Hallel,* say they, recordeth five things: the coming out of Egypt, the dividing of the sea, the giving of the law, the resurrection of the dead, and the lot of Messias." †

These Temple Songs were sung or chanted by a Levitical choir. There was an instrumental accompaniment, the performance of which was not so carefully restricted to the sacred tribe; for the vocal music alone was looked upon as "the proper song and the proper service." ‡ The singers were never fewer in number than twelve; commonly a much larger company. The instruments consisted of Psalteries and Harps; the former serving for the *soprano* accompaniment, called in Hebrew the *alamoth;* the latter for the *bass,* called in the Hebrew the *sheminith,* that is to say, *the eighth* or *octave.* The "loud-sounding cymbals" were struck by the leaders of the choir for the purpose of regulating the time.§ The Psalms were divided into sections of moderate length; and, at the end

† Lightfoot, as above, chap. xii. 5 (vol. I. p. 958).
‡ Lightfoot, as above, chap. vii. 2 (vol. I. p. 920).
of each, there was a pause in the song and instrumental music. At these points the priests (not fewer than two, nor more than a hundred and twenty, at once) struck in with the sound of trumpets, making the courts ring again with a loud and joyous Tarantantara. This sounding of trumpets was a sacerdotal function, being restricted to the sons of Aaron, and therefore is not to be confounded with the Levitical Service of Song properly so called. At certain points the general body of the congregation, in the courts below, responded to the psalmody, either by chanting again some sentence that had just been sung by the Levites, or by singing Hallelujah or Amen.* No one can fail to perceive that a service such as we have described, must have constituted as imposing and magnificent a ritual as ever was witnessed in any sanctuary since time began.

The employment of the psalms in the Temple Service was neither the only nor the principal use to which they were turned in the Hebrew Church. It is to be remembered that, side by side with the ceremonial observances annexed to the "worldly sanctuary" at Jerusalem, there went on in Israel a continual worship of the Lord in the families and social gatherings of the people. Nowhere, it is true, do we find in the ancient scriptures any express and formal institution of this kind of worship; and the notices relating to it are much more scanty than those which relate to the more splendid and imposing ritual of the temple. The paucity of information, considering the importance of the subject, is quite remarkable. We cannot so much as tell at what time Synagogues began to be organised in the several towns: the earliest mention of them by name carrying us no farther back than the eve of the captivity. As for Family Worship, the notices of it are more scanty still; and there is scarcely a word said regarding the dedication of the Sabbath-day to sacred rest, and the assembling of the people for social worship in their respective towns and villages. From the silence of Scripture on these interesting topics, it has sometimes been inferred that, till the Babylonish

* 1 Chron. xvi. 36. This usage illustrates the vision in Rev. xix. 1, 3, 4, 6.
captivity, no solemn worship was statedly offered except in the tabernacle and temple. But the explanation of the fact is to be sought in another direction. The ceremonial worship was prescribed, formally and in detail, for the very simple and sufficient reason that it rested entirely on positive institution. Only the express appointment of God made it obligatory; and when the period of the appointment expired, the obligation ceased. Without an express divine appointment it would have been mere will-worship, rejected by God and unprofitable to men. It was otherwise with the more simple and spiritual manner of worshipping God by exercises such as prayer and praise. These being moral in their nature,—moral, not positive,—their obligation was independent of any formal appointment. Adam did not require a formal commandment to pray to God. The moment that he learned "that God is, and that he is a rewarder of them that diligently seek Him," he was bound to pray. Abraham did not require a formal commandment to train up his children and household for God, and to "call upon (or 'proclaim') the name of the Lord" in the way of a solemn domestic profession of religion. Nature itself taught him to do so, whenever he came to know that the Lord is the God of his people and of their seed. In like manner, so soon as the people received the written Word and a book of spiritual Songs, it became their duty to make use of them in domestic worship as they had opportunity. Moreover, being now grown into a nation, and dispersed in villages and towns, it became their duty to devote the leisure of the Sabbath-days to the holding of assemblies for publicly worshipping God, by reading his Word, praying, and singing psalms. No command respecting any of these modes of worship is set down in Scripture, just because no command was necessary. Before the cessation of prophecy in Malachi's time, Synagogues devoted to the spiritual worship of God had been set up wherever Jews were settled at home or abroad; and at a still earlier period, some centuries before the Captivity gave such a mighty impulse to the synagogue system, we have evidence that the prophets were accustomed to hold religious assemblies in their houses, where the people resorted to them
on the New Moons and Sabbath-days to hear the Word of the Lord.*

As for the Psalms; the use of them in the Family and Synagogue must, from the first, have entered largely into the divine purpose. The greater number are of a kind little suited to the splendid mode of performance characteristic of the Temple. I will not affirm that the Hundred and nineteenth Psalm, for example, was never sung by the Levitical Choirs: but the likelihood is, that it never was. Certainly it was much better suited to the simpler worship that was offered in the synagogues and families of Israel. But, however this may be, we know that the singing of the Psalms was not confined to the members of the sacred tribe who ministered by course in the temple. It prevailed also amongst the whole body of the people. The Hallel which was sung by the Levites on the Passover night, when the heads of families brought in their lambs to be slain at the altar of burnt-offering, was afterwards sung by all the families apart, in the several guest-chambers where they ate the passover. The evangelists mention that our Lord and the eleven "had sung an hymn,"† before they went out into the mount of Olives. The particular "hymn" they sang is not specified; but there seems no reason to reject the general opinion of the learned, that it consisted of the six psalms of the Hallel. These are still sung by the Jews, in their families, at the solemnity of the Passover. At all events, it is plain that, without any professional precentor to lead them, the fishermen of Galilee could sing the psalms.

Evidence is extant to shew that this familiarity of the Jews with the words and melodies of the Bible songs, was not confined to the few which were appropriated to the festal seasons. The kind of evidence that determines a point of this sort is known to all. When a colliery accident, some years ago, had the effect of shutting up a company of miners in an English coal-pit; and

* 2 Kings iv. 23; compare Ezekiel xx. 1, xxxiii. 31.
† Matt. xxvi. 30. More literally, "Having hymned (or sung praise, ὑμνῶν) they went out into the mount of Olives." The rendering in the Geneva Bible is, "When they had sung a song of thanksgiving."
the men thus suddenly encompassed with darkness and danger, comforted their hearts by singing some of the Wesleyan hymns, no one needed to be informed, that men who shewed such a familiarity with the hymns, had been used to sing them in their cottages and in public worship. There is great significance, therefore, in the circumstance related in the Acts of the Apostles, that, when Paul and Silas were thrown into the inner prison at Philippi and their feet made fast in the stocks, they sang praises to God at midnight, till the prisoners heard them!* They were not members of a Levitical choir; and they had not been long enough together to have become habituated to the conjoint singing of new songs; yet when they were suddenly imprisoned in the murky dungeon of Philippi, without candle or book, they were at no loss for either words or melody. It is evident that they had been taught to say and sing the psalms in their childhood; and that their habitual attendance in the Synagogue, and participation in its services, had prevented the early familiarity with "the praises of Israel" from being lost or impaired.

NOTE TO CHAPTER I.

THE MUSIC OF THE SYNAGOGUE AND OF THE EARLY CHURCH.

Regarding the Music of the Hebrews little is known;—at least with certainty. The circumstance that Hebrew poetry is destitute of metre, is sufficient to shew that the way of singing the Psalms which is in use amongst us cannot have had any place in the Old Testament church. The Hebrew music must have been such as might have been sung to any compositions in the style of our common prose version of the Psalter,—a circumstance which points to a kind of music resembling either the Anthem or the Chant. It is every way likely that the Anthem may have been employed in the Temple Service; for the Levitical choirs, consisting of some hundreds of families, the members of which were wholly separated to the Service of Song, would be competent to minister before the Lord in the highest style of music to which the nation may have attained. It was otherwise with the Synagogue service. Here the music must have been of the plainest kind. In ordinary circumstances, there could be neither an

* Acts xvi. 25.
instrumental accompaniment nor a band of professional singers. Moreover
the singing was congregational, so that the style of music employed must
have been such as any ordinary congregation of plain people would be
competent to use. This undoubtedly points to some simple style of Chanting
as the music of the Synagogue. Since, as we shall afterwards shew, the
worship of the apostolical church was modelled after the worship of the
Synagogue, we are warranted to conclude (apart from all other evidence)
that it was the custom of the early Christians also to chant the psalms to
some simple melody.

The conclusion thus reached, by way of inference, from the circumstance
that the Psalter of the Hebrews, and (we may add) the Psalter of the early
Christian churches, were utterly destitute of metre, is corroborated by the
more direct evidence which the learned have been able to collect.

The style of chanting still in use in the English Church can be traced
back without difficulty to the early centuries of the Christian era. It is
known to have been brought over by the monk Augustine of Canterbury,
whose master, Pope Gregory, had bestowed much pains on the reformation
of the musical part of the Roman service. Some of the changes introduced
by Gregory into the public psalmody were disastrous in the extreme; but,
so far as the music was concerned, his aim was simply to conserve what
was, even then, the ancient style. His most distinguished predecessor in the
reformation of sacred music was Ambrose of Milan, who was the first to
introduce into the West the responsive style of chanting the psalms, “after
the manner of the eastern parts.” To aid him in the endeavour to improve
the psalmody of Italy, this prelate was at the pains to bring over from
Alexandria a person skilled in the Oriental style of music. Ambrose must
have made other innovations; for he introduced some metrical hymns of his
own into the church, which would, no doubt, be sung to melodies resembling
our “Psalm Tunes;” but the principal feature in his reformation of the
Psalmody was the transplanting to the Latin Church of the style of chanting
which had been long employed in the East.

The outstanding feature, then, in the psalmody of the early church was the
recitation of the Psalms to some appropriate melody. This recitation took place
in several ways. Sometimes the people sang all together. This, according to
Arnaknecht,* “as it was the most natural, was also the most ancient way, and
is doubtless intended in Eph. v. and Col. iii. Even at a later time it continued
to prevail both in domestic and public worship.” Sometimes the congregation
sang in two bands, “responsive each to other’s note:” the one band singing
one verse or stanza, the other responding by singing the verse or stanza
following. It was this method that Ambrose introduced into the West.
The antiphonal was a third method, according to which the precentor led
off by singing one stanza, whereupon the congregation struck in and sang

* Die heilige Psalmodie, pp. 69, 70.
the psalm to the end. There was yet another method occasionally used, in which the leader of the psalmody sang the psalm alone, the congregation merely singing the "Gloria Patri" at the close. The chants must, in every case, have been rapid and simple; for it was the custom to sing an entire Psalm.

The style of psalmody now described is still in use in the Greek, and especially the Armenian churches.* The American missionaries have found it so deeply rooted among the Nestorians, that they have been constrained to fall back upon it in preference to the more modern style prevalent in the United States. This is reported by Dr Perkins of Oroomiah in the following interesting communication:†—"The 23d Psalm was chanted by the graduating class and their Nestorian teacher in a manner exceedingly beautiful, and which could not fail to carry back our thoughts to the style of 'the sweet singer of Israel,' doubtless very similar to the chanting of the Nestorians of this day. In some of our congregations, the chanting of a psalm in the Modern Syriac, in this Oriental style, is taking the place of the first hymn in the Sabbath services, and adds greatly to the interest of our worship. For thirty years and more, I have been straining my lungs to train the organs of these Oriental Christians to sing our occidental tunes in a highly guttural language, and, it must be confessed, with not the greatest success; in the meantime little prizing the fact, that music, rich and melodious, was ready to gush forth upon us in torrents, from living fountains, in airs and chants incomparably better adapted to their organs, language, and tastes, and certainly more promotive of devotional feeling, than the novel, foreign style which we, with so much painstaking, essayed to inaugurate. The best of all books of chants, too, they have had in their hands,—the Psalms of David,—chanted for long centuries by their ancestors, though too long in an unknown tongue."

There can be no doubt, then, that in the early churches the Psalms were chanted to simple melodies in the style of the so-called Gregorian Tones. How far (it remains to ask) are we warranted to attribute this style of psalmody to the Synagogue? That the Hebrew music underwent no change after it had passed over into the Christian church, no one will venture to affirm. The Greek titles of the Gregorian tones ("dorian" and "hypodorian," "phrygian," &c.) would seem to imply that the music of the Greeks exercised an influence more or less. But it is the general opinion of those who have most carefully studied the matter, and is in itself exceedingly likely, that the change thus wrought was quite superficial. And this is confirmed by the fact that the music in use among the "Spanish Jews" of the East, who have most faithfully cherished the traditions of their fathers, is essentially the same as that of the Gregorian tones. ‡

CHAPTER II.

THE USE OF THE PSALMS IN THE CHRISTIAN CHURCH.

The worship of the Christian Church was, for the most part, borrowed from the Synagogue. In addition, therefore, to the reading and preaching of the Word, and the offering of united prayer, the singing of the psalms was in use from the beginning. The earliest picture the Spirit of God has preserved of the infant Church at Jerusalem shews us the disciples “waiting steadfastly on the teaching of the apostles” and “praising God.”* It is obvious that, as in the synagogue, the psalmody was strictly congregational, without professional choirs or instrumental accompaniment. It was of the simple sort which alone is possible where the whole congregation takes part in the singing, and which is best fitted to minister to the general edification.

The singing of the Hallel by Christ and the eleven in the guest-chamber, on the night of his betrayal, may be said to mark the point at which the Psalter passed over from the old dispensation into the new: for it accompanied the celebration of the new ordinance of the Lord’s Supper as well as the celebration of the expiring Passover. Thenceforward, it is assumed† that at every gathering of Christians for mutual edification, some one will “have a Psalm” to give out to be sung. Accordingly, Christ’s people are commanded to “teach and admonish one another in psalms, and hymns, and spiritual

* Acts ii. 42, 47.  † 1 Cor. xiv. 26.
songs, singing with grace in their hearts to the Lord."* This ordinance of psalmody is urged with a frequency and earnestness which shews that it must have been prominent among the religious services of the apostolical age. As wine-bibbers are apt to vent their hilarity in songs, so they who are "not drunk with wine but filled with the Spirit," are enjoined "to sing psalms when they are merry,"† "speaking to themselves in psalms, and hymns, and spiritual songs, singing and making melody in their heart to the Lord."‡ Among the many advantages which the first preachers of the gospel had over our modern missionaries to the heathen, this was not the least, that, as they did not require to undertake the preparation of a new Version of the Scriptures, so they did not require to spend time in the composition of new hymns or the arrangement of appropriate melodies. The Psalter, in the Greek version of the Seventy, was ready to their hand. They knew the Greek psalms by heart themselves: and in almost every city where they planted a Church, the first converts consisted of Jews and proselytes who had been used to chant them in the Synagogue and at home.

It is still a mòot point amongst the learned, whether the first Christians employed in public worship any other hymns than those of the Psalter which they inherited from the Hebrew Church. The New Testament Scriptures do not contain any reference to such a use of uninspired hymns. It is hardly necessary to say that the occurrence of the word "hymn" in the two texts in the epistles to the Ephesians and the Colossians affords no light on the point; for the word, in itself and as employed in the Septuagint, denotes simply a song of praise. The gospels apply it (in a slightly different form) to the Hallel, which consisted altogether of Bible psalms. The three terms psalm, hymn, song, are all used by the Seventy in their translation of the Psalter, as descriptive of various classes of psalms.§ Some eminent critics think that, in a few texts in the Epistles, they can discern metrical fragments that may be

* Col. iii. 16.  † James v. 13.  ‡ Eph. v. 18, 19.  § Compare pp. 7, 8, above.
presumed to have been quoted from Christian hymns. But the grounds of their surmise are very precarious:* and the circumstance that the employment of metre in sacred song was utterly unknown to the Jewish Church admonishes us to examine well the proof before accepting the conclusion that the first Christians made so great an innovation. When we pass from the canonical Scriptures to the memorials of primitive Christianity that are found in profane writers and the early fathers, we discover the same absence of distinct reference to uninspired hymns. The earliest notice of the psalmody of the primitive church, after the close of the New Testament Canon, occurs in the well-known letter sent to the Emperor Trajan by Pliny, when he was Proprætor of Bithynia about A.D. 102. Among other interesting particulars regarding the Christians, whom he found in the province in great numbers, he states that "they had been wont, on a certain day, to assemble before sun-rise, and to sing a psalm to Christ as to a god."† This has been sometimes taken to mean that those Bithynian congregations sang what would now be styled "Christian hymns." But it is plain from Justin Martyr, and the early fathers generally, that the Christians of those days understood the Forty-fifth and other Messianic Psalms to refer to the Lord Jesus so directly and exclusively, that Pliny's words exactly agree with the account they would themselves have given of the matter to any stranger, who, finding them singing these psalms, had asked for an explanation. They would have answered, that they were singing praise to Christ as to a God. The responsive style of singing which Pliny describes was precisely the way of singing the psalms which the oriental churches inherited from the synagogue, and which, some generations afterwards, found its way into Italy and the West.

But, whatever may have been the case during the first age of

the church, there is no doubt that, ere many generations passed away, new hymns began to be employed in public worship along with the more ancient and Biblical songs. This is plain, not only from Eusebius,* but from the relics of early hymnology that are still extant; and the fact is recognised by the most eminent church historians. However, the psalms continued to furnish in all the churches the far greater part, and in many the whole, of the materials for the service of song. Many facts conspire to prove that the uninspired hymns in use during the first three or four centuries must have been few in number and very brief. For example, the accounts which are extant of the search made for the books of the Christians in times of persecution make no mention either of Prayer Books or Hymn Books; whereas the canonical Scriptures, and especially copies of the Psalter, are constantly named.† It was a rule in the Syrian churches that no person could be ordained sub-deacon till he had learnt the Psalter by heart.‡ Jerome mentions that he had learnt the psalms when he was a child, and sang them constantly in his old age.§ The small number of the early hymns now extant is another fact that points in the same direction.

Dr Schaff, after remarking that "the Book of Psalms is the oldest Christian Hymn Book; inherited by the church from the ancient covenant," mentions that "we have no complete religious song remaining from the period of persecution (i.e. the three first centuries) except the song of Clement of Alexandria to the divine Logos—which, however, cannot be called a hymn, and was probably never intended for public use;—the Morning Song and the Evening Song in the Apostolic Constitutions, especially the former, the so-called Gloria in Excelsis, which, as an expansion of the hymn of the heavenly hosts, still rings in all parts of the Christian world. Next in order comes the Te Deum, in its original eastern form. The ter sanctus and

* Hist. Eccles. Lib. V. c. xxviii. † Bingham, Book XIII. v. 3 and 7.
‡ Diestel, Geschichte des Alten Test. in der Christlichen Kirche. Jena 1869, p. 782.
§ Psalmos jugi meditacione decanto. Apol. adversus Ruffinum, Lib. II. Opera, Tom. II. fol. 75.
several ancient liturgical prayers may also be regarded as poems. Excepting these hymns in rhythmic prose, the Greek church of the first six centuries produced nothing in this field which has had permanent value or general use. It long adhered almost exclusively to the Psalms of David, who, as Chrysostom says, was first, middle, and last in the assemblies of the Christians; and it had, in opposition to heretical predilections, even a decided aversion to the public use of uninspired songs. The Council of Laodicea, about A.D. 360, prohibited even the ecclesiastical use of all uninspired or "private hymns," and the Council of Chalcedon in 451 confirmed this decree. . . . . Hilary, Bishop of Poictiers (368), the Athanasius of the west in the Arian controversies, is, according to the testimony of Jerome, the first Hymn writer in the Latin Church.* Upon the whole, therefore, it may be accepted as a well ascertained fact that, down till so late a period as the middle of the fourth century, the Psalms reigned supreme and almost alone in the service of song throughout the whole Church, and especially in the West.

The remarkable prominence still given to the Psalter in the Latin Church at the beginning of the Fifth century comes out perpetually in the life and writings of Augustine. Born in the North of Africa in the year 354, this distinguished man was finally won by the grace of Christ in his thirty-third year. He was resident at the time in Milan, where he had settled as a Professor of Rhetoric, and used to attend the ministry of Ambrose. When the Spirit of Christ first began to move his heart, it was often by means of the psalms. Referring to this period, he exclaims in his Confessions, "Oh! in what accents spake I unto thee, my God, when I read the Psalms of David, those faithful songs and sounds of devotion, which allow of no swelling spirit. Oh what accents did I utter unto thee in those psalms; and how was I by them kindled toward thee, and on fire to rehearse them, if

* Article on the Greek and Latin Hymnology: reprinted in British and Foreign Evangelical Review, 1866, pp. 680, 681, 689. Compare Bingham, Book XIV. chap. ii., who gives a full account of the hymns and other devotional writings mentioned by Dr Schaff. Also Neander, Church History, vol. iii. 450, 451 (Bohn).
possible, through the whole world, against the pride of mankind. And yet (he goes on to say) they are sung through the whole world, nor can any hide himself from thy heat." He makes mention of the Fourth Psalm, in particular, as one that greatly stirred his heart at this time. The influence thus exerted in him, was much confirmed by the fervour with which the church at Milan gave itself to the singing of the psalms. Ambrose was a man who greatly delighted in sacred music; and, with a view to setting on foot in Italy a revival of this excellent handmaid of devotion, he had been at pains to bring to Milan an accomplished musician from Alexandria. This was a short time prior to the conversion of Augustine; for, after telling how the divine Hymns and Canticles made him weep, "touched to the quick by the voices of Thy sweet-attuned church," Augustine adds this piece of information:—"Not long had the church of Milan begun to use this kind of consolation and exhortation, the brethren zealously joining with harmony of voice and heart. For it was a year, or not much more, that Justina, mother to the Emperor Valentinian, a child, persecuted thy servant Ambrose, in favour of her heresy, to which she was seduced by the Arians. The devout people kept watch in the church, ready to die with their Bishop thy servant. There my mother thy handmaid, bearing a chief part in those anxieties and watchings, lived for prayer. We, yet unwarmed by the heat of thy Spirit, still were stirred up by the sight of the amazed and disquieted city. Then it was first ordained that Hymns and Psalms should be sung after the manner of the Eastern parts, lest the people should wax faint through the tediousness of sorrow: and from that day to this the custom is retained, divers (yea, almost all) thy congregations, throughout other parts of the world, following herein."

* Lib. IX. 8, Oxford Translation.  
† Confess. Lib. IX. 15.  
‡ Tunc hymni et psalmi ut canerentur secundum morem Orientalium partium . . . institutum est. The Oxford Translation, inverting the order of the words, thus: "then it was first instituted that, after the manner of the Eastern churches, hymns and psalms should be sung"—would imply that there was no psalmody in the West before this time, which is certainly a mistake. It was
Augustine himself had a passionate delight in music; and the Service of Song was, all his life, such a source of pleasure that his heart sometimes misgave him, and he would be haunted with the fear that he might be mistaking the pleasure of the sense for the devout rapture of the soul. "The delights of the ear had more firmly entangled and subdued me; but thou didst loosen and free me. Now, in those melodies which thy words breath soul into, when sung with a sweet and attuned voice, I do a little repose; yet not so as to be held thereby, but that I can disengage myself when I will. But with the words which are their life and whereby they find admission into me, themselves seek in my affections a place of some estimation, and I can scarcely assign them one suitable. For at one time I seem to myself to give them more honour than is seemly, feeling our minds to be more holily and fervently raised unto a flame of devotion, by the holy words themselves when thus sung, than when not; and that the several affections of our spirit, by a sweet variety, have their own proper measures in the voice and singing, by some hidden correspondence wherewith they are stirred up. But this contentment of the flesh, to which the soul must not be given over to be enervated, doth oft beguile me, the sense not so waiting upon reason, as patiently to follow her; but having been admitted merely for her sake, it strives even to run before her, and lead her. Thus in these things I unawares sin, but afterwards am aware of it. At other times, shunning over-anxiously this very deception, I err in too great strictness; and sometimes to that degree, as to wish the whole melody of sweet music which is used to David's Psalter, banished from my ears, and the Church's too; and that mode seems to me safer which I remember to have been often told me of Athanasius, Bishop of Alexandria, who made the reader of the psalm utter it with

only a particular way of singing that Ambrose introduced; namely, the responsive way which had long prevailed in the East. See Arnknecht Die heilige Psalmodie, p. 70, and compare Dr Palmer, in Herzog's Ency clopedie, Article Gesang, Vol. v. 108. Calvin seems to have fallen into the same mistake as the Oxford Translator: Institutio, Lib. III. chap. xx. 32.
so slight inflection of voice, that it was nearer speaking than singing. Yet again, when I remember the tears I shed at the Psalmody of thy Church, in the beginning of my recovered faith; and how at this time, I am moved, not with the singing, but with the things sung, when they are sung with a clear voice and modulation most suitable, I acknowledge the great use of this institution. Thus I fluctuate between peril of pleasure, and approved wholesomeness; inclined the rather (though not as pronouncing an irrevocable opinion) to approve of the usage of singing in the Church; that so, by the delight of the ears, the weaker minds may rise to the feeling of devotion.”

When Augustine was ordained to the ministry in North Africa, he appears to have found the Psalter exclusively used in the public service of the Catholic congregations. This appears from a passage in one of his epistles to Januarius; in which, after laying down the rule that, whatever concessions may be due to the customs prevalent in particular Churches, one must boldly carry out everything that is found to promote edification, and especially everything authorised by the Scriptures, he goes on to mention as an instance of the latter, “the singing of hymns and psalms; forasmuch as we have from the Lord himself, and the apostles, examples and precepts relating to it;” and he adds that, “in regard to this matter, so useful for piously moving the soul and kindling the flame of divine love, the custom varies in different places; and the greater part of the members of the Church in Africa are so slow, that the Donatists make it a matter of reproach against us, that, in the Church, we sing with sobriety the divine songs of the prophets, whereas they inflame the intoxication of their minds by singing psalms of human composition.” He goes on to observe that, in his judgment, the singing of God’s praises is never unseasonable in public worship, unless when the attention of the congregation is otherwise occupied, either with the reading of the Scripture, or the discussion of the truth, or the offering up of the common prayers.

* Lib. X. 33, 34.
† Ad Inquisitiones Januarii, Lib. II. c. 34 (Epist. 55).
No one can look with any attention into the writings of Augustine, without perceiving that the psalms were constantly employed in public worship. The singing or cantillation of them was not an occasional embellishment merely, but was looked upon as an essential ingredient in every diet of worship. That nothing else was ever sung in the congregations which were wont to hang upon his lips, I will not take it upon me to assert: but nowhere in his writings have I found a single distinct reference to the use of any hymns but those of the Psalter.

The order of public worship was very similar to that which used to prevail in the Synagogue. The reading of the Scriptures—either the Old Testament or the New, or both together—found place, in one form or another, at every diet of worship. The most usual way was to have two sections of the Scriptures read; for example, a lesson from the Gospel, and then a second Lesson from the epistles; and between the two an entire Psalm was sung. In ordinary cases, the Psalms were sung (as the Scriptures were read) in regular course, till the whole Psalter was gone through. It was customary, moreover, to sing particular psalms on certain days. Thus, at the Easter celebration of the Lord's Supper, the Twenty-second Psalm was uniformly sung; and, indeed, so prevalent was this custom that Augustine, in a discourse upon the Psalm delivered at Easter, reminds his auditors that every congregation in Africa would be occupied like themselves in celebrating the grace of the Crucified One in the words of that prophetic song; even the Donatists would be no exception. All this, it is to be remarked, was the result merely of spontaneous concert and ancient custom; it was not an enforced uniformity. There was no rigid rule prescribed, either with respect to the portions of Scripture to be read, or the Psalms to be sung. When a preacher meant to expound a particular chapter, he would give it a place in the readings for the day: and in like manner, when he wished to expound a particular psalm, he would direct the Precentor to give it out to be sung. On one occasion, the Precentor having, by some mistake, sung a different psalm from the one prescribed, Augustine, instead of correcting him, suffered the singing to proceed;
and then (laying aside the discourse he had premeditated) delivered an extemporaneous exposition of the psalm which had been thus,—as he thought, providentially,—laid to his hand. The singing of the psalms was, I repeat, an unvarying element in public worship. The Psalter was the one book the people had in their hands; and Augustine observes, that no stranger could enter a church, even once, without hearing the harp of David, and the voices of the prophets or apostles.*

In order that the people might sing with the understanding, Augustine bestowed much pains on the exposition of this part of Scripture. In his collected writings, a much larger space is devoted to the Psalter than to any other book of Scripture. He published in his own lifetime Enarrations, as they were styled, (a kind of running commentaries) on all the psalms; and of these, the greater part were discourses actually delivered to Christian congregations. Besides the Enarrations, there are some two and twenty Sermons, founded on texts in the psalms that happened to be sung on the days in which the respective sermons were preached. In introducing his Enarrations, the preacher would sometimes say, "I have united with you, beloved, in singing this Psalm; I beg that you will now, in your turn, unite with me in applying your minds to a devout meditation upon it."† His custom was to embrace an entire psalm in one discourse; and he would select a short one if he knew he was to be hampered for time. Occasionally, he finds the time exhausted before the psalm has been all gone over; in which case, he promises to resume the exposition of it at the next diet of public worship; in the afternoon, or on the morrow, or on the next Lord's day, as the case may be.

There is evidence that Augustine's expositions were listened to with breathless attention by great congregations. At the close of what would now be deemed a very long discourse, he bears witness that it was simply his own exhaustion, not any token of weariness in the people, which admonished him that he must have spoken long. The wide acceptence which these Enarra-

* Opera, Tom. XII. 360.  † See Enarrat. in Psalm xlv.
tions found, bears witness to a remarkable relish for the psalms on the part of the Christian people. For the preacher laboured under peculiar disadvantages in dealing with this part of the divine word. Like all the fathers, except Jerome, he was ignorant of Hebrew; and, as Jerome's labours upon the new Latin version of the Bible were regarded by him with suspicion and alarm,* he had to content himself with one or other of the rude and inaccurate translations which were current throughout the west; translations which had been made by persons who themselves knew the Old Testament only in the Greek of the Septuagint. As that ancient version is more than ordinarily imperfect in its rendering of the Psalter, and the old Latin versions had been made from it without any reference to the Hebrew, a preacher who was obliged to trust to them often found himself utterly helpless. The consequence is, that in the greater number of the psalms, Augustine, being unable to discover any satisfactory literal sense, betakes himself to the usual expedient of helpless expositors, launching out into a boundless sea of allegorising fancies. It speaks much for the people's delight in the Bible songs, and for the preacher's spiritual insight, that, despite the serious disadvantages under which they were prepared, his Enarrations were much read and greatly prized for a thousand years; and, indeed, were only superseded by the expositions of the Reformers, who were able at length to read David in his own tongue, and thus to draw water for the people out of the undefiled fountain of the sacred original.

All through the Middle Ages, the Psalter continued, for the most part, to retain its pre-eminent place in the Service of Song; but the use of it gradually degenerated into a dead form. This was mainly owing to two changes. First, Pope Gregory (who died A.D. 604), in rearranging the Church Service, put an end to the ancient custom of congregational singing, and restricted this heavenliest act of worship to a choir of professional singers. This was a great change; for by the early Christians

* See the letters which passed between them on the subject in the Collective Editions of their Works.
"it was considered very important that the whole church should take part in the psalmody;" and the same sentiment had prevailed before, in the Hebrew Church, with respect to the synagogue service. The change, once made, has never been reversed in the Romish communion. For twelve long centuries, the congregations living under the papal tyranny have been bereft of their right to take part in singing the praises of God. With a very few exceptions—chiefly in Germany—there is no congregational psalmody in the Church of Rome. The other change took place more gradually. The early Christians of the West chanted the psalms in their own vernacular Latin. As time went on, the Latin ceased to be spoken or understood by the people, but care was not taken to make a corresponding alteration on the Church service. The consequence was that, after a few centuries, the whole service, including the chanting of the psalms, was performed in a language unintelligible to the people, and became to them a sealed fountain.

At the Reformation, the Psalter was unsealed, and Christ’s people might once more drink out of this fountain of salvation. Luther, like Augustine, bestowed more labour on it than on any other part of Scripture. Besides executing an admirable German Version, he expounded the Psalter with uncommon diligence. He had completed a Commentary on the whole book, after the manner of Augustine, before the commencement of his Reformation career. The summons to appear before the Diet at Worms, in 1521, found him in the midst of a valuable course of expository lectures to his students at Wittenberg, in which he had got as far as the Twenty-first Psalm. These, with an exposition of the Twenty-second Psalm written during the Reformer’s detention in the Wartburg, were published immediately in Latin. All through the latter part of his life, one of his favourite ways of ministering counsel and encouragement to the churches was to throw off expositions of particular Psalms which

* Neander, Church Hist., iii. 450.
† Operationes in duas Psalmorum decades. Comp. Dr Walch’s Preface to the 4th vol. of Luther’s Works, p. 7.
seemed specially appropriate to the times; and these are uncommonly spirited and valuable. He published, besides, valuable commentaries on particular classes or cycles of psalms, such as the Seven Penitential Psalms and the Songs of Degrees: and two Prefaces which he published,—the first in 1531, along with the German Psalter, as it was finally revised by him with the assistance of many learned friends; the second in 1545, the year before his death,—rank amongst the most beautiful eulogies that have ever been pronounced on the Songs of Zion.*

On one important point, Luther fell into a serious error. He omitted to secure to the Psalter (as indeed to the Old Testament generally) its due place in the public worship of the Church. At first, it is true, the rule adopted was, that Lessons from the Old Testament should alternate with Lessons from the New; and the reading of these was to be accompanied with the chanting of two or three psalms.† But unhappily the Latin language was retained in the singing, so that it never got beyond the choir, and soon ceased; while the ever-increasing flood of new hymns took possession of the whole Service of Song. The stated reading of the Old Testament in public worship ceased about the same time. The effect has been mischievous in many ways. Besides leading to a dangerous disparagement of the more ancient Scriptures, it has, in the opinion of intelligent Lutherans, exercised an enervating influence on the piety of the Lutheran communities. Voices are being raised, at the present time, for a reform in this whole matter; and especially for the restoration of the Psalter to the place of chief honour in the Church Service.‡

* A new translation of these famous Prefaces will be given in the following chapter.
‡ The resumption of Psalmody is advocated with learning and ability, among others, by Otto Strauss, der Psalter als Gesang- und Gebetbuch, Berlin, 1859, and Fr. Armknecht, die heilige Psalmodie oder der psalmodirende König David und die singende Urkirche, Gottingen, 1855. Deeming it neither possible nor desirable to induce the Lutheran Churches to disuse their incomparable Hymns, Armknecht proposes the compilation of a new Psalter and Hymn-Book, containing, first, the entire Book of Psalms; and, secondly, a Collection of modern Hymns, strictly limited to 150.
In all the branches of the Reformed Church—the Reformed, I mean, as distinguished from the Lutheran—care was, from the first, taken to secure to the Old Testament its due place, alongside of the New Testament, in the public service; that, as Knox beautifully said, the people might "hear that harmony and well-tuned song of the Holy Spirit speaking in our fathers from the beginning."* The Psalter was everywhere employed as the book of Church Song. Like Augustine and Luther, Calvin took especial delight in the Psalms, and laboured much in the exposition of them. This he did with such distinguished success that the publication of his Commentary on the Psalter marks an epoch in the interpretation of the book. In addition to the profound spiritual insight common to him with the two illustrious predecessors just named, he brought to his task an aptitude for historical criticism far in advance of his age, and an exegetical faculty which has never been excelled. His Commentary, accordingly, remains, upon the whole, the ripest and best we have on the Psalms; and must have powerfully fostered the delight in Psalmody that everywhere characterised the Reformed Churches.

The manner in which the psalms were sung differed in different places. The English Reformers had a certain advantage in this matter, owing to the circumstance that the Gregorian psalmody had been handed down in their cathedral churches with singular purity. Accordingly, they had little to do beyond the translating of the Psalter into the vulgar tongue, and the restoring to the people of their right to take part in the service of praise. The Book of Common Prayer provides that the Psalter, in prose, shall be said or sung from beginning to end every month.† In the seventeenth century, metrical versions came into use; and, a century later, Hymns also began to be

† It is a pity that the rude version made by the first Reformers is still retained in the Prayer Book. The authors of it knew little or nothing of Hebrew, and could only make their translation, at second hand, from the Latin Vulgate, and Luther's German Version. It is every way inferior to the Authorised Version.
sung; but the use of these rests on sufferance rather than authority.

In the other branches of the Reformed Church, the use of Metrical Versions prevailed from the first. The churches of France and French Switzerland led the way with their complete Metrical Psalter by Marot and Beza, the melodies of which were furnished, in part at least, by Goudimel, the famous composer.* It was a new thing for the people to be invited to sing God's praise; and the new psalmody made such an impression on the public mind, that it is reckoned by historians amongst the principal causes of the marvellous spread of the Reformed opinions in France during the latter half of the sixteenth century. The Psalms retain to this day something of their ancient prominence in the Genevan and French churches. What has been said regarding these communities and their psalmody applies, with little modification, to the churches of the Reformed Confession in Germany, in Hungary, in Holland, in Scotland. In Holland, a numerous party in the Reformed Church scruple, like the primitive African Church, to employ in public worship any hymns but those of the Psalter; and it is well known that the same scruple is somewhat extensively prevalent in Scotland and the United States of America. In the course of last century, the use of Watts' Adaptations of the Psalms led the way to a general introduction of modern hymns amongst the English Nonconformists, to the exclusion of Bible psalmody; and a similar change took place, contemporaneously, in the greater part of the American churches. The change has not given universal satisfaction. The English Nonconformists are, in many places, returning to the ancient practice of chanting the psalms; and earnest voices have been raised beyond the Atlantic† in condemnation of the disuse of the divinely-provided

* Goudimel was the preceptor of Palestrina, the most renowned of the Italian masters of sacred music. The revival of sacred music which Palestrina brought about in the Church of Rome was greatly indebted, therefore, to the influence of the Psalmody of the Reformation.

materials for the Service of Song. As no one collection of Hymns or Imitations of Psalms has succeeded in finding acceptance amongst the churches generally, or been able to hold its ground for many years in any one denomination, it may be anticipated that the Reformed Churches, on both sides of the Atlantic, will, after a while, find their way back to the Psalmody of the universal church. There are few things more important, in the interest of a pure and Scriptural and Catholic piety, than that the views of truth and godliness impressed on successive generations by the combined influences of poetry and song in the worship of the Lord, should be those set forth by the Holy Spirit himself in the Bible psalms. Apart from any difference of opinion that may exist in regard to the partial employment of uninspired hymns in the public service of the church, there can be no doubt that it is both presumptuous and dangerous to eject the Psalter from the place of honourable pre-eminence it has occupied for well-nigh three thousand years, and thus to deprive the Christian people of the inestimable advantage of having their souls brought under the predominant influence of those inspired lyrics that have moulded the sentiments of so many generations, even as they were designed by God to mould the sentiments of all generations to the end of time.
CHAPTER III.

TESTIMONIES TO THE ESTIMATION IN WHICH THE
PSALMS HAVE BEEN HELD.

In ordinary cases it is a vain thing to cite the suffrages of men
in commendation of the oracles of God. "What is the
chaff to the wheat? saith the Lord. Is not my word like as a
fire? saith the Lord; and like a hammer that breaketh the
rock in pieces?" Nevertheless, since the notion occasionally
finds utterance that the psalms have, somehow, become anti-
quated, and savour too strongly of the legal dispensation to be
perfectly adapted to guide the worship of God in Christian
assemblies; and since many people, who have been brought up
in churches where the Psalter has been disused in public
worship for some generations, have got into a way of speaking
about Psalm-singing as if it were a sectarian peculiarity; it
seems expedient to occupy a short concluding chapter with
some noteworthy examples of the testimonies that have been
given to the incomparable excellence of the Psalms, by men of
recognised eminence in their respective churches.

Enough has already been said to shew the esteem in which
the Psalter was held by the most distinguished of the early
fathers. Nor do I think it necessary to quote the fervent
admiration of it expressed by Calvin in the Preface to his
Commentary. His interest was not merely that of a devout
theologian. It was of an intensely practical kind. In David's
songs he saw reflected, with a perfect accuracy that nothing

* Jeremiah xxiii. 28, 29.
could rival, the manifold conflicts—"fightings without and fears within"—through which he had himself passed in his time, and the comforts by which he had been sustained. The Psalter had therefore much of a personal interest for him; which comes out, very strikingly, in the circumstance that he made the Preface to his Commentary upon this portion of Scripture the medium for putting on record and publishing to the world the only autobiographical memoirs extant in relation to his eventful career. After all, the best possible proof of the value he set upon this Manual of Praise is found in the fact, that, when the Order of Public Worship was settled by him at Geneva, the Psalms, with a few Bible canticles, were alone prescribed to be sung.

The judgment expressed by Luther in two famous Prefaces has been already mentioned. I do not know whether there is any English translation of these in print. At all events, they are inaccessible to ordinary readers; and for that reason, as well as on account of their intrinsic excellence, they may be inserted here without abridgment. Some of the considerations urged by the great Reformer can hardly fail, if duly weighed, to produce a deep sense of the benefits which are secured to any Church, when its successive generations are taught the psalms from their childhood.

Preface to the Revised Edition of the German Psalter, A.D. 1531.*

"The Psalter has been lauded and loved by many holy fathers above the other books of the Scripture; and, indeed, the work itself doth sufficiently praise its author. Nevertheless, we also must utter our praise and thanks for it.

"In past years there was handed about almost nothing but a multitude of legends of saints, passionals, lives of saints; and the world was so filled with them, that the Psalter lay under the

* D. Martin Luther's sämtliche Schriften herausgegeben von J. G. Walch, 1744, vol. xiv. pp. 23-28. Mr Perowne, by some oversight, quotes some sentences from these two Prefaces, as from a preface published in 1529 and from another published with the Operationes in Psalmos. The true dates are those I have given. See Walch's notes.
seat, and in such great darkness, that not one psalm was rightly understood; nevertheless, it shed abroad such an excellent precious fragrance that all pious hearts drew devotion and power even from the unknown words, and the book was therefore dear to them.

"For my part, I think that a finer book of lives and legends of the saints has never appeared in the earth, nor ever can appear, than the Psalter. For if one were to desire that out of all the lives, legends, and histories, the best were picked out and brought together and set forth to the best advantage; why, the book thus produced would be just the Psalter we now have. For here we find, not what one or two saints only have done, but what the Head of all the saints has done, and what all the saints still do: how they are affected towards God, towards friends, and towards foes; how they bear themselves and act in every sort of peril and tribulation:—and all this, besides the divine wholesome doctrines and precepts of all sorts to be found in it.

"Yea, the Psalter ought to be precious and dear, were it for nothing else but the clear promise it holds forth respecting Christ's death and resurrection, and its prefiguration of his kingdom and of the whole estate and system of Christianity; insomuch that it might well be entitled a Little Bible, wherein everything contained in the entire Bible is beautifully and briefly comprehended, and compacted into an enchyridion or Manual. It seems to me as if the Holy Ghost had been pleased to take on himself the trouble of putting together a short Bible, or book of exemplars, touching the whole of Christianity or all the saints; in order that they who are unable to read the whole Bible, may nevertheless find almost the whole sum comprehended in one little book.

"But above all, there is this excellent quality and virtue in the Psalter, that whereas other books prate much about the deeds of the saints but say very little about their words, the Psalter is the very paragon of books, yielding a most sweet fragrance to the reader; since it relates not only the deeds of the saints, but also their words—how they spake and prayed to
God, and do yet speak and pray: insomuch that the other legends and lives, in comparison with it, hold forth to us mere dumb saints, whereas the Psalter sets before us right brave living saints.

"And verily a dumb man, when you compare him with one who speaks, is no better than a man half dead. Of all that a man does, there is nothing more potent or more excellent than speech; since it is by the faculty of speech that man is chiefly differed from other animals, rather than by his form or his other works. For indeed a block can, by the graver's art, receive the form of a man; and a beast can see, hear, smell, sing, walk, stand, eat, drink, fast, thirst, and suffer hunger and frost, every whit as well as a man.

"Moreover, it is not the poor every-day words of the saints that the Psalter expresses, but their very best words, spoken by them, in deepest earnestness, to God himself, in matters of utmost moment. Thus it lays open to us not only what they say about their works, but their very heart and the inmost treasure of their souls; so that we can spy the bottom and spring of their words and works,—that is to say, their heart, —what manner of thoughts they had, how their heart did bear itself, in every sort of business, peril, and extremity. This is what neither is done nor can be done by the legends and lives of the saints, which relate nothing but their works and miracles. For I cannot know how a man's heart is affected, although I should see or hear tell of ever so many excellent works he has done.

"And as I had much rather hear a saint speak than behold his works; even so would I yet much rather spy his heart and the treasure in his soul, than hear his words. And this the Psalter enables us to do most plentifully with respect to all the saints; so that we can certainly know how their hearts were affected, and what was the tenor of their words, both towards God and man.

"For a human heart is like a ship on a wild sea tossed by the four winds of heaven. Here it is smitten with anxiety and the dread of future mischance; there it is driven with dismay and
sadness by reason of present evils. Now, there is a soft breath of hope and presumption of future welfare; again, there is a breeze of security and gladness in present possessions.

"Now winds like these make a man earnest in his words, make him open his heart and utter its secrets. For one who is shut up in fear and necessity will discourse of calamity much otherwise than one who swims in gladness; and one who swims in gladness will discourse and sing of gladness much otherwise than one who is shut up in fear. It does not come from the heart (so the saying is) when a mourner laughs and a frolicker weeps; that is, the bottom of his heart is not uncovered nor utters itself at all.

"What is the Psalter, for the most part, but such earnest discourse in all manner of such winds? Where are finer words of gladness than in the Psalms of Praise and Thanksgiving? There thou lookest into the hearts of all the saints as into fair and pleasant gardens, yea, as into the heavens, and seest what fine, hearty, pleasant flowers spring up therein, in all manner of fair gladsome thoughts of God and his benefits. And again, Where wilt thou find deeper, more plaintive, more sorrowful words of grief than in the Psalms of Complaint? There thou lookest again into the hearts of all the saints, as into death, yea, as into hell. How they are filled with darkness and gloom by reason of the wrath of God! So also, when they discourse of fear and hope, they use such words, that no painter could so pourtray, nor any Cicero or orator could so express the fear or hope.

"And (as I said) the best of all is, that these words of theirs are spoken before God and unto God; which puts double earnestness and life into the words. For words that are spoken only before men in such matters, do not come so mightily from the heart; are not such burning, living, piercing words. Hence also it comes to pass that the Psalter is the Book of all the Saints; and every one, whatsoever his case may be, finds therein psalms and words which suit his case so perfectly, that they might seem to have been set down solely for his sake; in such sort that anything better he can neither make for himself,
nor discover, nor desire. One good effect of which, moreover, is that if a man take pleasure in the words here set forth and find them suit his case, he is assured he is in the communion of the saints, and that all the saints fared just as he fares, for they and he sing all one song together: particularly, if he can utter them before God even as they did; which must be done in faith, for an ungodly man relishes them not.

"Finally, in the Psalter we find such safety and such well-assured guidance, that in it we can without danger follow all the saints. For other exemplars and legends of dumb saints bring forward works which it is impossible to imitate; and many more works do they bring forward which it would be dangerous to imitate, and which commonly engender sects and parties, seducing and withdrawing men from the communion of the saints. But the Psalter holdeth thee back from parties and keepeth thee in the communion of the saints: for it teacheth thee how thou mayest, in gladness, and fear, and hope, and sorrow, cherish the same temper and speak the same words, as all the saints have cherished and spoken.

"To sum up; wouldest thou see the Holy Catholic Church pourtrayed to the life in form and colour, as it were in miniature? Open the Psalter. Thus thou shalt have before thee a fine, bright, spotless mirror, that will shew thee what kind of thing Christianity is. Yea, thou shalt therein find thine own self, and the right γνῶθι σεαυτόν; God himself also and all the creatures.

"Let us, therefore, take heed also to thank God for such unspeakable benefits, and to accept and make use of them to the praise and honour of God, that we bring not upon ourselves wrath by our unthankfulness. For, formerly, in the time of darkness, what a treasure it had been esteemed if men had been able rightly to understand one psalm, and to read or hear it in plain German; and yet they were not able. Blessed now are the eyes which see the things that we see, and the ears which hear the things that we hear! And yet take heed,—alas we already see, that we are like the Jews in the wilderness, who said of the manna, "Our soul loatheth this light bread." It
behoves us to mark what is written in the same place, how they were plagued and died; that it may not befall us also after the same sort.

"To this end, may the Father of all grace and mercy help us through Jesus Christ our Lord: to whom be blessing and thanks, honour and praise, for this German Psalter, and for all his innumerable, inexpressible gifts, for evermore; Amen and Amen!"


"Every Christian who would abound in prayer and piety ought, in all reason, to make the Psalter his manual; and, moreover, it were well if every Christian so used it and were so expert in it as to have it word for word by heart, and could have it even in his heart as often as he chanced to be called to speak or act, that he might be able to draw forth or employ some sentence out of it by way of a proverb. For indeed the truth is, that everything that a pious heart can desire to ask in prayer, it here finds psalms and words to match, so aptly and sweetly, that no man—no, nor all the men in the world—shall be able to devise forms of words so good and devout. Moreover, the Psalter doth minister such instruction and comfort in the act of supplication; and the Lord's Prayer doth so run through it, and it through the Lord's Prayer, that the one helpeth us finely to understand the other, and the two together make a pleasant harmony.

"Not only, therefore, ought the Prayer Books* formerly in use to be forbidden and done away with, (being little else but unchristian lies and abuses, and that even in their best parts, wherein our Lord's Passion is indeed introduced, not however for the edification of faith, but only to be shamefully abused for temporal gain) but care ought to be taken that no new prayers break in again. For already it looks as if everybody were beginning to compose Prayers, and Paraphrases of the Psalter, according to his own devotional feeling, and were seeking thus

* By "Prayer Books" here are plainly meant Collections of devotional pieces in prose and verse.
to have his work famous and in general use in the Church and amongst the Christian people; just as if the Psalter and the Lord's Prayer had been some wretched trifle of a thing. If care be not taken to keep within measure, the Psalter and Lord's Prayer will come to be despised as before. I admit that some of these new compositions are good; but it is to be presumed that the Psalter and Lord's Prayer are better, yea, the best. One who hath learnt to pray them aright, hath learnt to pray well, far above all prayers, especially since the Psalter has now, by God's grace, been rendered into intelligible German.

"I have heard the story of a godly person to whom the Lord's Prayer was so dear that he would ever pray it with tears in his eyes, for deep devotion. A well meaning Bishop, thinking to improve the man's devotion, took from him the Lord's Prayer, and gave him a multitude of other good pious prayers; but thereupon he lost all devotion, and was fain to let those pious prayers go their ways and resume the Lord's Prayer. In my opinion, any man who will but make a trial in earnest of the Psalter and the Lord's Prayer, will very soon bid the other pious prayers adieu, and say, Ah they have not the sap, the strength, the heart, the fire, that I find in the Psalter; they are too cold, too hard, for my taste!

"Our Blessed Lord, who hath given us the Psalter and Lord's Prayer and taught us to use them in prayer, grant us also the Spirit of prayer and of grace, that with gladness and earnest faith we may pray mightily and without ceasing; for we have much need. So hath He commanded, and so will He have it at our hands. To Him be praise, honour, and thanks, for ever. Amen."

Passing to our own country, Hooker may be taken to have expressed the judgment of the English Church, in the age of its greatest men:

"The choice and flower of all things profitable in other books, the Psalms do both more briefly contain, and more movingly also express, by reason of that poetical form wherewith they are written. . . . What is there necessary for man to know which the Psalms are not able to teach? They are to beginners an
easy and familiar introduction, a mighty augmentation of all virtue and knowledge in such as are entered before, a strong confirmation to the most perfect among others. Heroical magnanimity, exquisite justice, grave moderation, exact wisdom, repentance unfeigned, unwearied patience, the mysteries of God, the sufferings of Christ, the terrors of wrath, the comforts of grace, the works of Providence over this world, and the promised joys of that world which is to come, all good necessarily to be either known, or done, or had, this one celestial fountain yieldeth. Let there be any grief or disease incident into the soul of man, any wound or sickness named, for which there is not in this treasure-house a present comfortable remedy at all times ready to be found. Hereof it is that we covet to make the Psalms especially familiar unto all. This is the very cause why we iterate the Psalms oftener than any other part of the Scripture besides; the cause wherefore we inure the people together with their minister, and not the minister alone to read them as other parts of Scripture he doth."

That this high estimate has not failed to perpetuate itself in the mind and heart of the English Church, even to our own time, is sufficiently attested by the glowing eulogy pronounced on the Psalms by one of the most gifted of her sons, the foremost British statesman of our age:

"But most of all does the Book of Psalms refuse the challenge of philosophical or poetical composition. In that book, for well nigh three thousand years, the piety of saints has found its most refined and choicest food; to such a degree, indeed, that the rank and quality of the religious frame may, in general, be tested, at least negatively, by the height of its relish for them. There is the whole music of the human heart, when touched by the hand of the Maker, in all its tones that whisper or that swell, for every hope and fear, for every joy and pang, for every form of strength and languor, of disquietude and rest. There are developed all the innermost relations of the human soul to God, built upon the platform of a covenant of love and sonship.

* Eccles. Polity, Book V. c. xxxvii. 2.
that had its foundations in the Messiah, while in this particular and privileged Book it was permitted to anticipate His coming.*

The late Mr Isaac Taylor may be said to have occupied a position midway between the English Establishment and the non-prelatic communions. His judgment regarding the unapproachable excellence of the Psalter, given to the world in one of his latest works, is expressed with great decision:—

"It is but feebly, and as afar off, that the ancient liturgies (except so far as they merely copied their originals) come up to the majesty and the wide compass of the Hebrew Worship, such as it is indicated in the Hundred and forty-eighth Psalm. Neither Ambrose, nor Gregory, nor the Greeks, have reached or approached this level; and in tempering the boldness of their originals by admixtures of what is more Christian-like and spiritual, the added elements sustain an injury which is not compensated by what they bring forward of a purer, or a less earthly kind: feeble indeed is the tone of those anthems of the ancient church—sophisticated or artificial is their style. Nor would it be possible—it has never yet seemed so—to Christianize the Hebrew anthems—retaining their power, their earth-like riches, and their manifold splendours—which are the very splendours, and the true riches, and the grandeur of God's world—and withal attempered with expressions that touch to the quick the warmest human sympathies. . . . And as to the powers of Sacred Poetry, those powers were expanded to the full, and were quite expended too by the Hebrew bards. What are modern hymns but so many laborious attempts to put in a new form, that which, as it was done in the very best manner so many years ago, can never be well done again—otherwise than in the way of a verbal repetition?"†

To these powerful testimonies I will add another, from the pen of Edward Irving; for although at some points he

† The Spirit of the Hebrew Poetry. 1861. Pp. 157, 158. Comp. the other passage from the same work (pp. 179, 180), quoted above, at p. 278.
swerved, in his later years, from the opinions and sentiments his infancy had imbibed in the Scottish Reformed Church, his warm eulogy of the Psalms is but the eloquent expression of a feeling deeply rooted in her heart; so that, in this instance, he may still be regarded as her spokesman:

"The reason why the Psalms have found such constant favour in the sight of the Christian Church, and come to constitute a chief portion of every missal and liturgy, and form of worship, public or private, while forms of doctrine and discourse have undergone such manifold changes, in order to represent the changing spirit of the age, and the diverse conditions of the human mind, is to be found in this,—that they address themselves to the simple instinctive feelings of the renewed soul, which are its most constant and permanent part; whereas the forms of doctrine and discourse address themselves to the spiritual understanding, which differs in ages and countries according to the degree of spiritual illumination and the energy of spiritual life. . . . . The souls of believers recur to these psalms as the home of their childhood, where they came to know the loving-kindness of their heavenly Father,—the fatness of his house, and the full river of his goodness, his pastoral carefulness, his sure defence, and his eye that slumbereth not nor sleepeth,—with every other simple representation of divine things to the simple affections of the renewed soul. Therefore are these Psalms to the Christian, what the love of parents, and the sweet affections of home, and the clinging memories of infant scenes, and the generous love of country, are to men of every rank, and order, and employment, of every kindred, and tongue, and nation.

"There hath grown up in these lean years a miserable notion, that the Psalms are not so appropriate for expressing the communion of the Christian Church, for the reason that they contain allusions to places and events which are of Jewish and not of Christian association. And some have gone so far as to weed out all those venerable associations, by introducing modern names of places in their stead. Why do they not, upon the same principle, weed out the Jewish allusions of the Four
Gospels and the Epistles? But it is as poor in taste and wrong in feeling, as it is daring in the thought and bold in the execution. . . . . If we take not our forms for expressing spiritual patriotism from those inspired songs through which, in the old time, the church breathed the spirit of her high privilege and separate community, where shall we obtain them of like union and equal authority, in the experience of times during which no prophet hath arisen in the holy city? For, though the church hath been as sorely tried under the Gentile as under the Jewish dispensation, it hath not pleased the Lord to bestow upon any of her priests or people the garment of inspiration, with which to clothe in spiritual songs the depths of her sorrow or the exaltation of her joy. And we are shut up to the necessity, either of responding to the voice of the Spirit in the ancient psalmist, or to re-echo the poetical effusions of uninspired men,—either to address the living God in the language of his own Word, or in the language of some vernacular poet, whose taste and forms of thinking, whose forms of feeling, yea, and forms of opinion, we must make mediators between our soul and the ear of God,—which is a great evil to be avoided, whenever it can be avoided. For Christians must be forms of the everlasting and common Spirit; not mannerists of mortal and individual men."*

It would be easy to cite other testimonies not less strong. I have confined myself to those which, besides proceeding from different branches of the church, are intrinsically valuable for the matter contained in them. The observations of Luther are deserving of special attention at the present time. The tide of Christian hymnology that has been running with ever-increasing volume and strength in the Reformed Churches during the past century, threatens, in many quarters, to displace the Psalms (although it can only be for a season) from their place of unrivalled prominence and authority in public worship. This I cannot help regarding as a great evil. It threatens very seriously to com-

promise that catholicity of feeling and that harmony of devotional language which the general use of the psalms has so admirably fostered, as well as to remove the barrier it has placed in the way of those local and temporary and abnormal forms of religious feeling which are so apt, especially in times of excitement, to mar the symmetry of the Christian character. In churches where the prayers are offered by means of fixed liturgical forms, one can well understand both that the call for modern hymns will be more clamant, and that the danger will be less felt. But wherever the prayers are free, it is of incalculable importance that the other half of the devotional service should be moulded in forms of ancient authority: and surely the best possible mould is that which the Holy Spirit himself gave by the Psalmists, and which has left its divinely-traced lines on the general Church for these three thousand years.
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